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AGENDA

I. Call to Order
   Don Wilson, Board Chair

II. Roll Call
    Michele Rush

III. Public Comment
     Don Wilson, Board Chair

IV. Approval of the February 25, 2020 Minutes
    *Action Required*
     Don Wilson, Board Chair

V. Provost Report and Discussion
   Dr. Terry Parker, EVP and Provost
   
   A. Admissions and Financial Aid
   B. Faculty Hiring
   C. Planning for the 2020-2021 Academic Year

VI. Requested Committee Actions
    *discussion of actions in Provost Report*
    *Action Required*
    Dr. Terry Parker, EVP and Provost
    
    A. B.S. Cyber Security Engineering Degree Approval
    B. Approval of Collective Bargaining Agreement, Revised Article 12: Salaries
    C. Approval of Memorandum of Understanding re COVID-19 Health Emergency
    D. Approval of Revised Regulation FPU-3.006 Student Code of Conduct

VII. Closing Remarks and Adjournment
     Don Wilson, Board Chair
Florida Polytechnic University
Board of Trustees

Academic and Student Affairs Committee Meeting

DRAFT MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, February 25, 2020
1:00 PM - 2:30 PM

Florida Polytechnic University, Student Development Center
4700 Research Way, Lakeland, FL 33805

I. Call to Order

Committee Chair Adrienne Perry called the Academic and Student Affairs Committee meeting to order at 1:27 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Zaira Medina called the roll: Committee Chair Adrienne Perry, Committee Vice Chair Earl Sasser, Trustee Victoria Astley, Trustee Henry McCance, Trustee Ryan Perez, Trustee Mark Bostick and Trustee Philip Dur were present (Quorum).

Other trustees present: Board Chair Don Wilson, Vice Chair Cliff Otto, Trustee Frank Martin, Trustee Lou Saco and Trustee Gary Wendt.

Staff present: Provost Terry Parker, Ms. Gina DeIulio, Mr. Mark Mroczkowski, Dr. Kathryn Miller, Dr. Tom Dvorske, Mrs. Maggie Mariucci, Mrs. Kris Wharton, Ms. Michele Rush, Mrs. Kim Abels and Mrs. Zaira Medina were present.

III. Public Comment

There were no requests received for public comment.

IV. Approval of Minutes

Trustee Henry McCance made a motion to approve the Academic and Student Affairs Committee meeting minutes of December 10, 2019. Trustee Mark Bostick seconded the motion; a vote was taken, and the motion passed unanimously.

V. 2018-20 Strategic Planning Committee Work Plan Review

The 2018-2020 Work Plan remains unchanged and no discussion occurred.

VI. Provost Report

Provost Terry Parker reviewed activity aligned with the Work Plan, which included Admissions and
Financial Aid, Student Affairs, four-year graduation improvement plan, degree program additions, faculty hiring status, student and faculty diversity, graduate programs, and technology and pedagogy.

Trustee Henry McCance inquired about the statement on the Completed Applications graph that indicates student applications have increased by more than 70% over prior year. BenMatthew Corpus stated the graph is incorrect; “applications” have increased by more than 31%; however, this graph should read “Applications” versus “Completed Applications.” Completed Applications have increased 81%.

Board Chair Don Wilson inquired if the summer cohort program would help students in their 4-year graduation rate. Provost Parker responded affirmatively. Trustee Victoria Astley inquired if the students in the summer cohort is considered FTIC for graduation? As students are required to complete nine credits in a summer semester, the summer cohort will account for six of their credits and the graduation rate should improve. Additionally, Mr. Corpus clarified the First Year STEM Program students become part of the spring FTIC cohort.

Trustee McCance inquired if the 18 First Year STEM Program students who persisted received scholarship funds for the summer. Provost Parker stated they received scholarship funds in the fall semester as they transitioned into full time degree-seeking students. They will continue to receive $2,000 per semester if they maintain their GPA.

Trustee Frank Martin inquired if the 18 faculty up for reappointment and promotion is consistent with the Collective Bargaining Agreement. Provost Parker confirmed there are exactly 18, that no one has been excluded from the process, and after these 18, there would be no more faculty in the pre-June 2017 group and the review of all faculty would be complete. Trustee Astley expressed concern if a great number of faculty are not reappointed. Provost Parker stated there is not an expectation of losing an enormous number of faculty, and that there is a transition period of one year after faculty are informed of non-reappointment.

Trustee Martin stated the need to recruit diverse faculty. Provost Parker affirmed diversity is appropriately considered in the hiring process. All universities struggle to hire diverse faculty because the pool of faculty in STEM degrees at the doctorate level is small.

Trustee Perry suggested undergraduate students may benefit from hybrid offerings for the summer cohort. Provost Parker agreed and stated this was investigated; however, the population who seeks participation do not live close enough to bring them in three Saturdays.

VII. Adoption of Regulation FPU-2.001 Admission to the University and Appeal Process - General

Ms. Melaine Schmiz provided an overview of Regulation FPU-2.001. Trustee Astley inquired who is the chief enrollment officer and is this defined anywhere? Ms. Schmiz confirmed that BenMatthew Corpus is the chief enrollment officer. The title is in lower caps; therefore, it is not an official title.

Trustee Henry McCance made a motion to recommend adoption of Regulation FPU-2.001 Admission to the University and Appeal Process – General to the Board of Trustees. Trustee Earl Sasser seconded the motion; a vote was taken, and the motion passed unanimously.

VIII. Closing Remarks and Adjournment

With no further business to discuss, the Academic and Student Affairs Committee Meeting adjourned at 2:19 p.m.
Provost’s Report

Terry Parker

May 20, 2020
Today’s Discussion is a Review of Activity Aligned with the Work Plan

• Abbreviated Report for Remote Meeting: Highlights Only
• Admissions and Financial Aid: Current Status and projections
• Student Affairs: Student support in a remote environment
• Four year graduation improvement plan*
• Degree Program Additions and Faculty Hiring Status
  - Cyber Security Engineering (request approval to proceed)
  - Faculty Hiring Status
• Student and Faculty Diversity
• Graduate Programs
• Technology and Pedagogy
  - Transition to Remote Instruction
• Other Items
  - Collective Bargaining: Salary Article and MOU regarding Spring 2020
  - Planning for the future

* Gray colored titles not discussed at this meeting
• Strong preference by prospective students to use the “common app”
• Reconfiguration of admission strategy has also increased application volume
## Admissions Metrics Have Increased in all Categories

- Data is for early May in each year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Fall 2019</th>
<th>Fall 2020</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UnG Admits</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>+ 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Deposits</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>+ 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIC Deposits</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>+ 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Deposits</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Year Stem Program Deposits</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Deposits</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+ 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Deposits</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+ 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIC Female % Deposits</td>
<td>17.40%</td>
<td>19.20%</td>
<td>+ 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIC Latino % Deposits</td>
<td>18.10%</td>
<td>23.40%</td>
<td>+ 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIC Black % Deposits</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>5.70%</td>
<td>+ .9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT Deposits</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>+ 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT Deposits</td>
<td>28.40</td>
<td>29.90</td>
<td>+ 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSGPA Deposits</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>+ .27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Summer Focus: Transfers and Maintaining Student Interest*

*Improvements in Diversity and Quality Indicators*

---

**FTIC** – First Time IN College, **UnG** – Under Graduate, **SAT** – Scholastic Aptitude Test, **ACT** – American College Test, **HSGPA** – High School Grade Point Average
The proposed revisions update the definition of hazing to comply with Board of Governor’s regulation 6.021; update position titles, clarify and expand certain types of misconduct, add deferred determination as a method of non-formal resolution, and clarify the conduct of formal hearings and appeals.

Proposed Resolution

- The Board of Trustees approves the revised student code of conduct presented at this meeting
Student Affairs: Student Life Activities While We Are “Remote”

- Created a Virtual Student Union: Organizes student resources, programs and services into one virtual location
- Career
  - Canvas Career Connect. Information on professionalism, preparing for interviews
- Phoenix Fun
  - Esports practices and tournament
  - Summer virtual Hack-A-Thon
- Student Government Association
  - Campus Labs (Organizes Club Activities online—SGA Elections for 2020-2021)
- Healthy Campus
  - Counseling available remotely
  - TAO Connect (Self-help resources focused on well-being)
  - Virtual Fitness Series
    --Phoenix Fit Challenges (Challenges begin Monday and end on Fridays)
    --Wellness Wednesday Workouts
Student Affairs: Remote Activity to Support Students

- Advising
  - Registration Advising: Canvas Registration Assistance and TEAMS Advisor Appointments
  - Academic Improvement Program—TEAMS meetings. Success Coach Ryan Darley transitioned support for the Academic Improvement Program to a virtual environment, and 86% student success rate
  - Proactive Student Outreach for Summer and Fall course registration
  - Support for students who struggled with the transition
    - Phund-A-Phoenix (SGA initiative)
    - Cares Act Emergency Funds
    - Technology solutions
B.S. Cybersecurity Engineering

• 120 credit hour program
• Concentrations include
  – Industrial Control Systems Security
  – Smart-Grid Security
  – Hardware Security
  – Advanced Topics
• Includes all Florida Poly curricular components
  – Year-long Senior Capstone
  – Internship Requirement
  – 18-credits humanities
• Additional ABET requirements (will pursue)
  – Probability, statistics, cryptographic topics;
  – Discrete mathematics and information theory
  – Application of protective technologies & forensic techniques
  – Legal, regulatory, privacy, ethics, and human behavior topics
Industry Need & Demand

• **Worldwide**
  - 63% of IT professionals surveyed noted a shortage of cybersecurity staff; nearly 60% say their companies are at risk due to this shortage (2018 survey).

• **The United States**
  - 475% increase in national cybercrime reports in March 2020, demonstrating a high demand for cybersecurity jobs nationwide.

• **Florida**
  - Florida is #4 in the nation for high-tech employment nationally with more than 237,000 IT jobs.
  - Florida’s High Tech Corridor employs more than 43,000 people, generating a payroll > $3.4 billion annually.
  - 68% of organizations surveyed in Florida reported cyber security staff recruitment challenges.
  - The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity estimates additional growth of > 17% by 2024 across all cybersecurity-related positions.
Student Demand & Florida Competition

- CS & CE are our two most popular majors; about 50% of student body
  - 21% of total majors pursue concentration in information assurance & cyber-security
- Dec 2019 internal survey 49% expressed interest in changing to this major
  - \( n = 36/74 \)
- Extrapolating from national trends and fitting for Florida Poly admissions profile, approximately 40% of potential recruits are interested in technology-security-related majors.
- No other Cybersecurity Engineering programs in the SUS or in Florida.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43.0303</td>
<td>Critical Infrastructure Protection</td>
<td>USFT-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0406</td>
<td>Cyber/Computer Forensics &amp; Counterterrorism</td>
<td>FSU-B,M;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0406</td>
<td>Forensic Science &amp; Technology</td>
<td>FGCU-B; FIU-M; UCF-B,M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Request Approval of Cybersecurity Engineering Degree

- Formal approval is the next step for degree approval
- Proposed Resolution:
  - The Board supports and approves the proposed Bachelor of Science degree program “Cyber Security Engineering”
- Followed by formal review of proposal by Board of Governor’s staff before inclusion in State’s degree inventory.
Covid-19 has negatively impacted faculty hiring

- Faculty searches for next Fall:
  - the majority of searches are on hold due to travel and meeting restrictions
  - Visiting faculty appointments (one year, renewable) currently advertised to meet teaching needs

- Status for Searches:
  - Computer science: converted to visiting faculty searches, evaluating candidates
  - Data Science Business Analytics: converted to Visiting Faculty search, interviewing
  - Environmental Engineering: one candidate pending, one visiting faculty pending
  - Mechanical Engineering: converted to visiting faculty searches, evaluating candidates
  - Physics: two candidates under consideration
  - Math: one instructor offer out
Florida Poly Transitioned to Remote Instruction on March 16

- Instruction delivery shifted to Virtual using WEBEX or TEAMS, course materials provided via CANVAS
- Assessment using Proctorio (uses webcam to monitor student during exam)
- Challenges:
  - Internet access and computers for students
  - Faculty moving delivery to online format
  - Maintaining student engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-calculus</th>
<th>Calculus I</th>
<th>All Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DFW Fraction</strong></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>17.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spring 2019</strong></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>17.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2019</strong></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>17.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spring 2020</strong></td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAI Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course Average</strong></td>
<td>4.01/5</td>
<td>4.04/5</td>
<td>4.12/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructor Average</strong></td>
<td>4.25/5</td>
<td>4.27/5</td>
<td>4.31/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DFW Fraction – the fraction of Grades that are a “D”, an “F”, or a Withdraw

SAI – Student Assessment of Instruction
• Each year annual salary increments must be formally bargained and codified in a salary article
  – Due to a budget reduction, no salary increases are being provided
  – Board approval is required, Proposed board resolution:
    – The Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees approves Article 12: Salaries (Amended May 6, 2020)

• The abrupt change to remote instruction in the spring produced “impact” bargaining
  – Result is a Memorandum of Understanding” and revised guidelines for faculty evaluation

• Proposed Board Resolution
  – The Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees approves the Memorandum of Understanding titled: “MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING RE: COVID-19 HEALTH EMERGENCY”
The COVID-19 pandemic:
- Produced the largest global recession since the Great Depression
- Is an enormous challenge for higher education

Responding to the challenge:
- Immediate Decisions (Emergency Management)
  - Spring operations: Remote Instruction, Depopulating the campus
- Very Short Term Planning
  - Summer operations: Remote Instruction, Faculty Training required
- Fall Planning
  - Campus Planning Effort (CPE) COVID19
  - State University System (SUS) Working Groups and Task Force
  - Obvious Decisions: position the campus for flexibility with remote instruction
The SUS is planning HOW to open in the fall

- Released May 18, 2020
  - Board of Governors’ chair Syd Kitson has directed State University System Chancellor, Marshall Criser III, to develop guidelines to present to the Board of Governors at a public meeting on May 28, 2020. Universities will then present their individual plans, based on these guidelines, to the Board of Governors at a board meeting on June 23, 2020

- The guidelines from the Board of governors will likely be organized around:
  - Healthy and Safe Campus
  - Testing
  - Tracing
  - Academic Program Delivery

- University Plans
  - Broad guidelines on how to open but also flexible so that the plan can adjust to operational needs
The problem is highly complex and has multiple elements.
Each Working Group has a set of subgroups

- Scenarios of the Future is more forward looking but has strong ties to the “practical”
- We will leverage our unique characteristics to produce a solution that is practical and innovative
The operational issues are strongly tied to the campus and academics.

- Campus Environment
  - Tracing Strategies and Data Monitoring
  - Tracing Data Collection and Management
  - Personal Protection
  - The Semi Virtual Campus and Student Engagement
  - Dorms and Student Living
  - Facility Disinfecting
  - Food On Campus
Large decisions will be made quickly but preparation efforts will be ongoing throughout the summer.
Key Messages for Today

- **Admissions and Financial Aid**
  - Numbers are very good but! Fall uncertainties are significant

- **Student Affairs**
  - We have transitioned to providing remote student life and services

- **Degree Program Additions and Faculty Hiring Status**
  - Cyber Security Engineering Degree
  - Moving to Visiting Faculty to meet next years needs

- **Technology and Pedagogy**
  - We are rapidly putting remote and online capability in place

- **Collective bargaining**
  - Salary Article for BOT approval and notification of a Memorandum of Understanding

- **COVID19 Impacts**
  - An aggressive timeline for fall operations
Subject: Bachelor of Science in Cyber Security Engineering Degree

Proposed Committee Action

To support and recommend approval of a Bachelor of Science degree program in Cyber Security Engineering to the Board of Trustees.

Background Information

The Bachelor of Science in Cybersecurity Engineering prepares engineers to be cybersecurity professionals with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to conceptualize, design, engineer, test, and implement all components of a cyber-physical, network system. This includes hardware, software, networking, and human interfaces of the system. The program encompasses computer engineering, electrical engineering, computer science, engineering, science, and mathematics. The program educates students in the fundamental core of cybersecurity engineering of physical systems and its cutting-edge, high-impact areas focusing on industrial plans, smart-grid and hardware security.

Supporting Documentation: Cyber Security Engineering Degree Proposal

Prepared by: Dr. Terry Parker, EVP & Provost
Subject: Collective Bargaining Agreement, Revised Article 12: Salaries

Proposed Committee Action

Recommend approval of the Collective Bargaining Agreement, Revised Article 12: Salaries to the Board of Trustees.

Background Information

In each spring semester, the university and the UFF Florida Poly chapter bargain for salary increases in the upcoming Academic Year. Due to budget constraints, there are no salary increases for the coming year.

Supporting Documentation: Collective Bargaining Agreement, Revised Article 12: Salaries

Prepared by: Dr. Terry Parker, EVP & Provost
Subject: Memorandum of Understanding re COVID-19 Health Emergency

Proposed Committee Action

Recommend approval of the Memorandum of Understanding re COVID-19 Health Emergency to the Board of Trustees.

Background Information

The abrupt transition to remote instruction in the spring 2020 semester changed the working conditions which required impact bargaining. The result of this process is the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that follows the salary article. The MOU includes revised evaluation guidelines which will be used for annual faculty evaluations.

Supporting Documentation: Memorandum of Understanding re COVID-19 Health Emergency

Prepared by: Dr. Terry Parker, EVP & Provost
AGENDA ITEM: VI.D.

Florida Polytechnic University
Academic & Student Affairs Committee
Board of Trustees
May 20, 2020

Subject:   FPU-3.006 Student Code of Conduct

Proposed Action

Approval of revised regulation FPU-3.006 Student Code of Conduct.

Background Information

The proposed revisions update the definition of hazing to comply with Board of Governor’s regulation 6.021; update position titles, clarify and expand certain types of misconduct, add deferred determination as a method of non-formal resolution, and clarify the conduct of formal hearings and appeals.

Specifically, the following additional types of misconduct are proposed:

- Bullying, when not protected speech,
- Intellectual property as property encompassed by actual or attempted theft,
- Selling controlled substances, possession of paraphernalia used for drugs, and the un-prescribed use, inhalation, or ingestion of a substance that could alter a person’s mental state,
- Attending class, an organizational meeting or other University event that is specific for an educational purpose while under the influence of the substances listed in the code,
- Violations of any policy or regulation governing University Housing, as well as, the Resident Handbook, and
- Retaliation against a person participating in the student conduct process.

The following revisions to the formal hearing process are proposed:

- Clarifying the process and timing for Responding party and Reporting party to submit questions of the other party to the Hearing Body,
- Removing the requirement that the Hearing Body announce its proposed findings and sanctions following deliberations,
- Allowing the Provost to appoint an appellate officer to review and decide an appeal, and
- Removing “No Substantial Information to Support Recommendation” as a basis for appeal.

The proposed revisions were provided to members of the Student Government Association for their review and comment.
The Notice of Amendment to proposed regulation and the regulation was published on the University’s website on April 15, 2020. No comments were received during the review and comment period.

**Supporting Documentation:** DRAFT FPU-3.006 Student Code of Conduct

**Prepared by:** Melaine Schmiz, Assistant General Counsel
CYBER SECURITY ENGINEERING DEGREE PROPOSAL
Request to Offer a New Degree Program

Florida Polytechnic University
University Submitting Proposal

Fall 2021
Proposed Implementation Term

Electrical & Computer Engineering
Name of Department(s)/ Division(s)

Cybersecurity Engineering
Complete Name of Degree

Name of College(s) or School(s)
Not applicable

Academic Specialty or Field
Cyber-Security

Proposed CIP Code
29.0207

The submission of this proposal constitutes a commitment by the university that, if the proposal is approved, the necessary financial resources and the criteria for establishing new programs have been met prior to the initiation of the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Approved by the University Board of Trustees</th>
<th>President</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Chair, Board of Trustees</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Vice President for Academic Affairs</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide headcount (HC) and full-time equivalent (FTE) student estimates of majors for Years 1 through 5. HC and FTE estimates should be identical to those in Table 1 in Appendix A. Indicate the program costs for the first and the fifth years of implementation as shown in the appropriate columns in Table 2 in Appendix A. Calculate an Educational and General (E&G) cost per FTE for Years 1 and 5 (Total E&G divided by FTE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Timeframe</th>
<th>Projected Enrollment (From Table 1)</th>
<th>Projected Program Costs (From Table 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>FTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This outline and the questions pertaining to each section must be reproduced within the body of the proposal.
to ensure that all sections have been satisfactorily addressed. Tables 1 through 4 are to be included as Appendix A and not reproduced within the body of the proposals because this often causes errors in the automatic calculations.

INTRODUCTION

I. Program Description and Relationship to System-Level Goals

A. Briefly describe within a few paragraphs the degree program under consideration, including (a) level; (b) emphases, including majors, concentrations, tracks, or specializations; (c) total number of credit hours; and (d) overall purpose, including examples of employment or education opportunities that may be available to program graduates.

The Bachelor of Science in Cybersecurity Engineering prepares engineers to be cybersecurity professionals with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to conceptualize, design, engineer, test, and implement all components of a cyber-physical, network system. This includes hardware, software, networking, and human interfaces of the system. The program encompasses computer engineering, electrical engineering, computer science, engineering, science, and mathematics. The program educates students in the fundamental core of cybersecurity engineering of physical systems and its cutting-edge, high-impact areas focusing on industrial plans, smart-grid and hardware security.

The curriculum stems from a strong background in engineering math and science, and engineering topics within the framework of hardware-based computer engineering and electrical engineering blended with software-based computer science. The hardware-based computer engineering includes microcomputer and computer architecture. The curriculum emphasizes on cyber physical security with concentration on Industrial Control Systems Security, Smart-grid Security, Hardware Security and Advanced Topics. The cybersecurity engineering program with an emphasis on the security of cyber physical systems should be distinguishable from other Bachelor of Science programs. It requires seven (7) new courses of 21 credits.

The program is structured to satisfy the ABET general program requirements with 36 credits (minimum 30) of math and science, 65 credits of engineering topics (minimum 45) and 18 credits of general education. The program provides breadth from the required courses and depth through the concentration courses. The curriculum is also structured to meet ABET program requirements for cybersecurity engineering through required courses and these include

1. Probability, statistics, and cryptographic topics.
2. Discrete mathematics and information theory
3. Application of protective technologies and forensic techniques
4. Consideration of legal, regulatory, privacy, ethics, and human behavior topics as appropriate to the program.

Students holding this degree are employable in government, military, and private sector, and will have a solid foundation to pursue advanced study in computer science, electrical or computer engineering at the graduate level.

B. Please provide the date when the pre-proposal was presented to CAVP (Council of Academic Vice Presidents) Academic Program Coordination review group. Identify any concerns that the CAVP review group raised with the pre-proposed program and provide a brief narrative explaining how each of these concerns has been or is being addressed.

The pre-proposal was presented to the Council of Academic Vice Presidents Academic Coordinating Group on April 23, 2019. There were no concerns expressed.

C. If this is a doctoral level program please include the external consultant’s report at the
end of the proposal as Appendix D. Please provide a few highlights from the report and describe ways in which the report affected the approval process at the university.

Not applicable.

D. Describe how the proposed program is consistent with the current State University System (SUS) Strategic Planning Goals. Identify which specific goals the program will directly support and which goals the program will indirectly support (see link to the SUS Strategic Plan on the resource page for new program proposal).

The proposed B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering directly supports several SUS Strategic Plan goals in several ways. These include not only the program are content itself, but its direct connection with the University’s Advanced Mobility Institute with its work in autonomous systems where cyber-security is a critical engineering outcome. The addition of cyber-security engineers to our interdisciplinary, industry-sponsored senior capstone experience will enhance the desirability for industry-partners to want to contribute projects with more dimensions as these students will add an important element of complexity and need to the design. Specifically, the program will directly support the following SUS Strategic Plan Goals:

- Teaching and Learning Strategic Priorities for a Knowledge Economy
  - Goal: increase the number of degrees awarded in STEM and other areas of strategic emphasis.
- Scholarship, Research, and Innovation: Excellence
  - Goal: Strengthen the Quality and Reputation of Scholarship, Research, and Innovation
    - Improve the quality and impact of scholarship, research, and commercialization activities.
    - Increase undergraduate participation in research to strengthen the pipeline of researchers pursing graduate degrees.
  - Goal: Increase Research Activity and Attract More External Funding
    - Attract more research funding from external (federal and private) sources
- Community and Business Engagement
  - Goal: Increase Community and Business Workforce
    - Increase the percentage of graduates who continue their education or are employed full-time.

E. If the program is to be included in a category within the Programs of Strategic Emphasis as described in the SUS Strategic Plan, please indicate the category and the justification for inclusion.

The Programs of Strategic Emphasis Categories:
1. Critical Workforce:
   - Education
   - Health
   - Gap Analysis
2. Economic Development:
   - Global Competitiveness
3. Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM)

Please see the Programs of Strategic Emphasis (PSE) methodology for additional explanations on program inclusion criteria at the resource page for new program proposal.

The program’s CIP code was added to the Programs of Strategic Emphasis area for STEM at the August 28-29, 2019 Board of Governors Meeting at Florida Gulf Coast University.

F. Identify any established or planned educational sites at which the program is expected to be offered and indicate whether it will be offered only at sites other than the main campus.
The program is intended to be offered onsite at the J.D. Alexander Campus at 4700 Research Way, Lakeland, Florida 33805.

INSTITUTIONAL AND STATE LEVEL ACCOUNTABILITY

II. Need and Demand

A. Need: Describe national, state, and/or local data that support the need for more people to be prepared in this program at this level. Reference national, state, and/or local plans or reports that support the need for this program and requests for the proposed program which have emanated from a perceived need by agencies or industries in your service area. Cite any specific need for research and service that the program would fulfill.

Cybersecurity is a national security issue and an economic concern for Florida and the United States. As more industrial equipment and processes rely on computer-based, remotely controlled systems such as autonomous vehicles and smart-grids, their secure operation is important. Nationwide, demand is growing for engineering jobs especially in cyber physical security and ABET has responded by developing accreditation standards that articulate industry expectations and requirements associated with the broad field of cyber-security, both software and hardware systems. According to the Occupational Outlook Handbook of US Department of labor, the demand for jobs in security is growing 28% faster (“much faster”) than average.

This program should create a hub for highly skilled workforce in cyber physical security to meet the local, state, national and international demands making a center of excellence around Florida Poly. There are a number of companies whose businesses are entirely centered around cyber security.

Seven Florida companies are on the Cybersecurity 500, Cybersecurity Ventures’ list of the world's best companies in the industry: Easy Solutions, Veriato, KnowBe4, Appriver, INFOSIGHT, Harris and Citrix. On the other hand, two Florida cities - Miami (6th) and Tampa (8th) - ranked in the top 10 for cyber security job growth from 2010 to 2014.

Within the Departments of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Computer Science, there are at least four (4) faculty members who are actively engaged in research in cyber security with two additional projects funded by Florida agencies. The development of the proposed curriculum would enhance research activities at Florida Poly to reach its research goals. The department of electrical and computer engineering is searching for one new faculty on cyber physical security and another one for autonomous vehicles. Once the proposed curriculum is in place and the program is accredited by ABET Inc, the program plans (a) to seek for designation as a National Center of Academic Excellence in Cyber Defense Education by the National Security Agency and the Department of Homeland Security, and (b) to offer an online certificate on cyber physical security.

Industry Demand

Worldwide

- 63% of IT professionals surveyed in 2018 noted that their organizations have a shortage of IT staff dedicated to cybersecurity. And nearly 60% say their companies are at moderate or extreme risk of cybersecurity attacks due to this shortage.[1]

The United States

- Nationally, there was a 475% increase in national cybercrime reports in March of 2020, demonstrating a high demand for cybersecurity jobs nationwide.[2]
- Employment of information security analysts is projected to grow 32% from 2018 to 2028, a much higher rate than most occupations.[3] There were 112,300 jobs in 2018, and it is projected to increase to 147,800 jobs by 2028 by the Bureau of Labor statistics.
Florida

- More than 27,000 high-tech companies operate in Florida, with more than 5,000 providing IT-specific services, making Florida #4 in the nation for high-tech employment nationally with more than 237,000 IT jobs. Florida’s High Tech Corridor alone employs more than 43,000 people, generating a payroll of more than $3.4 billion annually. [4]
- Cybercrime will continue to increase due to Florida’s robust economic landscape. At the close of 2017, it was found that there is a national shortage of more than 285,000 skilled workers in this space, with more than 12,600 cybersecurity openings in Florida. [5]
- 68% of organizations surveyed in Florida reported cybersecurity staff recruitment challenges. [6]
- In 2015, more than 46,000 healthcare establishments employed more than 803,000 Floridians. The healthcare industry was the hardest hit by cyberattacks in the first half of 2017, accounting for 25% of all breaches. In Florida alone, organizations reported 28 breaches of HIPAA-related information to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in 2016, with 2.8 million records extracted from Florida data centers in 2016.
- Florida ranks among the top 10 states for manufacturing, with more than 19,000 manufacturers producing a variety of goods. Manufacturing was the third-most attacked sector in 2016, and the proportion of serious incidents were 40% higher than the average across all industries. [7]
- The scarcity of trained cybersecurity professionals and increasing wages have resulted in a negative security-specific unemployment rate in Florida. The Florida Department of Economic Opportunity is estimating additional growth of more than 17% by 2024 across all cybersecurity-related positions. [8]
- Cybersecurity data breaches in Florida increased 17.8% between 2015 and 2016. Forty-one percent of Florida entities surveyed by Gartner in 2017 had recently suffered an incident that disrupted normal business. When asked to rank the severity of the disruption, 66% indicated the event was “moderate” in nature, while 16% rated it “high.”

[5] ibid

B. Demand: Describe data that support the assumption that students will enroll in the proposed program. Include descriptions of surveys or other communications with prospective students.

Computer Science and Computer Engineering, our first and third most popular majors respectively, combine to account for nearly 50% of Florida Poly’s majors. Twenty-one percent of our total majors are pursuing the concentration in information assurance and cyber-security, currently available only to computer science students. By creating a focused degree program in cyber-security engineering, we create opportunity for computer engineers to explore the security issues involved in physical systems. Therefore, we have strong reason to believe that students interested in Florida Poly would readily populate this program.

Currently, in the BOG inventory there are two programs under CIP 11.1003 (Computer information systems security/information assurance): a master’s at FIU and a bachelor’s at UWF. There are no programs in the SUS under CIP 29.0207, Cyber/Electronic Operations and Warfare. As such, the program is not duplicative of any existing program in the SUS, but the SUS does have some competition in Florida from similar programs at private institutions.
At the bachelor’s level, fall 2018 census for UWF showed 91 students enrolled in their cyber-security program (source: UWF Institutional Research).

On December 9, 2019, the university surveyed current undergraduates at Florida Poly to determine their level of interest in a potential Cybersecurity Engineering program. Of the four direct questions about the proposed degree program, approximately 49% of those responding, or 36 students, stated that they would be interested in or would consider switching majors into Cybersecurity Engineering out of a total of 74 student respondents, with 84% being current computer science or computer engineering majors.

Nationally, over 134,000 graduating high school seniors were interested in engineering and computer science degrees and had academic results that fit the admissions profile for Florida Poly in terms of their math abilities. By extrapolating the national trends would result in approximately 40% moving into technology security related majors (College Board, EPS data May 11, 2020).

C. If substantially similar programs (generally at the four-digit CIP Code or 60 percent similar in core courses), either private or public exist in the state, identify the institution(s) and geographic location(s). Summarize the outcome(s) of communication with such programs with regard to the potential impact on their enrollment and opportunities for possible collaboration (instruction and research). In Appendix C, provide data that support the need for an additional program.

Related programs in Florida/SUS are identified below. Many institutions, including Florida Poly, have concentrations in cyber-security located in Computer Science or a related field. A presentation by Allen Parrish and Paul Tortora of the US Naval Academy at the 2017 ABET Symposium (April 20-21) includes an analysis of cybersecurity-related programs nationwide, noting that more are needed nationwide to keep up with demand. Moreover, they analyze types of programs, noting that many are designed around specific approaches such as network security, cyber-crime investigation, data-information security, or some other aspect. In ABET terms, a cybersecurity engineering program requires students to “analyze, design, implement and evaluate systems as an underlying principle.” Further, they note that not all cybersecurity is computing, but that it includes policy and human factors as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIP Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Institution(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.1003</td>
<td>Computer Information Systems Security</td>
<td>UWF-B,M; USF-T – B,M; FIU-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0303</td>
<td>Critical Infrastructure Protection</td>
<td>USF-T – M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0406</td>
<td>Cyber/Computer Forensics &amp; Counterterrorism</td>
<td>FSU-B,M;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.0406</td>
<td>Forensic Science &amp; Technology</td>
<td>FGCU-B; FIU-M; UCF-B,M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Use Table 1 in Appendix A (1-A for undergraduate and 1-B for graduate) to categorize projected student headcount (HC) and Full Time Equivalents (FTE) according to primary sources. Generally undergraduate FTE will be calculated as 30 credit hours per year and graduate FTE will be calculated as 24 credit hours per year. Describe the rationale underlying enrollment projections. If students within the institution are expected to change majors to enroll in the proposed program at its inception, describe the shifts from disciplines that will likely occur.

It is expected that at the outset some percentage of students will shift from either electrical or computer engineering to cybersecurity engineering. This change will be relatively easy given the similarity in curricula. The University may also explore the possibility of offering double-majors to mitigate reduction in any one degree program should that be feasible without losing out on time to degree. It is also possible students from Computer Science might consider changing major, as some surveyed have expressed interest, but the likelihood is small as those students typically want what they perceive as the appeal of a broader computer science degree. Overall, program enrollment in year one and through the first five years is estimated based on the overall University growth plan, not accounting for Covid-19. We do
anticipate that the program will be attractive as Admissions analysis has positive indicators, and we believe its synergy with our other programs will enable it to grow. Furthermore, as this program, and therefore the ECE Department grows, and launches its newer, better defined concentrations, we feel that any initial pull of graduates will even out and all three ECE programs will, over time, demonstrate growth.

E. Indicate what steps will be taken to achieve a diverse student body in this program. If the proposed program substantially duplicates a program at FAMU or FIU, provide, (in consultation with the affected university), an analysis of how the program might have an impact upon that university’s ability to attract students of races different from that which is predominant on their campus in the subject program. The university’s Equal Opportunity Officer shall review this section of the proposal and then sign and date Appendix B to indicate that the analysis required by this subsection has been completed.

As noted in I.B., there were no concerns from the CAVP-ACG in April 2019; furthermore, as identified in Section II.C, the proposed program has no similar CIP codes in the system and the only related fields are at FIU at the master’s level. Thus, graduates of the B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering from Florida Poly may be good candidates for graduate work in Forensic Science and Technology at FIU or further study at the master’s level in Information Assurance.

III. Budget

A. Use Table 2 in Appendix A to display projected costs and associated funding sources for Year 1 and Year 5 of program operation. Use Table 3 in Appendix A to show how existing Education & General funds will be shifted to support the new program in Year 1. In narrative form, summarize the contents of both tables, identifying the source of both current and new resources to be devoted to the proposed program. (Data for Year 1 and Year 5 reflect snapshots in time rather than cumulative costs.)

Table three shows no reallocation of funds because the Department that houses electrical and computer engineering programs that will also house cybersecurity engineering are all under the same account in Academic Affairs. Thus, no reallocation is occurring. In fact, the funds are coming from unallocated recurring dollars from a state appropriation in 2018 to fund additional faculty lines. In general, the additional funds will not be significant as the total person-years in year 1 is 3.08 and in year 5 is 5.4. General institutional growth will make up for the increase in needed faculty along with already allocated funds, growth in student population, and current faculty expertise, which enables us to teach the full curriculum of the proposed program at this time. As noted elsewhere in this document, electrical engineering has been performing below expectations in terms of enrollment so in the short-term, a boost in enrollment in many of the same courses would result in a load-balancing for the faculty as their loads compare across the institution. From this standpoint, the addition of the program is in year one largely cost-neutral.

B. Please explain whether the university intends to operate the program through continuing education, seek approval for market tuition rate, or establish a differentiated graduate-level tuition. Provide a rationale for doing so and a timeline for seeking Board of Governors’ approval, if appropriate. Please include the expected rate of tuition that the university plans to charge for this program and use this amount when calculating cost entries in Table 2.

Not Applicable.

C. If other programs will be impacted by a reallocation of resources for the proposed program, identify the impacted programs and provide a justification for reallocating resources. Specifically address the potential negative impacts that implementation of the proposed program will have on related undergraduate programs (i.e., shift in faculty effort, reallocation of instructional resources, reduced enrollment rates, greater use of adjunct
faculty and teaching assistants). Explain what steps will be taken to mitigate any such impacts. Also, discuss the potential positive impacts that the proposed program might have on related undergraduate programs (i.e., increased undergraduate research opportunities, improved quality of instruction associated with cutting-edge research, improved labs and library resources).

By implementing the B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, the first impacts will be felt in the bachelors’ programs in Electrical and Computer Engineering. We suspect that, initially, the program in Cybersecurity Engineering may draw students away from one or both of the other programs before a resettling occurs where all three reach a steady-state. Some adjustment in teaching loads on faculty will occur as well; however, the program adds only seven new courses to the department at the upper-level and current departmental loads in terms of SCHs have been declining relative to other programs. The intent is to boost departmental enrollment overall to bring the productivity of department faculty up to a level comparable to their counterparts in computer science and mechanical engineering departments.

In terms of research, the new program leverages expertise across both disciplinary areas within the department and the University’s Advanced Mobility Institute as well as other research areas to drive a stronger inter-disciplinary focus on cybersecurity overall. Collaborations with computer science, mathematics, mechanical engineering, and data science will increase with the addition of this program and the need to develop research and curricula in support will help drive the current faculty efforts to higher levels of productivity.

D. Describe other potential impacts on related programs or departments (e.g., increased need for general education or common prerequisite courses, or increased need for required or elective courses outside of the proposed major).

Increased enrollment that the program will incur would increase demand on general education courses supporting the program and increase demand on courses within the department. These are accounted for in Appendix A in enrollment, cost, and faculty tables.

E. Describe what steps have been taken to obtain information regarding resources (financial and in-kind) available outside the institution (businesses, industrial organizations, governmental entities, etc.). Describe the external resources that appear to be available to support the proposed program.

At this stage, no additional external resources have been pursued to support the program. The state provided Florida Poly with an additional appropriate in 2018 to support faculty hiring and that recurring money continues to be available to grow our programs. As yet unallocated portions of those funds will go toward any new costs associated with the program. The University works regularly with its Industry Partners in funding for senior capstone projects and regularly builds these relationships to facilitate student internships and create pathways for job placement.

IV. Projected Benefit of the Program to the University, Local Community, and State

Use information from Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix A, and the supporting narrative for “Need and Demand” to prepare a concise statement that describes the projected benefit to the university, local community, and the state if the program is implemented. The projected benefits can be both quantitative and qualitative in nature, but there needs to be a clear distinction made between the two in the narrative.

The 2017 report out of the Florida Center for Cybersecurity at the University of South Florida makes ample case for the need for a growing workforce in cybersecurity for the state. The “State of Cybersecurity Report” is important to this proposal for several reasons. While it demonstrates the cost of cyber-attacks and the threat they pose to Florida’s economy as well as specific sectors within the economy, the most notable aspect of this report with respect to the proposed program is the range of
types of threats that the document identifies: among these threats are environment, human threats, and social threats such as unrest. The report details other specific actions and causes of breaches, but the key factor is that the report implicitly identifies the complexity of the cybersecurity problem and show it as one to be challenged from an engineering perspective. The report further examines Florida-based organizations and shows that “the average number of full-time, dedicated security personnel ranges from two to five FTE.” Most security teams are backfilled by support positions and 98% of respondents indicated that at least some staff hold security certification. Clearly, the space is wide open for cybersecurity engineers to step in to a wide range of positions throughout Florida and begin making an enormous difference.

![Survey Results: Staffing Challenges](image)

V. Access and Articulation – Bachelor’s Degrees Only

A. If the total number of credit hours to earn a degree exceeds 120, provide a justification for an exception to the policy of a 120 maximum and submit a separate request to the Board of Governors for an exception along with notification of the program’s approval. (See criteria in Board of Governors Regulation 6C-8.014)

Not applicable

B. List program prerequisites and provide assurance that they are the same as the approved common prerequisites for other such degree programs within the SUS (see link to the Common Prerequisite Manual on the [resource page for new program proposal](link)). The courses in the Common Prerequisite Counseling Manual are intended to be those that are required of both native and transfer students prior to entrance to the major program, not simply lower-level courses that are required prior to graduation. The common prerequisites and substitute courses are mandatory for all institution programs listed, and must be approved by the Articulation Coordinating Committee (ACC). This requirement includes those programs designated as “limited access.”

If the proposed prerequisites are not listed in the Manual, provide a rationale for a request for exception to the policy of common prerequisites. NOTE: Typically, all lower-division courses required for admission into the major will be considered prerequisites. The curriculum can require lower-division courses that are not prerequisites for admission into the major, as long as those courses are built into the curriculum for the upper-level 60 credit hours. If there are already common prerequisites for other degree programs with the same proposed CIP, every effort must be made to utilize the previously approved prerequisites instead of recommending an additional “track” of prerequisites for that CIP. Additional tracks may not be approved by the ACC, thereby holding up the full approval of the degree program. Programs will not be entered into the State University System Inventory until any exceptions to the approved common prerequisites are approved by the ACC.

This is in process.

LOWER LEVEL COURSES
Cr. Hrs.

PSYX012  3  Intro to Psychology
&ECOX013  3  Macroeconomics

&Take one of the two below:
-or- STAX023  3  Introductory Statistics I
-or- STAX122  3

&Select one of the following two options:
-or- MACX281  3
-or- Take both courses
&MACX140  3
&MACX114  3
&PHYXXXX (1)  3  Any Physics Course
&MADX104  3  Discrete Math

&Select from the following introductory courses for databases for information technology:
-or- CGSX540  3
-or- CGSX540C  4
-or- CGSX545  3
-or- COPX710  3

&Select from the following courses of programming fundamentals for information technology:
-or- COPX512  3
-or- COPX210  3
-or- COPX270  3
-or- COPX006  3
-or- COPX272C  4
-or- COPX500  3
-or- COPX220  3
-or- COPX360  3
-or- COPX800  3

&Select from the following Object-Oriented Programming courses for Information Technology
-or- COPX513  3
-or- COPX551C  4
-or- COPX000  3
-or- COPX224  3
-or- COPX250  3

(1) PHYX1000 - PHYX2999

C. If the university intends to seek formal Limited Access status for the proposed program, provide a rationale that includes an analysis of diversity issues with respect to such a designation. Explain how the university will ensure that Florida College System transfer students are not disadvantaged by the Limited Access status. NOTE: The policy and criteria for Limited Access are identified in Board of Governors Regulation 6C-8.013. Submit the Limited Access Program Request form along with this document.

Not applicable.

D. If the proposed program is an AS-to-BS capstone, ensure that it adheres to the guidelines
approved by the Articulation Coordinating Committee for such programs, as set forth in Rule 6A-10.024 (see link to the Statewide Articulation Manual on the resource page for new program proposal). List the prerequisites, if any, including the specific AS degrees which may transfer into the program.

Not applicable.

INSTITUTIONAL READINESS

VI. Related Institutional Mission and Strength

A. Describe how the goals of the proposed program relate to the institutional mission statement as contained in the SUS Strategic Plan and the University Strategic Plan (see link to the SUS Strategic Plan on the resource page for new program proposal).

The Program’s Educational Objectives (PEOs) at this initial phase are as follows:

- Graduates demonstrate growth in professional development through graduate study or professional training.
- Graduates demonstrate effective team work as members and leaders in professional environments.
- Graduates demonstrate employability in industry, government, and entrepreneurial endeavors.

Florida Polytechnic University’s mission is to “serve students and industry through excellence in education, discovery, and application of engineering and applied sciences.” The B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering directly supports these goals through program content in engineering designed to educate students to be successful professionals that serve a range of public, private, and government industries and enhance the research reputation and economy of the state of Florida in keeping with the University System’s strategic plan.

B. Describe how the proposed program specifically relates to existing institutional strengths, such as programs of emphasis, other academic programs, and/or institutes and centers.

The B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering program follows our rationale for institutional program growth by drawing on existing faculty expertise and curricular constructs already in place. Thus, with relatively minimal investment and effort, we can launch a new degree program that expands our portfolio in a cutting-edge and innovative way, that has strong promise for both career and academic discipline longevity as well as meets immediate and fast-growing local, state, and national (as well as national security) demand.

The program further draws on our existing research base through the Advanced Mobility Institute (AMI) and our partnership with FDOT Suntrax along with potential partnership with the Florida Industrial Phosphate Research Institute (FIPRI) as well as with other institutions in the state that has established cybersecurity programs.

The program also adds a stronger dimension to our programs and ultimately to our senior capstone experience, which is a year-long, industry-sponsored interdisciplinary project that students collaborate on to demonstrate the full breadth of their content and professional knowledge and skills. As cybersecurity engineering is a comprehensive view of the entire system rather than just a component, including this perspective into capstone design project teams provides us with a new selling point to industry and conversely a new opportunity for industry to test out unique projects with our student body. The program’s fit into portfolio of offerings strengthens and Florida Poly’s total program mix and positions us in yet another way to grow our student body and reputation.

C. Provide a narrative of the planning process leading up to submission of this proposal.
Include a chronology in table format of the activities, listing both university personnel directly involved and external individuals who participated in planning. Provide a timetable of events necessary for the implementation of the proposed program.

The program has undergone an extensive departmental and institutional review process in its development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Planning Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/28/2018</td>
<td>Dr. Youssif Al-Nashif, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Tom Dvorske</td>
<td>Exploring the steps involved initiating a new program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/12/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Youssif Al-Nashif, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Tom Dvorske</td>
<td>Identifying program limitations and university-wide requirements. Prepare draft of New Academic Degree Program Authorization Pre-Proposal Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/24/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Tom Dvorske</td>
<td>Council of Academic Vice Presidents’ Academic Coordinating Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/2019</td>
<td>Board of Trustees</td>
<td>Preliminary program approval – inclusion in Accountability plan approval in 8/12/2019 re-submission to BOG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Ashiq Sakib, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi and Dr. Tom Dvorske</td>
<td>Identify the steps and timeline to complete the program curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/5/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Harish Chintakunta, Dr, Onur Toker, Dr, Rawa Adla, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, and Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi, Dr. Ashiq Sakib.</td>
<td>Identify the structure and curricular courses of the program, concentration areas and specialized courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/8/2019</td>
<td>ECE faculty meeting to all ECE (13) members</td>
<td>Presented to ECE faculty members for their comments and input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/2019</td>
<td>Departmental Curriculum Committee Dr. Onur Toker, Dr. Ashiq Saqib, Dr. Suleyman Alsweiss, Dr. Muhammad Rashid</td>
<td>Committee Approval to forward to the University Curriculum Committee (UCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/21/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Onur Toker, Dr, Rawa Adla, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi and Mahmoud Saleh, Dr. Ashiq Sakib, Dr. Hisham Mahmoud</td>
<td>Assigning tasks and responsibilities to prepare equipment list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/26/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Onur Toker, Dr, Rawa Adla, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi and Mahmoud Saleh, Dr. Ashiq Sakib, Dr. Hisham Mahmoud</td>
<td>Review of the draft of equipment list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/3/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Onur Toker, Dr, Rawa Adla, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi and Mahmoud Saleh</td>
<td>Final list of the equipment list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2019</td>
<td>Dr. Arman Sargolzaei, Dr. Muhammad Rashid, Dr. Onur Toker, Dr, Rawa Adla, Dr. Mohammad Reza Khalghani, Dr. Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi and Mahmoud Saleh, Dr. Hisham Mahmoud, Dr. Ashiq Sakib.</td>
<td>Review the BOG proposal form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/29/2020</td>
<td>Dr. Muhammad Rashid</td>
<td>Final Course and Program Approval Recommendation and Concentrations to University Curriculum Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/11/2020</td>
<td>Dr. Terry Parker</td>
<td>Provost Approval of Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/20/2020</td>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees</td>
<td>Approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Events Leading to Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Implementation Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/21/2020</td>
<td>Submit all forms to Board of Governors Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
<td>Begin planning integrated course offerings, multi-year schedule in ECE department; validate staffing and enrollment projections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upon BOG inclusion in Inventory</td>
<td>Begin recruiting for program and working with State College partners to add to articulation agreements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Program Quality Indicators - Reviews and Accreditation

Identify program reviews, accreditation visits, or internal reviews for any university degree programs related to the proposed program, especially any within the same academic unit. List all recommendations and summarize the institution's progress in implementing the recommendations.

Both programs in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering (electrical engineering and computer engineering) were accredited by ABET-EAC in August 2019, back-dated to October 2017, for a period of 6-years. These programs are the “sister-programs” for Cybersecurity Engineering and from the same accrediting agency and commission with the same program learning outcomes. The programs received full-accreditation with no recommendations for the full 6-year period available. This was their first attempt at accreditation by ABET and a strong endorsement of the quality and integrity of the programs and the strong effort put forth by the faculty and the quality processes they have established and continue to nurture and develop.

VIII. Curriculum

A. Describe the specific expected student learning outcomes associated with the proposed program. If a bachelor’s degree program, include a web link to the Academic Learning Compact or include the document itself as an appendix.

The Program Learning Outcomes for the B.S. in Cybersecurity Engineering conform to the expectation for learning outcomes for ABET-EAC and are easily aligned to the broad skill areas required for the academic learning compact. In the following table, the learning outcomes are defined in the left column, while their alignment with the ALC skills are noted on the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program (Student) Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>The Outcomes Involve These Skills:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upon Completion of the Cybersecurity Engineering Degree, students will possess:</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a team atmosphere, and contribute to team success</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Describe the admission standards and graduation requirements for the program.

Admissions standards and graduation requirements for the program are the same as for all undergraduate programs at Florida Poly. Details for admissions to Florida Poly may be found at https://floridapoly.edu/admissions/.

Requirements for graduation are found in the University’s Academic Catalog and in Academic Policy FPU-5.0094AP Baccalaureate Degree Graduation Requirements.

C. Describe the curricular framework for the proposed program, including number of credit hours and composition of required core courses, restricted electives, unrestricted electives, thesis requirements, and dissertation requirements. Identify the total numbers of semester credit hours for the degree.

---

**B.S. Cybersecurity Engineering**

**05.20.2020**

The following program curriculum template was approved by the UCC and the Provost in spring 2017. This template exists to ensure a certain level of consistency across new and existing programs in terms of general education, foundations, program core, and capstone requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Note(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Professional Foundations Core</td>
<td></td>
<td>SLS 1106 - Academic &amp; Professional Skills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IDS 4941 - Professional Experience Internship</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IDS 1380 - Introduction to STEM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGN 1007C - Concepts and Methods for Engineering and Computer Science (req of Engineering and CS programs only).</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COP 2271C - Introduction to Computation and Programming (required for all programs)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All but Professional Foundations may be distributed in categories below to allow for appropriate credit hour allocations.*

---

II. General Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>State Required Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Students must complete at least one course in each category to satisfy state of Florida regulation.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students must take 9 hours of Humanities and Social Sciences, to be divided 6/3 between the areas.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Courses not taught by Florida Poly but listed in the State of Florida &quot;common core&quot; menu of courses can be accepted as transfer credit.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transfer students who have fulfilled the general education requirements at another institution are understood to have fulfilled the requirements at Florida Poly.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

| Section | Communication | 6 | 6 |

---
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II. Program Foundations / Advanced Math & Science

1. This area may consist of additional general education courses or other foundational courses in a related field.

2. General education courses must be used first to fulfill General Education requirements before being applied here.

3. 15 credits here, plus 15 in Sections D and E (above) meet the 30 hour Basic Math/Science requirement for ABET.

4. Should count the following in this category: COP 2271C - Introduction to Computation and Programming (required for all
Doing so ensures the 30 hour ABET requirement for "Basic Math/Science."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2049</td>
<td>Physics 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2049L</td>
<td>Physics 2 Laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 2312</td>
<td>Analytic Geometry and Calculus 2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 2313</td>
<td>Analytic Geometry and Calculus 3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA 3032</td>
<td>Probability and Statistics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Program Core

40 credits represents a minimum, depending on how many credits are included in Category II, above.

Pre-Capstone design sequences should be included in this category—may be listed as a subset in catalog to stand out.

**Add Rows as needed**

* The following may be counted in this category instead:*

  * IDS 1380 - Introduction to STEM: Credits: 3
  * EGN 1007C - Concepts and Methods for Engineering and Computer Science: Credits: 3 (req of Engineering and CS programs only).
  * COP 2271C Introduction to Computation and Programming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Course Name</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COP 3337C</td>
<td>Object Oriented Programming</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3311C</td>
<td>Circuits 1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3702C</td>
<td>Digital Logic Design</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3135</td>
<td>Systems and Signals</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3312C</td>
<td>Circuits 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4746C</td>
<td>Microcomputers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4768C</td>
<td>Computer Architecture and Organization</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT 3004C</td>
<td>Introduction to Computer Networks</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 3530</td>
<td>Data Structures &amp; Algorithms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 4600</td>
<td>Operating Systems Concepts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS 3105</td>
<td>Linear Algebra</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP 4612</td>
<td>Machine Learning</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4523</td>
<td>Information Theory and Cryptography</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4721</td>
<td>Protective Technologies and Forensic Technologies for Cyber Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Concentration

Concentrations should consist of no more than 12 credits. If other than "Advanced Topics," up to six credits may come from electives or courses in other concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc</th>
<th>Industrial Control Systems Security</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc 1</td>
<td>EEE 4531 Techniques for High Fidelity Acquisition</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4652 Control Theory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4743 Cyber Physical Security of Industrial Control Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conc 2**

**Smart-Grid Security**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc 2</th>
<th>Smart-Grid Security</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4345 Renewable Energy Systems and Power Electronics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4251 Power System Analysis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4543 Smart-Grid and Cyber Physical Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc 3</td>
<td>Hardware Security</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEE 3310 Digital Electronics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4724 Hardware Design with FPGAs and Reconfigurable Computing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4772 Hardware Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Electives 6 --

The number of electives may be reduced to fill out the program core or meet institutional or state required general education requirements.

Add Rows as needed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDA 361C Embedded Operating Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS 4367 Computer Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4242 Power Electronics Circuits</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4515 Digital Communication Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4664C Kinematics and Control of Robotic System</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. Capstone 6 6

All programs are required to have a 6 credit senior capstone sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4914C Senior Design 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4915C Senior Design 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL HOURS 120 120

D. Provide a sequenced course of study for all majors, concentrations, or areas of emphasis within the proposed program.

B.S. Cybersecurity Engineering Plan of Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester 1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS 1106 Academic &amp; Professional Skills</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSC 1010 Biology 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or CHM 2045 - Chemistry 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSC 1010L Biology 1 Laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or CHM 2045L - Chemistry 1 Laboratory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENC 1101 English Composition 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS 1380 Introduction to STEM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 2311 Analytic Geometry and Calculus 1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Semester Credit Hours: 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credits: 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 2271C Introduction to Computation and Programming</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGN 1007C Concepts &amp; Methods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENC 2210 Technical Writing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 2312 Analytic Geometry and Calculus 2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2048 Physics 1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2048L Physics 1 Laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semester 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sophomore Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Semester Credit Hours: 14</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sophomore Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 3337C Object Oriented Programming</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 2313 Analytic Geometry and Calculus 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2049 Physics 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY 2049L Physics 2 Laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science General Education: History</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Semester Credit Hours: 14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Semester Credit Hours: 16</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sophomore Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3311C Circuits 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3702C Digital Logic Design</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAD 2104 Discrete Mathematics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP 2302 Differential Equations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Humanities General Education</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Semester Credit Hours: 16</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Junior Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Junior Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3135 Systems and Signals</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 3312C Circuits 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4746C Microcomputers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4768C Computer Architecture and Organization</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA 3032 Probability and Statistics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Junior Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT 3004C Introduction to Computer Networks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 3530 Data Structures &amp; Algorithms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 4600 Operating Systems Concepts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS 4941 Professional Experience Internship</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS 3105 Linear Algebra</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Humanities, or Social Science General Education</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Senior Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senior Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration Course</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration Course</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP 4612 Machine Learning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4914C Senior Design 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4523 Information Theory and Cryptography</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Semester Credit Hours: 15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senior Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semester 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course</td>
<td>Credits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration Course</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4915C Senior Design 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4721 Protective Technologies and Forensic Technologies for Cyber Security</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS 2144 Legal, Ethical, and Management Issues in Technology</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Semester Credit Hours: 15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial Control Systems Security</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEE 4531 Techniques for High Fidelity Acquisition</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4652 Control Theory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4743 Cyber Physical Security of Industrial Control Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Smart-Grid Security</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4345 Renewable Energy Systems and Power Electronics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4251 Power System Analysis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4543 Smart-Grid and Cyber Physical Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hardware Security</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEE 3310 Digital Electronics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4724 Hardware Design with FPGAs and Reconfigurable Computing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4772 Hardware Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity Engineering Concentration or Program Elective</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Advanced Topics</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose 12 credits from this list of courses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4652 Control Theory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4251 Power System Analysis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEE 3310 Digital Electronics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4543 Smart-Grid and Cyber Physical Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4743 Cyber Physical Security of Industrial Control Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4772 Hardware Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Cybersecurity Engineering Electives</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDA 3631C Embedded Operating Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS 4367 Computer Security</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4242 Power Electronics Circuits</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4515 Digital Communication Systems</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEL 4664C Kinematics and Control of Robotic System</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Provide a one- or two-sentence description of each required or elective course.

The descriptions below include core, elective, and concentration courses for the program.

**COP 3337C - Object Oriented Programming**
This is an intermediate programming course designed for students with prior programming experience. This course focuses on object-oriented programming concepts and techniques using C++. The covered
topics will include: streams, classes, recursion, template classes, file handling, and exception handling.

EEL 3111C - Circuits 1
This lecture-lab combined course covers the basic analysis of linear circuits. Topics include electrical quantities, network laws and theorems, steady state and transient analysis for circuits. Computer-aided analysis is also covered.

EEL 3702C - Digital Logic Design
The analysis and design of sequential logic circuits, combinational logic circuits, and feedback circuits are covered in this course. Additional topics include Boolean algebra, Boolean functions, number systems, minimizations, binary arithmetic, k-maps, combinational circuit synthesis, combinational medium scale integrated (MSI) logic circuits, sequential logic, sequential MSI logic circuits and synchronous state machine design.

COP 3530 - Data Structures & Algorithms
The course introduces program run-time analysis and algorithm design and analysis. Topics include: data abstraction principals, serial and parallel data structures, linked lists, graphs, trees, divide and conquer algorithms, greedy algorithms, and linear programming.

EEL 3112C - Circuits 2
This lecture-lab combined course introduces the fundamentals of transient state analysis; linear circuit analysis in frequency domain, sinusoidal steady-state analysis and power calculations, Laplace transform techniques, frequency response analysis, balanced three-phase circuits and two-port circuit analysis.

EEL 3135 - Systems and Signals
Continuous-time and discrete-time systems analysis, focusing on linear time-invariant (LTI) systems and the classification of these systems is presented in this course. Convolution and its application to LTI systems, the Laplace, Fourier, and z transforms, the Fourier series and their application to the analysis of LTI systems will also be presented. Industry applications will be a specific focus.

CNT 3004C - Introduction to Computer Networks
This course provides an introduction to fundamental concepts in computer networks, including their design and implementation. Topics covered include all seven layers of OSI Reference Model, network protocols (providing reliability and congestion control), routing, and link access. Special attention is also paid to wireless networks and security.

EEL 4768C - Computer Architecture and Organization
This course covers a top-down approach to computer design. Topics include Computer architecture, introduction to assembly language programming and machine language set design. Computer organization, logical modules, CPU, memory and I/O units, instruction cycles, the data path and control unit, hardwiring and microprogramming are also covered.

EEL 4746C – Microcomputers
The course will discuss microcomputers and microcontrollers and explore the subjects of memory addressing modes, instruction sets, central processing units / microprocessors, C and assembly language programming in the context of the course, debugging software and hardware, computer buses, interrupts, real-time events, memory, I/O, counters, timers and interfacing techniques.

COP 4610 - Operating Systems Concepts
This course covers the concepts of the design and implementation of operating systems. Topics included: memory and storage management, virtual memory, processes/threads, system calls, interfaces, I/O, file system, and introduction to virtualization.

EEL4523 – Information Theory and Cryptography
This course covers introduction to information theory, fundamentals of error control coding, error
detection and applications; information Theoretic Metrics; basic cryptography and security.

CAP 4612 - Machine Learning
An overview of machine learning algorithms and their applications. Topics covered include: supervised and unsupervised learning, clustering and classification, linear and logistic regression, dimensionality reduction, support vector machines, anomaly detection.

EEL 4721 - Protective Technologies and Forensic Techniques for Cyber Security
This course is an introductory course on the selection and design of attack prevention techniques and countermeasures. In addition, it introduces the students to the concepts of digital forensics science and the techniques of preparing the high-tech investigation reports.

Industrial Control Systems Security

EEL 4652 - Control Theory
The analysis of feedback control systems in both continuous- & discrete time domains, methods for improving system response for transient and steady state behavior, system stability concept, methods for examining system stability in both time & frequency domains and determining the system stability margins are discussed.

EEL 4743 - Cyber Physical Security of Industrial Control Systems
This course is an introduction to the security of industrial control systems and networked control systems. It covers communication protocols and network security issues related to industrial control systems. The stability of networked control systems will be investigated to examine the robustness of the control systems. It also covers simple model-based detection and compensation techniques for designing secure control system based on linear control theory.

EEE 4531 - Techniques for High Fidelity Acquisition
The course covers the concepts, planning, design, tools, and skills related to acquiring high quality signals. Methods include extracting signals from noise, designing measurement systems to minimize noise and disturbance effects, and identifying and ameliorating sources of noise. The course also investigates measurement error using statistical analysis and sensors dynamic models.

Smartgrid Security

EEL 4251 - Power System Analysis
Development of models for power system components: power transformers, transmission lines, transmission lines steady state operation, power flows, symmetrical components, and fault analyses.

EEL 4543 - Smart-Grid and Cyber Physical Security
This course covers an overview of smart grid infrastructure, and management policy, including the integration of renewable resources, electricity market, and demand-side management, etc. The smart grid challenges and requirements will be extensively discussed, especially privacy, and cybersecurity. Digital communications, communication standards and Internet-of-Things in smart grids will be presented. Smart grid operation and management will be analyzed and demonstrated by simulation software, e.g. MATLAB-SIMULINK.

EEL 4345 - Renewable Energy Systems and Power Electronics
This course covers an overview of renewable energy systems with emphasis of the applications in photovoltaic sources and wind power. Also includes the design considerations of power electronics and control for grid-connected systems. The use of Mathlab-Simulink software tool for evaluating renewable energy and power electronics converters for grid-connected systems.

Hardware Security
EEE 3310 - Digital Electronics
This course focuses on the implementation of logic devices, MOSFET’s, and BJT’s. Students will analyze logic families including NMOS, CMOS, and TTL. The fundamentals of digital memory circuits are also covered.

EEL 4772 - Hardware Security
This course covers the basic algebra of finite fields, the mathematical theory of selective cryptographic primitives, the different security threats across both circuit and microarchitecture levels in the modern electronic hardware designs, the test and verification of cryptographic hardware, and hardware Trojans. Students will gain in-depth knowledge by applying the theoretical concepts on the practical case studies through completing multiple projects.

EEL 4724 - Hardware Design with FPGAs and Reconfigurable Computing
Introduction to rapid hardware prototyping and reconfigurable computation. Fundamentals of RTL design, FSM and FSMD based designs, and System on Chip based approaches. Design constraints, timing closure, and power analysis. Realization of various hardware systems on an actual FPGA board.

Cybersecurity Engineering Electives

CIS 4367 - Computer Security
This course covers security issues in different aspect of computing. Topics covered are: access control mechanisms, authentication models, and vulnerability detection. Attacks and mitigation methods at the OS level. Database and operating system security issues, mobile code, security kernels. Malicious code, Trojan horses and computer viruses. Security policy formation and enforcement.

CDA 3631C - Embedded Operating Systems
Embedded Operating Systems or Real time operating systems are operating systems are designed to be compact, efficient, and reliable. Topics discussed include embedded architectures, interaction with devices, concurrency, real-time principles, implementation trade-offs, profiling and code optimization, and embedded software.

EEL 4664C - Kinematics and Control of Robotic Systems
This course provides a general introduction to spatial descriptions and transformations. The fundamental concepts and methods to analyze, model and control robotic mechanisms will be covered. Main topics include the fundamentals of kinematics, dynamics and control of robotic systems. Additional topics include state estimation and dynamic parameter identification. Also, the course covers the design and implementation of a motion trajectory planning algorithm.

EEL 4242 - Power Electronics Circuits
Circuit topologies, analysis, design and simulation of power electronic circuits such as power supplies and motor drives.

EEL 4515 - Digital Communication Systems
This course covers various aspects of the physical layer of the communication system. These aspects include information theory (source coding, channel coding, and channel capacity), channel models, and modulation techniques.

F. For degree programs in the science and technology disciplines, discuss how industry-driven competencies were identified and incorporated into the curriculum and indicate whether any industry advisory council exists to provide input for curriculum development and student assessment.

The genesis of this degree program idea came from interaction with the Program Area Chair for the ABET-EAC committee that reviewed our programs in Electrical, Computer, and Mechanical Engineering
in October 2018. The program further received input from the curriculum advisory board for the ECE Department at its 2019 meeting in May. This advisory Board provides regular input on the programs as part of the Department’s active monitoring of its ABET compliance processes and Cybersecurity Engineering would fall under this umbrella. The specific program objectives at this stage are the same at those reviewed and supported by the industry/curriculum advisory board for electrical and computer engineering and the program’s learning outcomes are consistent with outcomes for ABET-EAC accredited programs.

G. For all programs, list the specialized accreditation agencies and learned societies that would be concerned with the proposed program. Will the university seek accreditation for the program if it is available? If not, why? Provide a brief timeline for seeking accreditation, if appropriate.

The program will seek ABET-EAC accreditation upon completion of its first graduate. This should coincide with the reapproval period of its programs in electrical and computer engineering in 2023, so the final decision would likely come in August of 2024, and would be accredited back to the graduation of that first student. The program would also consider certification approvals by NSA and other agencies as appropriate.

H. For doctoral programs, list the accreditation agencies and learned societies that would be concerned with corresponding bachelor’s or master’s programs associated with the proposed program. Are the programs accredited? If not, why?

Not Applicable.

I. Briefly describe the anticipated delivery system for the proposed program (e.g., traditional delivery on main campus; traditional delivery at branch campuses or centers; or nontraditional delivery such as distance or distributed learning, self-paced instruction, or external degree programs). If the proposed delivery system will require specialized services or greater than normal financial support, include projected costs in Table 2 in Appendix A. Provide a narrative describing the feasibility of delivering the proposed program through collaboration with other universities, both public and private. Cite specific queries made of other institutions with respect to shared courses, distance/distributed learning technologies, and joint-use facilities for research or internships.

The program is intended to be delivered on-site, face-to-face. As the University continues to grow and especially in response to the Covid-19 pandemic, it has increasingly grown in its ability to deliver courses in distance and hybrid delivery methods. We will continue to explore the most effective learning environments and methodologies for our students to be successful professionals in the area of cybersecurity engineering.

IX. Faculty Participation

A. Use Table 4 in Appendix A to identify existing and anticipated full-time (not visiting or adjunct) faculty who will participate in the proposed program through Year 5. Include (a) faculty code associated with the source of funding for the position; (b) name; (c) highest degree held; (d) academic discipline or specialization; (e) contract status (tenure, tenure-earning, or multi-year annual [MYA]); (f) contract length in months; and (g) percent of annual effort that will be directed toward the proposed program (instruction, advising, supervising internships and practica, and supervising thesis or dissertation hours).

Existing faculty in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and faculty in the Department of Computer Science collaborated on the development of the program and will continue to collaborate on the delivery of the degree. This is reflected in Appendix A.
B. Use Table 2 in Appendix A to display the costs and associated funding resources for existing and anticipated full-time faculty (as identified in Table 4 in Appendix A). Costs for visiting and adjunct faculty should be included in the category of Other Personnel Services (OPS). Provide a narrative summarizing projected costs and funding sources.

Current faculty positions, as Appendix A, Table 4 shows, are sufficient to deliver the program and maintain delivery in existing programs as well. Funds will come from unallocated E&G provisioned by the legislature in 2018 to support faculty hiring to offset any reallocation of time that may be incurred by increased enrollment and section growth due to student demand.

C. Provide in the appendices the abbreviated curriculum vitae (CV) for each existing faculty member (do not include information for visiting or adjunct faculty).

Curriculum Vitae for faculty are located in Appendix C of this document.

D. Provide evidence that the academic unit(s) associated with this new degree have been productive in teaching, research, and service. Such evidence may include trends over time for average course load, FTE productivity, student HC in major or service courses, degrees granted, external funding attracted, as well as qualitative indicators of excellence.

See Appendix D., Faculty Workload Summary, of this document.

X. Non-Faculty Resources

A. Describe library resources currently available to implement and/or sustain the proposed program through Year 5. Provide the total number of volumes and serials available in this discipline and related fields. List major journals that are available to the university’s students. Include a signed statement from the Library Director that this subsection and subsection B have been reviewed and approved.

The Florida Polytechnic University Library is comprised of two distinct collections: the main library collection is a digital library, and the Florida Industrial Phosphate Research (FIPR) Institute collection is primarily a print comprehensive collection of phosphate-related resources and archival materials. There was a conscious effort at the inception of the institution to establish the main library as a virtual library. The Florida Polytechnic University Library provides specialized, STEM-focused resources and learning opportunities for students, faculty, and staff to work successfully with, interpret, and utilize information. Students at Florida Polytechnic University have 24/7/365 access to library resources via the internet.

The Florida Polytechnic University’s main library is located on the second floor of the University’s Innovation, Science and Technology Building, in an open-space area called the Commons. The main, digital collection contains over 150,000 full text ebook volumes that are a mixture of owned and licensed materials. There is no physical stack area.

The University Library provides support for all the degrees offered at the institution, and currently supports master’s and bachelor’s programs in Computer, Electrical and Mechanical Engineering. Resources that directly support Florida Poly’s current engineering programs will also directly support the proposed Cybersecurity Engineering program. Current library resources include Elsevier’s Science Direct, IEEE Electronic Library, and ProQuest’s SciTech Premium Collection and ProQuest Ebook Central.

Major journals currently available through the Florida Poly Library that will directly support Cybersecurity Engineering include:

IEEE Security and Privacy (2003-present)
IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security (2006-present)
B. Describe additional library resources that are needed to implement and/or sustain the program through Year 5. Include projected costs of additional library resources in Table 2 in Appendix A. Please include the signature of the Library Director in Appendix B.

To further support the Cybersecurity Engineering program, the Library will seek to acquire access to IOS Press journals that focus on information, intelligence and computer security, specifically: Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering, International Journal of Knowledge-Based Intelligent Engineering Systems and Journal of Computer Security. Approximate cost of IOS Press journal access is $6,000.00. Also, additional cybersecurity engineering books will need to be identified and added to the Florida Poly collection, with an approximate initial cost of $5,000.00.

C. Describe classroom, teaching laboratory, research laboratory, office, and other types of space that are necessary and currently available to implement the proposed program through Year 5.

The following faculties are currently available for electrical and computer engineering programs.

C.1. Offices, Classrooms and Laboratories
As a new institution, Florida Polytechnic University currently has one building primarily used for academic and academic support programs. The building is commonly known as the IST, which stands for Innovation, Science, and Technology.

The IST was completed in June 2014 and has an estimated gross square feet of 186,736. It is considered the main building on the main campus, located at the north end. It houses all academic departments and programs. Currently all instruction and research activities conducted by faculty and staff take place at this building. Square footage is separated between classrooms, research labs, teaching labs, library, office space for faculty and staff, study areas, and terrace space.

The second floor, center of the IST houses the University’s fully online Library, areas for students to study known as collaboration rooms, and the commons. This space has a total of 22,172 net assignable square footage. Collaborations rooms are used by students, faculty and staff. These sit a maximum of five people, and are equipped with television monitors where personal laptops can be projected.

C.2 Offices
All faculty offices are located on the second floor of the campus’ main educational building, the IST (Innovation, Science, and Technology). The IST’s second floor is divided into four quadrants. The Electrical and Computer Engineering Department shares a quadrant with the Mechanical Engineering Department, and both are adjacent to the Department of Computer Science.

Administrative and faculty offices include a total net assignable space of 10,545. An office has an average of 68 square feet, glass-board, desk and auxiliary table, built-in space for storage and small lock-in key storage cabinet. Each office seats one person.

Across the hall form the ECE faculty offices sits an administrative assistant dedicated to the department and a workroom, complete with copier (scanner, fax, etc.), refrigerator, and other essential office equipment. Each quadrant has a collaboration room for departmental meetings, committee work, student collaborations, and student-faculty conferences.

C.3 Classrooms and Associated Equipment
Classrooms and associated equipment that are typically available where the program courses are taught.
Classrooms and teaching labs are primarily located on the 1st floor of the IST building. A typical classroom or teaching lab contains a desk with a computer for the instructor to access teaching materials and seats from 20 to 46 students.

All classrooms have a projector, Claris-boards, and sound-technology so classes can be recorded by instructors. These educational spaces contain different seating options for the comfort of the students and can be easily rearranged depending on the instructional needs. There is 29,620 net assignable square footage in the IST building considered as educational space.

Additionally, there are 16,697 net assignable square footage allocated for research activities conducted by faculty and students. Research labs are located on the 1st floor of the building and depending on the type of research conducted in the lab, they contain specialized equipment, computers, projectors, appropriate safety equipment and security.

C.4 ECE Laboratories

Laboratory facilities including those containing computers (describe available hardware and software) and the associated tools and equipment that support instruction. Include those facilities used by students in the program even if they are not dedicated to the program and state the times they are available to students. Complete Appendix C containing a listing of the major pieces of equipment used by the program in support of instruction.

IST, Room 1013 Research Laboratory: This is an approximately 1747 sq. ft. lab that is used as a research project lab for graduate programs and graduate students. This lab has 10 benches with 20 stations. Each station is equipped with 20 Tektronix MD031004-type oscilloscopes, 20 Tektronix AFG 3052C-type Function Generators, 20 Keithley 22300G-3-1-type DC supplies, 20 Keithley 2110-type multimeters and 20 Lenovo computers with access to all of the university software tools. There are additional 4 benches with computers for control system lab and other course based-projects.

The lab also contains bins of resistors, capacitors, parts bins, lab kits, and analog ICs used for the laboratory courses held in the room. Students enrolled in a course utilizing this lab can request access to the lab for hours that the lab is not used. The lab is managed by a lab technician who is available all the time during the lab sessions. There are about 20 labs scheduled in this room during a week. This typically amounts to approximately 60 hours between Monday and Friday.

Available ECE Software Tools:
- MARSMIP simulation
- VHDL/Verilog – Model Sim
- Multisim 14.0 circuit simulator
- Python Language
- Matlab 2016
- Quarc –software
- Rockwell PLC studio 5000
- Labview
- Cadence 22nm technology
- NeMOS5 Device simulations

IST, Room 1056 Circuits Laboratory: This is an approximately 927 sq. ft. lab that is utilized by both electrical and computer engineering students enrolled in circuits, electronics, digital logic and control systems laboratory courses (EEL 3111C, EEL 3112C, EEL 3702C, EEL 3304C, EEL 4351C and 4321C). Each student works individually in each lab station in all labs. It is furnished to accommodate 24 stations for 24 students.

IST, Room 1058 Digital and Cyber Physical Lab: This is an approximately 930 sq. ft. lab is completely equipped and finished appropriately to accommodate 24 stations for 24 students. It is used for labs in
computer architecture and organization, microcomputer, cybersecurity, embedded control, and embedded operating systems, and computer science courses. The program has all the equipment, currently in storage, until facilities finalizes furniture delivery and arrangement.

IST, Room 1025 Robotics Lab: This is an approximately 772 sq. ft. lab that is utilized by both electrical and computer engineering and computer science students for graduate and undergraduate labs and projects.

D. Describe additional classroom, teaching laboratory, research laboratory, office, and other space needed to implement and/or maintain the proposed program through Year 5. Include any projected Instruction and Research (I&R) costs of additional space in Table 2 in Appendix A. Do not include costs for new construction because that information should be provided in response to X (E) below.

The department of electrical and computer engineering plans for implementation of the proposed Cybersecurity Engineering program in collaboration with the department of computer science. The existing faculties will be utilized for most of the courses, except specialized concentration areas. Florida Polytechnic University plans to move to a new building Applied Research Center (ARC) of 95,000 sq. ft in fall 2021, and that facility would prove additional classrooms, laboratories, and two dedicated cyber security labs to be shared with computer science department.

E. If a new capital expenditure for instructional or research space is required, indicate where this item appears on the university’s fixed capital outlay priority list. Table 2 in Appendix A includes only Instruction and Research (I&R) costs. If non-I&R costs, such as indirect costs affecting libraries and student services, are expected to increase as a result of the program, describe and estimate those expenses in narrative form below. It is expected that high enrollment programs in particular would necessitate increased costs in non-I&R activities.

Not Applicable

F. Describe specialized equipment that is currently available to implement the proposed program through Year 5. Focus primarily on instructional and research requirements.

With carefully class schedules, the exiting labs IST, Room 1056 Circuits Laboratory, IST, Room 1058 Digital and Cyber Physical Lab, Computer labs and computing facilities should be able to accommodate up to three (3) lab sections of 24 students in each section, totaling 3x24 = 72 students per semester. There would be need to project-based lab facility and dedicated equipment for Industrial Control Systems Security, Smart-grid Security, and Hardware Security.

G. Describe additional specialized equipment that will be needed to implement and/or sustain the proposed program through Year 5. Include projected costs of additional equipment in Table 2 in Appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Equipment Needed</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>No need</td>
<td>2021-2022</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>software $45k</td>
<td>2022-2023</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd year</td>
<td>all equipment $375k</td>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>all equipment $200k</td>
<td>2024-2025</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H. Describe any additional special categories of resources needed to implement the program through Year 5 (access to proprietary research facilities, specialized services, extended travel, etc.). Include projected costs of special resources in Table 2 in Appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Lab Equipment</th>
<th>Specialized Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal RT (Real time HIL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPACE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 PC: $15K</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply: $10K</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Fi Probs: $10K</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware security:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 PCs: $25K</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC: $40K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart meters: $30K</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Server: $30K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routers: $10K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software: $40K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewalls: $15K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPGA: $30K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcontrollers: $5K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscilloscope: $20K</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip whisperer: $10K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSSE license: $5K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi Com PCs: $20k</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSSE License</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: $620K</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td>490000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Describe fellowships, scholarships, and graduate assistantships to be allocated to the proposed program through Year 5. Include the projected costs in Table 2 in Appendix A.

Undergraduate students are provided scholarships based on their merit and not by program. As such, there are no specific funds in the form of fellowships, assistantships, or scholarships provided to any program. Graduate assistantships are awarded to students on a competitive basis and some efforts is made by the Graduate Division to apportion these awards based on students’ areas of focus within the major. (E.g. M.S. in Engineering of which the Department of ECE supports two specific disciplinary tracks and contributes to one interdisciplinary track.) Faculty with extramural funding also support employment of graduate students.

J. Describe currently available sites for internship and practicum experiences, if appropriate to the program. Describe plans to seek additional sites in Years 1 through 5.

All Florida Poly degrees require students to take an internship as part of their graduation requirement. The emphasis is on external, professional internships, but may also include internal, research-based internships where students work on funded projects with a faculty member or with a team of collaborators on a faculty-driven or industry-sponsored project.

Florida Poly holds both an annual internship fair and an annual career fair and currently sends interns to over 200 companies in throughout Florida and beyond. Some nearby companies include Accusoft, Publix Supermarkets, the Florida Department of Transportation, and Motorola Solutions. Our Director of Industry Engagement and our Office of Career Services continue to reach out and grow relationships with Florida companies to create pathways for interns, careers, sponsored projects, and a range of other opportunities of mutual benefit.
## APPENDIX A

### TABLE 1-A
Projected Headcount from Potential Sources
(Baccalaureate Degree - Cyber Security Program)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Students</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-level students who are transferring from other majors within the university**</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students who initially entered the university as FTIC students and who are progressing from the lower to the upper level***</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida College System transfers to the upper level***</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to the upper level from other Florida colleges and universities***</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers from out of state colleges and universities***</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Explain)***</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* List projected annual headcount of students enrolled in the degree program. List projected yearly cumulative ENROLLMENTS instead of admissions.

** If numbers appear in this category, they should go DOWN in later years.

*** Do not include individuals counted in any PRIOR CATEGORY in a given COLUMN.
### APPENDIX A

#### TABLE 2

**PROJECTED COSTS AND FUNDING SOURCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction &amp; Research Costs (non-cumulative)</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reallocation/ Base* (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment Growth (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Recurring E&amp;G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Non-Recurring (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts &amp; Grants (C&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philanthropy/ Endowments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Auxiliary Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal columns 1+…+7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuation Base** (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Enrollment Growth (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*** (E&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts &amp; Grants (C&amp;G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philanthropy/ Endowments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Auxiliary Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal columns 9+…+14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Salaries and Benefits</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; P Salaries and Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USPS Salaries and Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Personal Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistantships &amp; Fellowships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Capital Outlay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Costs</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Identify reallocation sources in Table 3.
**Includes recurring E&G funded costs ("reallocated base," "enrollment growth," and "new recurring") from Years 1-4 that continue into Year 5.
***Identify if non-recurring.

#### Faculty and Staff Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Positions</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (person-years)</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; P (FTE)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USPS (FTE)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated Cost per Student FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total E&amp;G Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Student FTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;G Cost per FTE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Column Explanations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Reallocated Base</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Enrollment Growth</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>New Recurring</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>New Non-Recurring</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Contracts &amp; Grants (C&amp;G)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Philanthropy Endowments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Enterprise Auxiliary Funds</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Subtotal columns 1+...+7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Continuing Base</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><strong>New Enrollment Growth</strong> (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><strong>Other</strong>* (E&amp;G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><strong>Contracts &amp; Grants (C&amp;G)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><strong>Philanthropy Endowments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><strong>Enterprise Auxiliary Funds</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><strong>Subtotal columns 9+...+14</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX A

#### TABLE 3
**ANTICIPATED REALLOCATION OF EDUCATION & GENERAL FUNDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program and/or E&amp;G account from which current funds will be reallocated during Year 1</th>
<th>Base before reallocation</th>
<th>Amount to be reallocated</th>
<th>Base after reallocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Affairs - Faculty Lines</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Totals                                                                              | $0                       | $0                       | $0                      |

*If not reallocating funds, please submit a zeroed Table 3*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Code</th>
<th>Faculty Name or &quot;New Hire&quot; Highest Degree Held Academic Discipline or Specialty</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Contract Status</th>
<th>Initial Date for Participation in Program</th>
<th>Mos. Contract Year 1</th>
<th>FTE Year 1</th>
<th>% Effort for Prg. Year 1</th>
<th>PY Year 1</th>
<th>Mos. Contract Year 5</th>
<th>FTE Year 5</th>
<th>% Effort for Prg. Year 5</th>
<th>PY Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ashiq Sakib Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Onur Toker Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Assoc. Prof</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Muhammad Ullah Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Bala Chandrasekaran Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rawa Adla Elec. &amp; Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Youssif Al-Nashif Elec. &amp; Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Assoc. Prof</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Jorge Vargas Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Assoc. Prof</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Harish Chintakunta Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Suleiman Alsweiss Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Arman Sargolzaei Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Muhammad Rashid Elec. &amp; Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Hisham Mahmood</td>
<td>Asst. Prof.</td>
<td>MYA</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Code</td>
<td>Faculty Name or &quot;New Hire“</td>
<td>Highest Degree Held</td>
<td>Academic Discipline or Specialty</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Contract Status</td>
<td>Initial Date for Participation in Program</td>
<td>Mos. Contract Year 1</td>
<td>FTE Year 1</td>
<td>% Effort for Prg. Year 1</td>
<td>PY Year 1</td>
<td>Mos. Contract Year 5</td>
<td>FTE Year 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Mahmoud Saleh</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Mohammad Reza Khalghani</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Md Selim Habib</td>
<td>Photonics Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Luis Jaimes</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Kanwalinderjit Gagneja</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ashok Patel</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Wei Ding</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Assoc. Prof MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Bayazit Karaman</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>Asst. Prof. MYA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  | Total Person-Years (PY)    | 3.08 | 5.40 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Code</th>
<th>Source of Funding</th>
<th>PY Workload by Budget Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Existing faculty on a regular line</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>New faculty to be hired on a vacant line</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>New faculty to be hired on a new line</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Existing faculty hired on contracts/grants</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>New faculty to be hired on contracts/grants</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall Totals for</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B.

Please include the signature of the Equal Opportunity Officer and the Library Director.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Equal Opportunity Officer</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Library Director</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This appendix was created to facilitate the collection of signatures in support of the proposal. Signatures in this section illustrate that the Equal Opportunity Officer has reviewed section II.E of the proposal and the Library Director has reviewed sections X.A and X.B.
Appendix C. Curriculum Vitae
1. **Name:** Rawa Adla

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>University of Aleppo, Syria</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>University of Aleppo, Syria</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>University of Michigan, MI</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electronic and Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Detroit Mercy, MI</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>Sep, 2019-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and</td>
<td>2018 - 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Detroit Mercy</td>
<td>Visiting Assistant Professor</td>
<td>2015 – 2016</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair County College</td>
<td>Adjunct Professor</td>
<td>2013-2015</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Detroit Mercy</td>
<td>Teaching Assistant</td>
<td>2010-2014</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Michigan</td>
<td>Teaching Assistant</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Aleppo</td>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>2000-2005</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ford Motor Company, Crash</td>
<td>Senior Research Engineer and Consultant</td>
<td>2016-2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance Metrics Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CAMP), Farmington Hills, MI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Detroit Mercy</td>
<td>Graduate Research Assistant</td>
<td>2012-2015</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (New York)
- Eta Kappa Nu (HKN) - Electrical Engineering Honor Society
- ACM - Association for Computing Machinery

7. **Honors and Awards**

- Best Poster Session Winner Award and to the Success of The IEEE Southeastern Michigan Section Activities, IEEE/SEM Winter 2016 meeting, April 19, 2016.
- Best Poster Presentation Award: "Collision Avoidance Systems in Autonomous Driving," IEEE/SEM
- Fall 2014 meeting, Best Poster Award, November 2014.

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

- Reviewers for many conferences papers and journals such as IEEE, IASTED, and IJCITE
• Member of the Steering/Advisory Committees for EMERGING series. ISSN: 2326-9383, ISBN: 978-1-61208-602-6
• Editorial board member of the International Journal of Computer Science and information technology for education IJCSITE
• Committee member, The 6th international conference on model drive engineering software development (Modelsward 2018), January 22-24, 2018 – Funchal, Madeira, Portugal
• Technical Program Committee member, The 9th IEEE International Conference on Computer Science & Information Technology CSIT 2018, Amman – Jordan, 11-12 July
• Technical Program Committee member, The Ninth International Conference on Emerging Networks and Systems Intelligence (EMERGING 2017), November 12-16, 2017 - Barcelona, Spain
• Technical Program Committee member, The 8th IEEE International Conference on Computer Science & Information Technology CSIT 2017, Amman – Jordan, 12-13 July
• Technical Program Committee member, The Eighth International Conference on Emerging Networks and Systems Intelligence (EMERGING 2016), October 9-13, 2016 - Venice, Italy
• Technical Program Committee (TPC) member in the 7th IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology CSIT 2016
• Member of the College of Engineering and Science’s Computer and Technology Committee, University of Detroit Mercy, 2016
• Member of the Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Software Engineering’s Curriculum Committee, University of Detroit Mercy, 2016

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**

- Jan-Niklas Meier, Aravind Kailas, Rawa Adla, et. Al, “Implementation and Evaluation of Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control Functionalities”, 25th ITS World Congress, Copenhagen, Denmark, 17-21 September 2018
• Rawa Adla; Youssef Bazzi; Nizar Al-Holou, "Bayesian network based collision avoidance system," IEEE/ Electro-Information Technology Conference on , pp.605,610, 19-21 May. 2015


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The University of Arizona</strong>, Tucson, AZ, USA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Dissertation: <em>Design, Analysis, and Automation of a Multi-Level Network Behavior Analysis Defense System</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Advisor: Prof. Salim Hariri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jordan University of Science and Technology</strong>, Irbid, Jordan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Supervisor: Prof. Ibrahim Gharieb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jordan University of Science and Technology</strong>, Irbid, Jordan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic experience</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Florida Polytechnic University</strong>, Lakeland, FL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Department Chair, Dept. of Computer Science</td>
<td>Jan. 2018 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Associate Professor, Dept. of Computer Science</td>
<td>Aug. 2015 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Associate Professor, Dept. of Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Aug. 2015 - present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Academic Program Coordinator, Dept. of Computer Science</td>
<td>Sep. 2015 - Jan. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Old Dominion University</strong>, Norfolk, VA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Founding Director for the ODU Center for Cybersecurity Education and Research</td>
<td>Feb. 2015 - Jul. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Assistant Professor, Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Jul. 2014 - Jul. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The University of Arizona</strong>, Tucson, AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jordan University of Science and Technology</strong>, Irbid, Jordan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Lecturer, Dept. of Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Sep. 2000 - Dec. 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-academic experience</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVIRTEK Inc.</strong>, Tucson, AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer World Establishment</strong>, Irbid, Jordan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current membership in professional organizations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>■ ACM Professional Member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ A member of the IEEE Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ A member of the Engineering Society in Amman/Jordan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honors &amp; awards</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>■ The best poster award from The International Conference on Cloud and Autonomic Computing (CAC 2014), September, 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ The solo designer and implementer of Avirteks Inc. Security Solutions. Based on those solutions, Avirtek was chosen with 19 other companies to present Innovative Security Solutions in Washington, D.C. at SINET Showcase 2010. The solutions were labeled as the most innovative Cybersecurity solutions 2010 (<a href="http://www.security-innovation.org/showcase2010.htm">http://www.security-innovation.org/showcase2010.htm</a>)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Service Activities
- Academic Program Coordinator for the Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, (Sept. 2015 - present)
- Faculty co-advisor for ACM Florida Polytechnic University ACM Student Chapter, (2017)
- Faculty mentor and judge for Biology Expo 2016.
- Faculty judge for History Expo 2016.
- Search committee chair (Summer 2016).
- Computer Engineering Search Committee member (2017).
- CSIT program review (2016).
- CSIT department representative in the SACS-COC candidacy visit (2017).
- Member in FIPoly Website Steering Committee, (2017).
- Member of Faculty Assembly Constitution Review Committee, (2016).

### External
- IEEE Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conf. (ICCAC) 2017, Publication Chair
- IEEE Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conf. (ICCAC) 2017, Web Chair
- IEEE AICCSA 2017, Publication Chair
- IEEE Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conf. (ICCAC) 2016, Publicity Chair
- IEEE AICCSA 2016, Publication Chair
- ICICS 2016, Track Co-Chair for Security and Privacy track.
- ANT-2016 (The 7th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and Technologies), Program Committee member
- IEEE Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conf. (ICCAC) 2015, Publicity Chair
- IEEE AICCSA 2015, Program Chair
- The 24th International Conf. on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN 2015), Program Committee member
- ICICS 2014, Program Committee member
- ICICS 2014 (14th International Conference on Computational Science), Program Committee member
- PC member (Autonomic Cybersecurity), ACM Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conference, CAC2013
- PC member (Autonomic Cloud Computing), ACM Cloud and Autonomic Computing Conference, CAC2013
- AICCSA 2013, Publication Chair
- Judge for the 2016 Congressional App Challenge

### Sample of Recent Publications
1. **Name**: Suleiman Alsweiss

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Princess Sumaya University, Jordan</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida, USA</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida, USA</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>August, 2016-present</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanic &amp; Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)</td>
<td>Senior Scientist: Research and Development</td>
<td>November, 2011 – August, 2016</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

- Professional Engineer, Ontario, Canada (from 1977 – 2002)
- Chartered Engineer, United Kingdom (from 1977 – 2005)

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Senior member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (New York)

7. **Honors and Awards**

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

- Editorial board member: The Journal of Atmospheric Science Research
- Reviewer board member: MDPI Remote Sensing
- Reviewer: NASA’s MUREP Institutional Research Opportunity
- Reviewer: NASA’s Postdoctoral Program (NPP)
- Participated and chaired sessions in several IEEE conferences
- Participated in NSF grants conference

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10. List the most recent professional development activities

- Consultant to the Ocean Vector Winds Team at NOAA/NESDIS/STAR
- Plan and participate in field experiment campaigns aboard NOAA’s P3 hurricane hunters
- Co-advising graduate students (Msc and PhD) at Florida Polytechnic University and other Universities (e.g. UCF)
1. **Name**: Balasubramaniyan Chandrasekaran

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.E.</td>
<td>Electronics and Communications Engineering</td>
<td>Visveswaraiah Technological University, India</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.S.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of North Carolina at Charlotte</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of North Carolina at Charlotte</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor, Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Aug 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Fellow</td>
<td>Fellowship</td>
<td>Aug 2016 – Dec 2016</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Assistant</td>
<td>Student Work</td>
<td>Aug 2014 – May 2017</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lara Technologies, India</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>2010-2014</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tech Mahindra, India</td>
<td>Technical Associate</td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**
- Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

6. **Honors and Awards**
- 2016 - Provost’s Doctoral Teaching Fellowship

7. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

8. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**

5. **List the most recent professional development activities**
- ABET program evaluation committee member for electrical and computer engineering.
- Faculty Development series organized by Florida Polytechnic University (Fall 2017):
  - Course Assessment
  - Research development/Sponsored Research
Dr. Harish Chintakunta | Curriculum Vitae

Education

- Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering, North Carolina State University, 2013
- Master of Science in Electrical Engineering, North Carolina State University, 2008
- Bachelor of Technology in Electronics and Communications Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, 2006

Academic Experience

- Florida Polytechnic University, Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering, 2016-present, full time
- Coordinated Science Laboratory at University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign, Post-doctoral researcher, 2014-2015, full time.
- Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at North Carolina State University, Post-doctoral researcher, 2013-2014.

Current membership in professional organizations

- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

Service activities

- Serving on the board for collaboration between Florida Polytechnic University and Lakeland regional hospital.
- Department representative to the faculty assembly.
- Summer student workshops on networking, data acquisition and programming.
- Served in hiring committees for advanced technology and computer engineering departments.
- Served as a reviewer for several peer reviewed journals.

Research Grants

- Real time monitoring and prediction of reduced visibility events on Florida’s highways. Granted by Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Grant amount: $1,500,000.
Selected publications


- Harish Chintakunta, Thanos Gentimis, Rocio Gonzalez Diaz, Dr., Maria-Jose Jimenez, and Hamid Krim. An entropy based persistent barcode. Special issue on Graph based representation (Gbr2013), Pattern Recognition. 2014.
1. Name: Md Selim Habib

2. Degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical and Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical and Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Photonics Engineering</td>
<td>Technical University of Denmark, Denmark</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Academic Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Aug. 12, 2019-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Central Florida</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Research Associate</td>
<td>Sep. 2017 – Aug. 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical University of Denmark</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Apr. 2017 – July 2017</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>2013 – 2014</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>2010 – 2013</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Non-Academic Experience

5. Certifications or Professional Registrations

6. Current Membership in Professional Organizations

- Senior Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), USA
- Early Career Professional Member, Optical Society of America (OSA), USA
- Executive officer: Fiber modeling and fabrication group, OSA, USA

7. Honors and Awards

- 2016: Otto Mønsteds Fond, Oticon Fond, and IDA Fond for External research stay in USA
- 2014: University Gold Medal, Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh
- 2006-2009: EEE Association Award, Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh

8. Service Activities (within and outside of the institution)


9. List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years


M. Selim Habib, O. Bang, M. Bache, “Anisotropic Anti-resonant Elements gives Broadband Single-mode Low-loss Hollow-core Fibers,” CLEO/USA Conference, 05-10 June, 2016, San Jose, USA.

10. **List the most recent professional development activities**

- Associate Editor: *IEEE Access*
- Feature Editor: *Applied Optics*
- Topic Editor: *Fibers*
1. **Name:** Mohammad Reza Khalghani

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Sadjad University of Technology, Iran</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Information and Systems Engineering</td>
<td>University of Birjand, Iran</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electronic and Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>West Virginia University, US</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>August 2019-Now</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia University</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>2016 - 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Khorasan Regional Electric Company, Iran Ministry of Energy</td>
<td>Research Officer: Research and Development</td>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), USA
- Member, IEEE- Power & Energy Society (IEEE-PES), USA

6. **Honors and Awards**

- 2019 – IEEE PES General Meeting Conference: Best Paper Award
- 2012, National Elite Foundation, Iran, (Highest Institute for Elite People).

7. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

- Technical Reviewer: Energies.
- Technical Reviewer: Journal Sustainable Cities and Society.
- Technical Reviewer: Journal of Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer Ltd.
- Technical Reviewer: Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology.

8. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


9. **List the most recent professional development activities**

   - Strategic planning Committee, Florida Polytechnic University, 2019.
   - Employee Activities Committee, Florida Polytechnic University, 2019.
Name: Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi

A. Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Sepahan Science and Technology Institute of Higher Education</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Amirkabir University of Technology</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Academic Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of ECE and CS</td>
<td>May 2018-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>Aug. 2017 – May 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Current Membership in Professional Organization

- Member, Association for Computing Machinery (ACM)
- Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

D. Honors and Awards

- Graduation Presentation and Student Government Association Fellowships to present in ISQED 2017.
- Graduation Presentation and Student Government Association Fellowships to present in ISQED 2016.
- Iranian-American Community Center Scholarship for the 2015-2016 academic year.
- David T. & Jane M. Donaldson Memorial Scholarship for the 2015-2016 academic year.
- SRE Hans Reiche Scholarship, 2015.
- Graduation Presentation Fellowship to present my paper in RAMS 2015.

E. Service Activities (within and outside of the institution)

- Member of Enrollment Management and Annual Awards Committees, Florida Polytechnic University.
- NSF REU Site Project Assessor: Research Experiences on the Internet of Things (IoT) at University of Central Florida, July 28, 2017.
- TPC member of 2nd International Conference on Computer Science and Technology (CST2017).

F. Research Activities

A. Funded Projects


B. List the Most Important Presentations and Seminars from the Past Five (5) years

- Invited Presentation, NSF MIST I/UCRC Center Meeting, Orlando, FL, USA, 13 May, 2015.
- Organization member of Multi-functional Integrated System Technology (MIST) Center meeting, May 2015.
- Organization member of Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, January 2015.
C. List the Most Important Publications from the Past Five (5) years


1. **Name:** Hisham Mahmood

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Western Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Control Engineering</td>
<td>Lakehead University, Canada</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Basrah, Iraq</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Aug 13, 2018-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Exeter, UK</td>
<td>Research Fellow in the Department of Renewable Energy</td>
<td>Nov 2017 – July 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Western Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Research Fellow and Lecturer of - Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Jan 2015 – Oct 2017</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Western Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>Research Assistant - Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>2008 – 2014</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakehead University, Canada</td>
<td>Research Assistant - Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>2006 – 2008</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Institute of Technology, Libya</td>
<td>Lecturer and Department Chair – Department of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>2001 – 2005</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great March University, Libya</td>
<td>Lecturer of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>2001 - 2003</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HiT Power, UK</td>
<td>Design and Development Engineer</td>
<td>Nov 2017 – July 2018</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall New Energy, UK</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Nov 2017 – July 2018</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (New York)

7. **Honors and Awards**

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

- Review papers for:
  - IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics
  - IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics
  - IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics
  - IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy
  - IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid
  - IEEE Transactions on Power Systems
- Chaired sessions for IEEE conferences
9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10. **List the most recent professional development activities**

- Served as a consultant with Cornwall New Energy, UK
- Participate in the design of 100 kW grid connected battery charger with HiT Power, UK
- Planning, design and implementation of a laboratory scale microgrid for the Distributed Generation Laboratory, University of Western Ontario, Canada
- Developed a graduate course on Modeling and Control of Power Electronic Converters at the University of Western Ontario, Canada
- Co-supervised PhD and Master’s students at the University of Exeter in UK, and University of Western Ontario in Canada
1. **Name:** Muhammad H. Rashid

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Bangladesh University of Eng. and Technology, Dhaka</td>
<td>1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Information and Systems Engineering</td>
<td>University of Birmingham, UK</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electronic and Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Birmingham, UK</td>
<td>1976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Professor and Chair of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Jan 8, 2018-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Professor of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>2017 - 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of West Florida</td>
<td>Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>2007 – 2016</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Florida</td>
<td>Professor and Program Director of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>1997-2007</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana-Purdue University Fort Wayne</td>
<td>Professor and Chair of Engineering Department</td>
<td>1989-2007</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue University Calumet</td>
<td>Associate Professor and Professor</td>
<td>1985- 1989</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordia University, Canada</td>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>1981-1985</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Connecticut</td>
<td>Visiting Assistant Professor</td>
<td>19801981</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Institute of Electronics – Malta and Libya</td>
<td>Lecturer and Head of Control Engineering</td>
<td>1977-1980</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Refinery Ltd., Bangladesh</td>
<td>Engineer - Instruments &amp; Control</td>
<td>1968-1970</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Power Development Authority, Bangladesh</td>
<td>Assistant Engineer – Operation</td>
<td>1968-1968</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

- Professional Engineer, Ontario, Canada (from 1977 – 2002)
- Chartered Engineer, United Kingdom (from 1977 – 2005)

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Life Fellow, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (New York)
- Fellow, The Institution of Engineering and Technology, (London, UK)
- Member, American Society of Engineering Education (ASEE)

7. **Honors and Awards**

- 2013 – IEEE Industry Applications Society: *Outstanding Achievement Award*
- 2008 IEEE: Undergraduate Teaching Award
- 2002 IEEE: Educational Activities Board Meritorious Achievement Award in Continuing Education
• 2001-2003: Distinguished Lecturer and Speaker of the IEEE-Industry Applications Society.
• 2010 - : Distinguished Lecturer of the IEEE Education Society.
• 1991: IEEE Outstanding Engineer Award

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**
   13. M. H. Rashid, Comparison of ABET Outcome Requirements And Washington Accord Attributes, presentation at the 2015 IEE Colloquium, New York City, November 5-6, 2015.

14. **List the most recent professional development activities**
   • ABET program evaluator for electrical, computer and general engineering.
   • The Academic Accradiator for the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET, UK)
   • Editor-in-Chief of a Series in Electric Energy System with Springer Publishing.
   • Editor-in-Chief of a Series in Power Electronics and Applications with CRC Press,
   • Editor-in-Chief of a Series in Nanotechnology and Applications with CRC Press,
   • Served as an External Examiner for undergraduate program for the faculty of electrical engineering for undergraduate program at the University of Technology Malaysia MARA) from 2004-2016.
   • Serves as an International Advisory Board Member of numerous international conferences.
   • Gave numerous keynote lectures in international conferences in electrical and electronic engineering in China, India, Malaysia, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Palestine, and Iran.
   • Reviewed Ph.D. theses as an external examiner for Nanyang Technological University Singapore.
   • Reviewed Ph.D. theses as an external examiner for Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM)
1. **Name:** Saleem Sahawneh

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Yarmouk University, Jordan</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida, USA</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>University of Central Florida, USA</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Adjunct professor</td>
<td>Aug 21, 2017-</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Central Florida, USA</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>2013-2017</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyCom North America, USA</td>
<td>Network Switching System Engineer</td>
<td>2009-2012</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walden house Inc., USA</td>
<td>System Administrator</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, IEEE
- Member, Jordan Engineers Association, (Amman, Jordan)

6. **Honors and Awards**

- 2013: Member of Delta Epsilon Iota Academic Honor Society

7. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


6. **List the most recent professional development activities**

   Attended the 2017 IGARSS conference (microwave radiometry)
1. **Name:** Ashiq A. Sakib

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Tech.</td>
<td>Electronics and Communication Engineering</td>
<td>Institute of Engineering and Management, West Bengal University of Technology, India</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td>North Dakota State University, US</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Aug. 12, 2019-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota State University</td>
<td>Teaching Assistant</td>
<td>Aug. 2014 – May 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota State University</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>Jan. 2018 – July 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Electronics and Test Engineers (CETE), Ministry of Information Technology, Govt. of West Bengal, India</td>
<td>Industrial Trainee</td>
<td>June 2013-August 2013</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), USA
- Member, IEEE- Circuits and Systems Society (IEEE-CAS), USA
- Member, IEEE- Eta Kappa Nu (IEEE-HKN), USA
- Member, Phi-Kappa-Phi (PKP), USA

6. **Honors and Awards**

- Love of Learning award offered by the honor society of Phi Kappa Phi, 2018.
- Selected as one of the 10 early PhD students in the Student Activities program to attend the IEEE-VTS'17 conference held in Las Vegas, 2017.
- National Science Foundation (NSF) Travel Grants.
- Outstanding Teaching Assistant (Nominated from the ECE department at NDSU).
- Outstanding Ambassador of the department, Institute of Engineering and Management, 2013.
- Dhaka Board Scholarship for outstanding result, Govt. of Bangladesh, 2007.

7. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

- Vice-President (2018) and Treasurer (2017), IEEE-Eta Kappa Nu Honor Society, Gamma Tau Chapter.
8. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


[7] Presentation: **A. A. Sakib**, “Solving real world problems” – College of Graduate and Interdisciplinary Studies, North Dakota State University (Presentation).


9. **List the most recent professional development activities**

- ECE Curriculum Committee, Florida Polytechnic University, 2019.
- Program Assessment Committee, Florida Polytechnic University, 2019.
- Faculty Adviser, Sub-Club, Florida Polytechnic University, 2019.
- Working on instating the honor society of IEEE-HKN in the Dept. of ECE at Florida Polytechnic University.
1. **Name:** Mahmoud Saleh

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>El Shorouk Academy, Egypt</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.E.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>The City College at the City University of New York</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>The City College at the City University of New York</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Oct 14, 2019 – present</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City College at the City University of New York</td>
<td>Researcher – Department of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Apr 2019 – June 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronx Community College</td>
<td>Adjunct lecturer - Department of Engineering, Physics, and Technology</td>
<td>Aug 2018 – Jan 2019</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City College at the City University of New York</td>
<td>Graduate Research Assistant – Department of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Aug 2014 – Apr 2019</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Shorouk Academy, Egypt</td>
<td>Lecturer of Electrical Power and Machines Engineering</td>
<td>Jan 2011 – May 2012</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCNY, ConEdison Electric Utility, and Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA) at New York</td>
<td>Graduate Research Assistant: Lead and Modeling Engineer</td>
<td>Jan 2017 – Jan 2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zahn Innovation Center at New York City</td>
<td>Cofounder of PluginBlocks, an Educational Startup</td>
<td>Jan 2016 – Aug 2016</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaunchR Accelerator at Rutgers University</td>
<td>Cofounder of Ondemand-Microgrid Startup</td>
<td>Jan 2016 – Apr 2016</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

- Professional Engineer (PE), passed at North Carolina (Apr 2017)
- Fundamental Engineering (FE), passed at the American University at Cairo, Egypt (Oct 2011)
- Neural Networks and Deep Learning Certificate (Apr 2018)

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), USA

7. **Honors and Awards**

- 2017 - IEEE System Council: *James O. Gray Scholarship for research in process control systems engineering and planet automation*
- 2017 – IEEE Power and Energy Society: *Student Congress Travel Award*
- 2016 - 9th Annual Graduate Student Research Symposium at The City College of New York: *First place*
- 2016 & 2017 – IEEE Industry Applications Society: *Travel award*
- 2010 - El Shorouk Engineering Academy: *Valedictorian*
8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)
   - Research Committee member, Florida Polytechnic University
   - Reviewer for IEEE Transactions on Smart Grids
   - Reviewer for IEEE Transactions on Industry and Application Society

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**

10. **List the most recent professional development activities**
   - Editorial board member at American Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems
   - Editorial board member at SCIREA Journal of Energy, SCIREA Journal of Electrical Engineering, and Donnish Journal of Internet and Information Systems
   - Delivered talks at National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Arizona State, Penn State, and New Mexico Universities
   - Lead engineer to design, simulate, build and implement a microgrid testbed setup at CCNY
   - In the process of forming IEEE Industry and Application Society (IAS) and Power and Energy Society (PES) joint student branch chapter at Florida Polytechnic University
1. **Name**: Arman Sargolzaei

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Sadjad University of Technology</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>2016- Pres</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>Assistant Scientist/ Scholar</td>
<td>2015 - 2016</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>Graduate Assistant</td>
<td>2012 - 2013</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>2011 - 2012</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLC International Inc, Miami, USA</td>
<td>System Development Engineer</td>
<td>2013 - 2015</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC International Inc, Miami, USA</td>
<td>Hardware and Software Developer</td>
<td>2012 - 2012</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

- Theoretical and hands-on training on digital power line carrier UCC 2021D.
- Cryptography I, Stanford University.
- Training in Human subject’s protection, Virginia Tech

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- 2014-Present  Student Member, IEEE Power & Energy Society (PES).
- 2014-Present  Student Member, IEEE Communications Society.
- 2014-Present  Student Member, International Society of Automation (ISA).
- 2014-Present  Student Member, IEEE Computational Intelligence Society.
- 2013-Present  Young Professional Member, IEEE.
- 2010-Present  Member, IEEE.

7. **Honors and Awards**

- Faculty Research Excellence Award, FPU, 2017
- Best Project Excellence Award, Rockwell Automation, 2017
- Best Graduate Student Among all Graduate Students, FIU, 2015.
- The most Innovative Product, ITEXPO, 2014 and 2015.
- Dean’s Scholarship Award, FIU, 2014.
- Travel Grant, IEEE Computational Intelligent Society (CIS), 2014.
- GPSC Award, FIU, 2014.
- GPSC Award, FIU, 2013.
- Best Paper Award, CCCM Conference, 2010.

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

Advanced in Communication Technology, ASE journal of Elsevier, IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Drivers and Energy Systems,

- Workshop Chairs and organizer for IEEE Workshop on Machine Learning in Security of Cyber-Physical Systems
- Institutional Effectiveness Committee member at Florida Polytechnic University, Introduction in mathematical in Cyber-Security curriculum development at Florida International University.

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10. **List the most recent professional development activities**

- Serves as a chair and reviewer of several international conferences.
- Gave lectures in international conferences and workshops in electrical and electronic engineering.
- Reviewing Ph.D. theses as an external examiner for Florida International University.
1. **Name:** Saman Sargolzaei

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Mazandaran University, Babol</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>University of Miami, FL</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University, FL</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Visiting assistant professor</td>
<td>2019 -</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California Los Angeles (UCLA)</td>
<td>Postdoctoral scholar</td>
<td>2016 - 19</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Visiting assistant professor</td>
<td>2015 - 16</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Institute of Technology (GaTech)</td>
<td>Postdoctoral fellow</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

   - “An Introduction to Evidence-Based STEM Undergraduate Education”, The Center for the Integration of Research Teaching and Learning (CIRTL), Spring 2017.

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

   - Member, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (New York)
   - Member, Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBS)

7. **Honors and Awards**

   - 2017 – National Neurotrauma Society meeting travel award.
   - 2015 – Worlds’ Ahead Graduate Recognition by FIU Office of the President.
   - 2015 – Outstanding Graduate Student Life Award by FIU Office of the Provost.
   - 2014 – Recipient of Perry (FIU founding president) graduate scholarship.

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)

   - Publication committee member, Department of computer science, Florida Polytechnic University.
   - Grad SLAM semi-final judge, University Graduate School, University of California Los Angeles.
   - Chair of social committee, Postdoctoral association, University of California Los Angeles.
   - Technology fee advisory council member, Florida International University.
   - Faculty senate academic misconduct committee member, Florida International University.
   - Graduate student advisory board member, University Graduate School, Florida International University.
- Community services for Autism Speaks, Dance Marathon, Race for Hope, Kids Club.

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10. **List the most recent professional development activities**

- Mentoring an IEEE Computer Science Society undergraduate student club for technical and research paper writing.
- Served for three years as application reviewer for UCLA Undergraduate Research Scholars Program (URSP).
- Certified for Course Development and Education Leadership, UCLA Bioscience Postdoc Educational Leadership Program.
- Completed Spring 2017 CIRTL Network MOOC, An Introduction to Evidence-Based STEM Undergraduate Education.
- Submitted a research grant funding to Department of Defense (DoD) Epilepsy Research program.
- Gave two invited talks at UCLA Synapse to Circuit club (2018) and NIH Neuroimaging Research Core (2016).
1. **Name**: Onur Toker

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.S.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering Mathematics</td>
<td>Ohio State, Columbus OH</td>
<td>1992 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Ohio State, Columbus OH</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Associate Prof. of Computer Engineering</td>
<td>2018 -</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC Fatih Univ., Istanbul/Turkey Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering</td>
<td>Associate Prof., Prof. (2012) of Electrical and Electronics Engineering</td>
<td>2004-2016</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ. of California, Riverside Dept. of Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Postgraduate Researcher</td>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eindhoven Univ. of Technology, The Netherlands</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>1995-1996</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stealth Mode Startup</td>
<td>Embedded Software Engineer</td>
<td>2018-2018</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAQRI Sunnyvale, CA / Pasadena, CA</td>
<td>FPGA Design Engineer</td>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quanergy Sunnyvale, CA</td>
<td>Embedded Systems Engineer</td>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teknobil Istanbul/TURKEY</td>
<td>SW Developer, Consultant</td>
<td>1998-2004</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**
   - Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)

7. **Honors and Awards**
   - Interdisciplinary Research award, College of Computer Sciences and Engineering, KFUPM, 2004
   - Interdisciplinary Research award, College of Computer Sciences and Engineering, KFUPM, 2003
   - DISC Fellowship award, 1996.
   - Best presentation award, ACC 1995 (WA-16 session).
   - Ohio State University Presidential Fellowship award, 1994.
- Bogazici University Dean’s High Honor List, 1990.
- Ranked first among the graduating seniors in the double major program, and received an award from the Rector of the University, 1990.

8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)
   - Reviewed several papers for various international journals and conferences.

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10. **List the most recent professional development activities**
    - Reviewed Ph.D. theses as an external examiner for Istanbul Technical University (ITU)
1. **Name:** Muhammad S. Ullah

2. **Degrees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.(Eng.)</td>
<td>Electrical and Electronic Engineering</td>
<td>Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>Purdue University Northwest, USA</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical and Computer Engineering</td>
<td>University of Missouri-Kansas City, USA</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>August 15, 2016-</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Missouri-Kansas City</td>
<td>Instructor and Graduate Research Assistant</td>
<td>August 2013- May 2016</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue University Northwest</td>
<td>Graduate Teaching and Research Assistant</td>
<td>August 2011- May 2013</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>September 2008- August 2011</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Non-Academic Experience**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microwave Packaging Technology, Inc</td>
<td>R&amp;D Engineer</td>
<td>May 2015-August 2015</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Certifications or Professional Registrations**

- Training Certificate in Industrial Control with PLC, Institute of Energy Technology at Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology, June 2008
- Training Certificate in Industrial Technology on Electrical and Instrumentation, Training Institute for Chemical Industries, Bangladesh, May 2007

6. **Current Membership in Professional Organizations**

- Professional Member, Association for Computing Machinery (ACM)
- Professional Member, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineering (IEEE)

7. **Honors and Awards**

- December 2017 **Teen Driver Education Task Force Choice Award**, Office of the Tax Collector, 5th Annual Polytechnic BIO Expo, Florida Polytechnic University.
- April 2017 **Florida Polytechnic University’s President Choice Award**, 4th BIO EXPO Award Ceremony, Florida Polytechnic University.
- April 2016 **Best Poster Presentation Award**, University of Missouri-Kansas City Community Scholar Symposium
- January 2016 **Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics Fellowship (IAMP) Award**, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Missouri-Kansas City
- April 2015 **Preparing Future Faculty Scholar Award**, The School of Graduate Studies, University of Missouri-Kansas City
- May 2015 **Outstanding PhD Student Award**, The School of Computing and Engineering, University of Missouri-Kansas City
- August 2013- May 2016 **Graduate Teaching and Research Assistantships**, Department of Computer Science Electrical Engineering, University of Missouri-Kansas City
- May 2013 **Purdue University Calumet Chapter of Sigma Xi Student Research Award**, Sigma Xi-The Scientific Research Society, USA
- April 2013 **Student Research Day Presentation Award**, The Graduate School, Purdue University Calumet
8. **Service Activities** (within and outside of the institution)
   - **Member**, Academic Standard Committee, Florida Polytechnic University (2016–)
   - **Judge**, Middle School Physical, Florida Junior Academy of Science, Florida Polytechnic University, March 11, 2017.

9. **List the Most Important Publications and Presentations from the Past Five (5) years**


10 **List the most recent professional development activities**

   - **Journal Paper Review**

   - **Seminars Attend**

   - **Workshops, Technical Presentations and Seminars**
     - “TFET-An Energy Efficient Electronic Device for Future Nanoscale Technology,” Faculty Research on Renewable Energy and Sustainability Showcase Hour, Lakeland, FL, 9th -10th October 2017
1. Name
   Jorge M. Vargas

2. Degrees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University (FIU)</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Sc.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University (FIU)</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td>Florida International University (FIU)</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Academic Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Rank &amp; Title</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Polytechnic University (FPU)</td>
<td>Associate Professor, APC</td>
<td>2013-present</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turabo University</td>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>2009-2013</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turabo University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>2006-2009</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Non-Academic Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Job Title &amp; Position Description</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>FT/PT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIU, FAST Center- Future Aerospace Science and Technology</td>
<td>RA and Electrical Engineer: R&amp;D</td>
<td>2002-2005</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM Microelectronics Division</td>
<td>Product Development Engineer: Product Dev.</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIU, FAST Center- Future Aerospace Science and Technology</td>
<td>Graduate Research Assistant: RF/ Microwave Eng.</td>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>FT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Certifications or Professional Registrations
   N/A

6. Current Membership in Professional Organizations
   - IEEE- Senior member
   - ASEE
   - Eta Kappa Nu
   - SHPE

7. Honors and Awards
   - 5-year service recognition at Florida Poly, 2019
   - Nominated for the Exemplary Service to Others award, FPU, 2018
   - Institutional Excellence Award (runner-up), FPU, 2017
   - Distinguished Graduate of the Graduate School, FIU, 2005
• Outstanding PhD award (runner-up), Florida International University, 2005
• Distinctive Student Award, FIU, 2001 and 2004

8. Service Activities
• Reviewer for IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity
• Reviewer for IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters

9. List the Most Important Publications and Presentations
• Carpenter M., Yakymyshyn C., Micher L., Drake C. and Vargas J. “Proposal-Based Learning for Freshman Introduction to Engineering,” ASEE, 2016. DOI:10.18260/p.26009; and permanent URL: https://peer.asee.org/26009

10. List the most recent professional development activities
• Work with local industries such as Green Road Energy to establish senior design projects at Florida Poly
• Co-advise graduate students at Florida Poly
• Served as an Academic Program Coordinator (APC) at Florida Poly
• Made efforts relating course development, EE program development, graduate program development, equipment selection, and lab space development in the EE department at Florida Poly
## Appendix D. Faculty Workload Summary

### Faculty Workload Summary for Cybersecurity Engineering
**Academic Year 2019 – 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member</th>
<th>PT or FT</th>
<th>Classes Taught (Course No./Credit Hrs.) Term and Year</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research or Scholarship</th>
<th>Service / Other</th>
<th>% Time Devoted to the Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall 2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer Engineering</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youssif Al-Nashif</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CAP 5830-Modeling and Simulation</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CIS 4367-Computer Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COP 2034-Intro to Programming Using Python</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COP 4935C-Senior Design 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 5741-Microcomputers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balasubraman iyan Chandrasekar an</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4664C-Kinematics and Control of Robotic Systems</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4768C-Computer Architecture and Organization (2 sections)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGS 5930-Adv. Kinematics and Control of Robotic Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CIS 4362-Applied Cryptography</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4768-Computer Architecture and Organization (2 sections)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashiq Sakib</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3702C-Digital Logic Design</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CDA 3631C-Embedded Operating Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Ullah</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CDA 4210-VLSI Design</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4510-Digital Signal Processing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 3702C-Digital Logic Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onur Toker</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4746-Microcomputers</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4914C-Senior Design 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4915C-Senior Design 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rawa Adla</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4746-S1 Microcomputers</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4746-S2 Microcomputers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical Engineering</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jorge Vargas</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3111C-Circuits 1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 3470-Electromagnetic Fields and Applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harish Chintakunta</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3111C-Circuits1 (2 sections)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4515-Digital Communication Systems (Independent Study)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGN 4930-Software Defined Radio Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 3804C-Analog Electronics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suleiman Alsweiss</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3112C-Circuits2</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4508-Satellite Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arman Sargolzaei</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEE 4531C-Techniques for High Fidelity Signal Acquisition</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4652-Control Theory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Rashid</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4242-Power Electronics (3 credits)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 5245-Power Electronics (3 credits)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahmoud Saleh</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Member</td>
<td>PT or FT</td>
<td>Classes Taught (Course No./Credit Hrs.) Term and Year</td>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>Research or Scholarship</td>
<td>Service / Other</td>
<td>% Time Devoted to the Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammad Reza Khalghani</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3287- Renewable Energy and Sustainability</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IDS 1380- Introduction to STEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4251- Power Systems Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Md Selim Habib</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEE 3310-Digital Electronics</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEE 4351-Electronic Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4448-Optoelectronics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisham Mahmoud</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3135 Systems and Signals</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 4220 Electronic Motor Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEL 5235 Electronic Motor Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luis Jaimes</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>COP 4610-Operating Systems Concepts (3 sections)</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanwalinderjit Gagneja</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CIS 4203-Digital Forensics (2 sections) COP 2271C-Intro to Computation &amp; Programming</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashok Patel</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CIS 4204-Ethical Hacking (2 sections) CIS 4369 Web Applications Security</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei Ding</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>COP 2272C-Computer Programming 1 (2 sections) COP 3834C-Web Application Development</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayazit Karaman</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>CDA 2108-Intro to Computer Systems COP 4415-Data Structures (2 sections)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youssif Al-Nashif</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>COP 2271C – Intro to Computation &amp; Programming IDS 5975 – Thesis 2 (2 students)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balasubraman Chandrasekaran</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4660C &amp; EEL 5669C-Autonomous Robotic Systems EEL 4768C-Computer Architecture &amp; Organization (2 sections) EGN 1007C-Concept &amp; Methods EGN 5975-Thesis 2 (2 students)</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navid Khoshavi Najafabadi</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>CIS 4362-Applied Cryptography COP 3530-Data Structures &amp; Algorithms EEL 4768C-Computer Architecture &amp; Organization</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashiq Sakib</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3702C- Digital Logic Design CDA 4685C- Embedded Control CDA 5685C- Embedded Control</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Ullah</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3702C Digital Logic Design (2 sections) EEL 4794 Power Aware Design EGN 5975 Thesis 2 (1 student)</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onur Toker</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EGN 1007C – Concepts and Methods EEL 4914C Senior Design 1 EEL 4915C Senior Design 2</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rawa Adla</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 4746 C – Microcomputers- 2 sections</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jorge Vargas</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3111C-Circuits 1 EEL 4421-RF and Microwave Systems</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harish Chintakunta</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>EEL 3111C-Circuits 1 (2 sections) EEL 4515-Digital Communication Systems</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Member</td>
<td>PT or FT</td>
<td>Classes Taught (Course No./Credit Hrs.) Term and Year</td>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>Research or Scholarship</td>
<td>Service / Other</td>
<td>% Time Devoted to the Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Suleiman Alsweiss      | FT       | EEL 4759-Digital Image Processing  
EEL 5820-Advanced Digital Image Processing  
EEE 4510-Digital Signal Processing  
EEE 5507-Advanced Digital Signal Processing                                                                                                                                            | 75%      | 15%                     | 10%             | 100%                          |
| Arman Sargolzaei       | FT       | EEL 4321C-Hardware or System in the Loop Simulation and Characterization-  
EEL 4612-Control System Design  
EEL 5613-Modern Controls                                                                                                                                          | 85%      | 5%                      | 10%             | 100%                          |
| Muhammad Rashid        | FT       | EEE 5311-Analog IC Design                                                                                                                                                                                      | 25%      | 10%                     | 65%             | 100%                          |
| Mahmoud Saleh          | FT       | EEL 3112C-Circuits 2 (2 sections)                                                                                                                                                                                | 33%      | 58%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Mohammad Reza Khalghani| FT       | EEL 4283- Renewable Energy Systems  
EEL 4290- Sustainability for Engineering Technology and Entrepreneurs  
EEE 5283- Advanced Renewable Energy Systems                                                                                                                                            | 75%      | 15%                     | 10%             | 100%                          |
| Md Selim Habib         | FT       | EEE 3304C-Analog Electronics  
EEE 3310-Digital Electronics  
EEE 4376-Analog Integrated Circuits  
EGN 1007C-Concepts & Methods                                                                                                                                                          | 67%      | 25%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Hisham Mahmoud         | FT       | EEL 3135 Systems and Signals  
EEE 3211C Basic Electric Energy Engineering                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 70%      | 20%                     | 10%             | 100%                          |

**Computer Science**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member</th>
<th>PT or FT</th>
<th>Classes Taught (Course No./Credit Hrs.) Term and Year</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research or Scholarship</th>
<th>Service / Other</th>
<th>% Time Devoted to the Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Luis Jaimes            | FT       | CAP 4612-Machine Learning  
CAP 4610-Operating Systems Concepts (2 sections)  
IDS 5975-Thesis (1 student)                                                                                                                                                             | 77%      | 15%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Kanwalinderjit Gagneja | FT       | CIS 4203-Digital Forensics  
CNT 4409-Network Security  
COP 2271C-Intro to Computation & Programming                                                                                                                                              | 75%      | 17%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Ashok Patel            | FT       | CIS 4204-Ethical Hacking  
CIS 4369-Web Application Security  
COP 2271C-Intro to Computation & Programming  
COP 3337C-Object Oriented Programming                                                                                                                                                      | 77%      | 15%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Wei Ding               | FT       | CNT 3004C-Intro to Computer Networks (2 sections)  
COP 5272-Computation Theory                                                                                                                                                                | 75%      | 17%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
| Bayazit Karaman        | FT       | CDA 2108-Intro to Computer Systems  
COP 3337C-Object Oriented Programming (2 sections)                                                                                                                                         | 75%      | 15%                     | 8%              | 100%                          |
Article 12: Salary for the Collective Bargaining Agreement with the United Faculty of Florida
ARTICLE 12

SALARIES

[AMENDED MAY 6, 2020]

The parties of this Agreement recognize the importance of providing appropriate compensation as an essential component in the delivery of quality higher education programs and quality scholarship that is recognized nationally and internationally.

12.1 Annual Salary Increases. The following table describes the implementation of merit increases throughout the life of this Agreement with the qualifications described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BARGAINING-UNIT MEMBER ON PAYROLL AS OF:</th>
<th>PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE REVIEWED FOR MERIT</th>
<th>INCREASE TAKES EFFECT FIRST PAY PERIOD:</th>
<th>MERIT INCREASE TO BASE SALARY AMOUNT¹:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2018</td>
<td>AY 2017 – 2018</td>
<td>January 17, 2019</td>
<td>ME: 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EE: 2.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EX: 3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2019</td>
<td>AY 2018 – 2019</td>
<td>July 1, 2019</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2020</td>
<td>AY 2019 – 2020</td>
<td>July 1, 2020</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ ME: Meets Expectations; EE: Exceeds Expectations; EX: Exemplary.

Eligibility: The salary increases described in the above table in Section 12.1 shall be distributed to each bargaining unit member if the bargaining unit member received an annual evaluation and received a rating of “Meets Expectations” or above; individuals that received below a “Meets Expectations” are not eligible for any increase.

12.2 Other Increases (OI). The University BOT may provide annual OIs up to one percent (1.0%) of the total salary rate of the bargaining-unit.

(a) OIs may be granted at any time at any time in the following circumstances:

1. In response to verified written offers of outside employment;

2. As recognition for special achievements and/or exceptional merit, including, but not limited to, awards from national or international academic/professional community or funding agencies;

3. To address compression and inversion;
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4. For equity and market equity considerations;

(b) No other OIs shall be provided unless negotiated with UFF and ratified by both parties.

(c) The University shall notify the UFF annually on OI.

12.3 University Awards.

(a) The University may provide a competitive annual Employee awards program to acknowledge and celebrate the efforts of Employees for their contribution in making the University a world-class leader in science, technology, engineering and math (STEM) education.

(b) Awards that have a monetary component must be awarded as the result of a competitive process open to all Employees covered by this contract. The process and criteria for the award must be clearly defined and shared with all eligible Employees.

(c) The total pool for competitive awards for bargaining unit members will not exceed $5,000.

(d) This section shall retroactively apply to recipient(s) of the 2018 Ablaze Awards.

12.4 Promotion Increases. A bargaining-unit member who receives a promotion utilizing the promotion procedures in this collective bargaining agreement shall receive the base-salary increase shown below, effective August 15 following the academic year in which the successful review takes place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT RANK</th>
<th>PROMOTION RANK</th>
<th>PROMOTION INCREASE TO BASE SALARY AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>9% or increase to minimum of 90% of median target salary, whichever is greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>9% or increase to minimum of 90% of median target salary, whichever is greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median target salary noted in the above table is the median salary provided by College and University Professional Association (CUPA) for the rank and field for the individual using the following target universities, when they participate in the salary survey, as comparators: Alfred University, Kettering University, Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, South Dakota School of Mines, University of Alaska Southeast, University of Central Florida, University of South Florida, Clarkson University, Colorado School of Mines, Franklin W. Olin College of
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12.5 **Legislatively Mandated Increases.** Any additional legislatively mandated increases shall be implemented following the corresponding law and do not conflict with this agreement.

12.6 **Salary floors.** The salary floors for all bargaining-unit members with meets-expectations ratings or above shall follow 85% of the median salary (parity level) for comparable roles and comparable ranks in the target salary for peer institutions.

12.7 **Starting Salary.** All bargaining-unit positions will be hired at a starting salary commensurate with their experience. It is expected that those salaries will typically be within 20% of employees within that unit at a similar rank and/or experience level. In exceptional cases, bargaining-unit positions may be hired at a salary above that range contingent on extraordinary experience and extramural funding.

12.8 **Grievability.** The only issues to be addressed in a grievance filed pursuant to this Agreement (Article 11) alleging violation of this Article are whether there is unlawful discrimination pursuant to state or federal law, or whether there is an arbitrary and capricious application of the provisions of one or more sections of this Article.

12.9 **Increases Contingent on Receipt of New Recurring/Non-Recurring Funds.** Unless the University chooses to fund the increases, and in the event the University does not receive sufficient new legislative or performance funding to fund the salary increases, they shall become void and re-opened for negotiations by the parties.

12.10 **Labor Management Committee.** The University and the UFF agree to form a Labor Management Committee (“Committee”) for the purpose of examining opportunities for advancement (i.e. promotions, longevity increases, etc.) for employees holding the title of Instructor, Assistant Librarian, or Wellness Counselor. The Committee shall meet and confer, with the intention that the Committee will make a recommendation to the collective bargaining teams for possible inclusion in the next collective bargaining agreement. The Committee shall consist of a minimum of two representatives each from the University and UFF. At least one representative from the University should hold the title of Vice Provost or higher. The Committee shall be formed and have its first meeting within six (6) months from the ratification of this Agreement. The Committee shall meet at least three times each semester (fall and spring) unless otherwise agreed, or they have agreed to a recommendation for the University and UFF’s collective bargaining teams. This provision shall expire at the end of this Agreement’s term.

---

For the University

[Signature]

Alexander Landback
Chief Negotiator

May 6, 2020

For the UFF

[Signature]

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

May 6, 2020
MOU with the UFF regarding the change to remote instruction in spring 2020
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
RE: COVID-19 HEALTH EMERGENCY

During the current health emergency brought on by the coronavirus pandemic, UFF-FPU and the Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees are committed to maintaining the productive and efficient operation of the University in a safe and healthy environment. UFF-FPU and Florida Poly Board of Trustees are committed to working together to promote the appropriate solutions to meeting our mission, which is strongly focused on providing education to our students, despite the difficulties that COVID19 has presented to the university and its faculty. To this end, we agree to the following terms and conditions:

1. Faculty shall be provided the option of excluding Spring 2020 Student Assessment of Instruction and any other subjective evaluation regarding remote instructional effectiveness in Spring 2020 of their course delivery from their 2020-2021 annual performance evaluation. Discussion of DFW rate and Course GPA may be considered, but a direct comparison of remote and non-remote, with the expectation that they are equivalent, is not appropriate.
   a. If a faculty member chooses to exclude Spring 2020 Student Assessment of Instruction and any other subjective evaluation of teaching regarding remote instructional effectiveness in Spring 2020 from the 2020-2021 annual performance evaluation, Spring 2020 Student Assessment of Instruction and any other subjective evaluation of teaching regarding remote instructional effectiveness in Spring 2020 will likewise be excluded from consideration for reappointment or promotion. In reappointment and/or promotion consideration, discussion of DFW rate and Course GPA may be considered BUT direct comparison of remote and non-remote delivery, with the expectation that they are equivalent, is not appropriate.
   b. If a faculty member chooses not to exclude the items listed in 1a from their performance in Spring 2020 from the 2020-2021 annual performance evaluation, the evaluation will be based on the Amended Evaluation Guidelines which account for the challenges of remote instruction, assessment, and examination.
   c. Faculty members must declare their choice regarding the evaluator’s use of Student Assessment of Instruction and any other subjective evaluation of teaching regarding remote instructional effectiveness in Spring 2020 when they submit the Faculty activity report for the 2020-2021 evaluation period.
   d. When a faculty member submits their Faculty Activity Report for the 2020-2021 period, they may also, as an addendum to the research section of the FAR, include a statement detailing specific impacts of the COVID19 pandemic on their teaching, research activity, and service. Such a statement will be carefully considered by the evaluator.
   e. Faculty members that were prevented from conducting research due to circumstances related to the COVID19 pandemic (Ex. Access to labs, travel restrictions, business closures, etc.) shall not be negatively evaluated as a result of those impacts. However, if possible, faculty members must make reasonable attempts to fulfill their obligations as much as possible, using remote tools that are available to them, during this time. A failure to make reasonable attempts to fulfill those obligations may be considered by the evaluator.

2. Faculty who have a teaching assignment during Summer shall receive Amended Evaluation Guidelines which account for the challenges of remote instruction, assessment, and examination. These guidelines are attached to this MOU as an appendix.
3. Florida Polytechnic University policy 1.0061P (Adopted June 3, 2015), and federal and state intellectual property law, shall apply to all course content and course delivery materials created as a result of the mandated transition to online instruction.
   a. As to Recordings of Remote Instruction (“Recordings”) produced for remote instruction during paid time of the faculty member, which are not produced using significant University facilities or equipment:
      i. Such Recordings are considered to have been developed using significant University Resources under FPU policy 1.0061P(G).
          1. However, if the faculty member wishes to own their individual intellectual property rights as to such Recordings, the faculty member must make a disclosure in writing to the Provost’s Office, consistent with the disclosure requirements described FPU policy 1.0061P(M).
          2. Upon receipt of the disclosure, the University will waive its rights in the disclosed Recordings, pursuant to FPU Policy 1.0061P(T), contingent upon a perpetual nonexclusive, royalty-free grant to the University to use the Recordings for educational and research purposes.
      ii. University provided computers or tablet devices are not considered as significant facilities nor as significant equipment.
   b. The University will not waive its rights for Recordings of Remote Instruction or intellectual property which are:
      i. Developed during paid time of the faculty member and are also produced using significant University facilities or equipment, or
      ii. Developed in the course of, or pursuant to, other agreement with the University.
      iii. Developed specifically as a work-for-hire.
4. The impacts of COVID-19 on the Florida Polytechnic University community are changing constantly. Nothing in this memorandum shall be construed as a waiver of the Florida Polytechnic University’s right to implement measures pursuant to directives from appropriate state and/or federal authorities or that the Florida Polytechnic University otherwise deems essential to protecting the health and safety of students, faculty, and staff. Nothing in this memorandum shall be construed as a waiver of the Florida Polytechnic University’s obligation to engage in bargaining over the impacts of such decisions upon request by UFF – Florida Poly.

**Appendix A** – Amended Annual Evaluation Guidelines for Faculty 2020-2021 (SPRING 2020)

**Appendix B** – Amended Annual Evaluation Guidelines for Faculty 2020-2021 (SUMMER/FALL 2020)

**Appendix C** – Online Starter Kit Overview

__________________________  ____________________________
Alexander Landback          Myles Kim
FPU-BOT Chief Negotiator    UFF-FPU Chief Negotiator
Amended Annual Evaluation Guidelines for Faculty 2020-2021 (For the Remote Instruction period that started on March 16 through the end of the Spring 2020 semester, not to be used for a face-to-face instruction period)  
(adopted based on Department Suggestions and Discussion/Recommended by Evaluation Panel)

Evaluators must consider the rank when evaluating teaching, research, and service; said consideration is tied to the expectations based on rank in the faculty handbook.

Faculty must comply with and follow instructions of department chair regarding teaching scheduling and standards, research procedures and compliance, and service requirements.

Overall scores consider the fare form credit given.

**Evaluation Key:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Key</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory (U)</td>
<td>Performance that is clearly substandard. Performance improvement plan is mandated, and termination may be appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs Improvement (NI)</td>
<td>Performance that is below a reasonable expectation for the faculty rank that an individual holds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets Expectations (ME)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceeds Expectations (EE)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description. The individual has distinguished themselves in some way by performing at a level that is above a normal expectation for their faculty rank.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exemplary (E)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description. The individual has truly done something that is outstanding and that is not present in the majority of the faculty.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teaching:

University teaching and student learning encompass much more than the hours faculty members spend in the classroom. Teaching also involves keeping up with the field (both technical and changes in pedagogy), planning lectures, creating instructional materials, appropriately utilizing the CANVAS LMS, constructing tests, grading papers, mentoring/interacting with students, participating in tutorials, recitations, and formal teaching committees, working with graduate students, supervising student educational assistants (SEAs), conducting office hours, and participating in professional development programs. Because many aspects of teaching remain invisible to students, their evaluations alone are inadequate to provide comprehensive and convergent evidence of teaching effectiveness. In addition to student assessments, some departments may have obtained evaluations from individuals who both understand the subject matter and recognize the intellectual effort and pedagogical merit involved in various instructional activities.

Evaluation Philosophy: Evaluations are earned by faculty and supported by the evidence (both quality and quantity) that a faculty member is performing his or her duties at a certain level. To achieve a “Meets Expectations” rating, a faculty typically must perform the appropriate core duties in the teaching that were assigned. An evaluator may consider elements such as number of students and student credit hours supported, number of different courses delivered, and also should consider how effective the communication with students is based on a range of elements including the course syllabus, SAI results and comments, and use of CANVAS.

Elements to consider:

Evidence:
- Courses taught
- Student Credit hours produced
- DFW rate
- Course GPA
- SAI – used carefully noting the transition to the online environment
- Thesis or projects directed, where applicable
- Thesis or projects committee, where applicable
- Instructional materials sufficient to demonstrate performance ratings below

Elements that are core duties and typically, where appropriate, are present to achieve MEETS EXPECTATIONS performance (based on evidence supplied in the dossier):
- Syllabus timeliness, construction, and compliance with required standards
- Presence to deliver course AND be appropriately available to students (e.g. office hours) – for the remote period, evidence of an ongoing effort during the remote period that connects with students on a weekly, or more frequently, basis.
- Participates and cooperates appropriately in multi section courses
- Curricular Rigor; evidence based upon items such as alignment between outcomes and assessments, syllabus, course materials, examinations, and examination practice
- Grading aligns as a fair assessment of mastery of material and is fair to students, noting the challenges of remote instruction and assessment.
- Grading and examination policies and execution lead to proper and fair assessment, noting the challenges of remote instruction and assessment.
- Grades assignments and exams in a timely manner, noting the challenges of remote instruction and assessment, faculty must make a good faith effort to make remote examination and proctoring work property but are not accountability for system bugs and difficulties.
- Adheres to appropriate student learning outcomes to ensure we provide a quality education: evidenced by examinations and completion of planned course material
- Submits midterm and final grades consistently and on-time, maintains approved syllabus and updated accurate gradebook in Canvas, submits assessment reports and other documentation consistently and on time - noting that there might be some careful changes in syllabi that are appropriately coordinated with other sections.
• Submits attendance reports as required, tracks student attendance in Canvas or appropriate verifiable method
• Appropriate use of SEAs as per department and academic affairs guidelines and demonstrating appropriate responsibility in the delivery of the course
• Appropriate interactions, consistent with university policy and guidelines, with students and appropriate professional behavior in communication with students
• Demonstration of ongoing and reasonable improvements in courses, and a plan for further improvements, that have been delivered multiple times by the faculty member
• Executes teaching duties with honesty and integrity

Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list-based, check-box exercise. If a faculty member is clearly demonstrating effectiveness in all of the areas for consideration under meets expectations, with respect to their workload, consideration of exceeds expectation may be appropriate. Evidence presented must support teaching and pedagogical excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements. The evaluator must judge the effectiveness of the individual’s contribution, and determine if it warrants a higher than Meets Expectation rating.

Examples might be:
• Successful course delivery innovation – has attracted students’ interests, increasing retention and curricular progression. Demonstration of effective results in the remote environment, indications of strong foundations for remote delivery.
• Curricular Innovation: New course development, innovation in pedagogy that is significant
• Active Participation in curriculum development for new concentration, or a new degree program
• For Exemplary the faculty has truly done something that is outstanding and that is not present in the majority of the faculty such as:
  o Successful Curricular innovation – has attracted interests from other universities, increasing enrollment
  o Demonstrable impact on student retention and facilitating progression to timely graduation
  o Extraordinary leadership of execution in remote instruction period as evidenced by documented contribution to departmental or institutional efforts that support multiple sections or faculty.
Research:

Research at Florida Poly is evolving as a core duty for the faculty that do not hold the title instructor. While research can be hard to measure, as a core duty, faculty need to demonstrate activity and success in this realm. If faculty do not have time to do research, this will be indicated on their FARE form in terms of the credit granted; where there is no time, the rating should be N/A. Where there is time, the rating expectation must be adjusted to reflect the amount of time available. For instance, if a person has only a small amount of credit available for research, achieving Meets Expectations performance requires demonstrated progress commensurate with the time allocated. If there is more time, the progress must be more significant. Regardless of time available, an above Meets Expectations score requires the presence of items from the evidence list identified below. Research must advance the mission of the university and support the program and concentration(s) in which the faculty member teaches.

The remote instruction period likely produced time availability constraints so that the time available for research may have been impacted. In addition, those with laboratory efforts likely will exhibit slower progress due to lower availability of laboratory time and the personnel to serve laboratory projects. Evaluators will use their judgement as they assess how the broader COVID-19 pandemic might lead to unforeseen consequences that may negatively affect research productivity (e.g. canceled conferences, inability to travel or access necessary resources, etc.).

Elements to consider:

Evidence:

- Refereed Publications
- Non-refereed publications
- Books, book contributions
- Presentations or invited talks
- Funded projects
- Works in Progress where there is work product as evidence
- Proposals submitted
- Editorial position in a national level journal
- Students supported/advised and/or student effort in research programs
- Research activity with students that has an outcome
- Other activity pre-approved by Department Chair and/or Division Director.

Elements that are core duties and typically must be present, as demonstrated by evidence, to achieve MEET EXPECTATIONS performance: Examples are not exhaustive, but all activity must demonstrate impact and relevance to university and field.

Core element that must be present:

- Adequate progress on clearly defined, multi-year research plan (ideally explicitly laid out, but may be evident in other ways)
- Executes research duties with honesty and integrity

Other core elements that are appropriate to consider

- Appropriate pursuit/success in developing a funded research program,
- Works/Proposals in progress reflect substantial progress toward completion and promise of likely success.
• Recognizable major publication (s) or significant grant funding with progress on grant commensurate with grant size
• Substantial industry project with significant impact on research, development, application (where the faculty member secured prior approval, followed appropriate procedures, and advances the research and/or educational mission of the University, department, and/or program.)
• Publication in high impact factor journals
• Research awards such as grants
• Honorific research societies
• Compliance with all rules, regulations, disclosures, and requirements associated with research, including following institutional protocols for engagement with external partners or potential partners.
• Research presentation or publication that receives public recognition and/or publicity, or impacts public policy or enhances the University’s economic impact
• Successful publication or presentation at a national conference or significant contribution to a state or regional conference.
• Any of the items in the “Facts” column that hold demonstrable national or international impact or advance the program, department, and university’s reputation.

Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating

This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list based, check box exercise. Evidence presented must support research excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements.
. Examples might be:
• Significant research award from a competitive proposal process
• Publication activity that is of high quality and above the norm for the department
Service

Service comes in a variety of capacities. The basic levels are departmental, division-level (where applicable), and institutional. Institutional service includes University committees but also leadership and advising of student organizations, clubs, or professional societies. Service also includes externally-focused service in the form of community relationships or formal efforts to solicit industry engagement in either curricular or research capacities, or unfunded consulting relationship with local, state, or federal government, private entities, or industry. A third broad category is professional service, which may include affiliations with state or national organizations as an organizer, peer reviewer, society fellow, or other activity that advances the discipline or profession and demonstrates recognition of the faculty-member’s expertise and authority.

Elements to consider:

Evidence:

- Nature of service assignments
- Student organizations supervised
- Community-related service
- Industry or other agency service
- Professional service
- Demonstrated contribution and impact of contribution to service roles.

Elements that are core duties and typically must be present to achieve and provide evidence to MEET EXPECTATIONS performance:

- Service remains a core duty that during remote instruction is fulfilled with remote work.
- Executes service duties with honesty and integrity and demonstrates collegiality in performing service roles
- Regular, documented active participation in assigned service duties
- Participation in department meetings
- Demonstrable results or progress made on external service activity
- Significant, demonstrated contribution to internally assigned service roles that enable the unit or organization to fill a gap, solve a problem, or advance in some important way (e.g. contribution to curriculum advisory board relationship).
- Successfully develop or lead co-curricular project such as speaker-series or other events or competitions.
- Initiate meaningful service contribution to program, department, or University.
- Sponsor a new student organization or grow an existing one.
- Enable the organization to achieve positive impact on campus community or a professional society that advances the culture of learning among students in the discipline. All rules must be followed when leading student clubs or organizations.
- Foster significant one-time relationship or potential for extended relationship with external entity that benefits the program, department, or University through research, curriculum, in new or existing areas.
- Provide professional service that raises the profile of the program, department, and university.
- Member of grant review committee for governmental agency or foundation, editorial board, journal reviewer or co-editor
Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating

- This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list based, check box exercise. Evidence presented must support service excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements.

An example might be:

- Significant, demonstrated contribution to internally assigned service roles that enable the unit or organization to fill a gap, solve a problem, or improve productivity
Amended Annual Evaluation Guidelines for Faculty 2020-2021 (Summer and Fall 2020)
(adopted based on Department Suggestions and Discussion/Recommended by Evaluation Panel)

Evaluators must consider the rank when evaluating teaching, research, and service; said consideration is tied to the expectations based on rank in the faculty handbook.

Faculty must comply with and follow instructions of department chair regarding teaching scheduling and standards, research procedures and compliance, and service requirements.

Overall scores consider the fare form credit given. DO NOT FORGET to rate summer activity for teaching and or research (presuming that it was compensated by the University).

**Evaluation Key:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory (U)</td>
<td>Performance that is clearly substandard. Performance improvement plan is mandated, and termination may be appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs Improvement (NI)</td>
<td>Performance that is below a reasonable expectation for the faculty rank that an individual holds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets Expectations (ME)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceeds Expectations (EE)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description. The individual has distinguished themselves in some way by performing at a level that is above a normal expectation for their faculty rank.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exemplary (E)</td>
<td>Performance is sound for the faculty rank held and within reasonable expectations for the person’s job description. The individual has truly done something that is outstanding and that is not present in the majority of the faculty.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teaching:

University teaching and student learning encompass much more than the hours faculty members spend in the classroom. Teaching also involves keeping up with the field (both technical and changes in pedagogy), planning lectures, creating instructional materials, appropriately utilizing the CANVAS LMS, constructing tests, grading papers, mentoring/interacting with students, participating in tutorials, recitations, and formal teaching committees, working with graduate students, supervising student educational assistants (SEAs), conducting office hours, and participating in professional development programs. Because many aspects of teaching remain invisible to students, their evaluations alone are inadequate to provide comprehensive and convergent evidence of teaching effectiveness. In addition to student assessments, some departments may have obtained evaluations from individuals who both understand the subject matter and recognize the intellectual effort and pedagogical merit involved in various instructional activities.

Evaluation Philosophy: Evaluations are earned by faculty and supported by the evidence (both quality and quantity) that a faculty member is performing his or her duties at a certain level. To achieve a “Meets Expectations” rating, a faculty typically must perform the appropriate core duties in the teaching that were assigned. An evaluator may consider elements such as number of students and student credit hours supported, number of different courses delivered, and also should consider how effective the communication with students is based on a range of elements including the course syllabus, SAI results and comments, and use of CANVAS.

Elements to consider:

Evidence:

- Courses taught
- Student Credit hours produced
- DFW rate
- Course GPA
- SAI – used carefully noting the transition to the online environment
- Thesis or projects directed, where applicable
- Thesis or projects committee, where applicable
- Instructional materials sufficient to demonstrate performance ratings below

Elements that are core duties and typically, where appropriate, are present to achieve MEETS EXPECTATIONS performance (based on evidence supplied in the dossier):

- Syllabus timeliness, construction, and compliance with required standards
- Presence to deliver course AND be appropriately available to students (e.g. office hours) – If the class, or a portion of the class is delivered remotely, evidence of an ongoing effort during the remote period that connects with students on a weekly, or more frequently, basis.
- Participates and cooperates appropriately in multi section courses
- Curricular Rigor; evidence based upon items such as alignment between outcomes and assessments, syllabus, course materials, examinations, and examination practice
- Grading aligns as a fair assessment of mastery of material and is fair to students
- Grading and examination policies and execution lead to proper and fair assessment
- Grades assignments and exams in a timely manner, faculty must make a good faith effort to make remote examination and proctoring work properly but are not accountable for system bugs and difficulties. For remote instruction, Faculty must maintain strong engagement by publishing expectations for and adhering to grading timeliness. Only in rare instances should assignments or exams not be returned within ten days.
- Adheres to appropriate student learning outcomes to ensure we provide a quality education: evidenced by examinations and completion of planned course material
- Submits midterm and final grades consistently and on-time, maintains approved syllabus and updated accurate gradebook in Canvas, submits assessment reports and other
documentation consistently and on time - noting that there might be some careful changes in syllabi that are appropriately coordinated with other sections.

- Submits attendance reports as required, tracks student attendance in Canvas or appropriate verifiable method
- Appropriate use of SEAs as per department and academic affairs guidelines and demonstrating appropriate responsibility in the delivery of the course
- Appropriate interactions, consistent with university policy and guidelines, with students and appropriate professional behavior in communication with students
- Demonstration of ongoing and reasonable improvements in courses, and a plan for further improvements, that have been delivered multiple times by the faculty member
- Executes teaching duties with honesty and integrity
- For remote delivery, provides course organization with Canvas that adheres to the Florida Poly Canvas starter kit model. The course organization must clearly provide instructions for how material will be delivered, how interactions will be managed (asynchronous, synchronous, tools, and expectations for interactions, including instructor response-time to student inquiries), and how assessment will be handled. In addition, expectations and clear instructions for students must be present in syllabus and in the course materials especially in terms of expectations for student participation in synchronous activities.
- If during Fall 2020 instruction transitions from remote to live or vice-versa, evaluators must consider the disruption such a transition will cause. In particular, evaluators must treat SAIs, DFWs, and course GPAs with a great deal of care as students will likely find such a transition challenging.

Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating

This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list-based, check-box exercise. If a faculty member is clearly demonstrating effectiveness in all of the areas for consideration under meets expectations, consideration of exceeds expectation may be appropriate, but is not required. Evidence presented must support teaching and pedagogical excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements. The evaluator must judge the effectiveness of the individual’s contribution, and determine if it warrants a higher than Meets Expectation rating.

Examples might be:

- Successful course delivery innovation – has attracted students’ interests, increasing retention and curricular progression. Demonstration of effective results in the remote environment, indications of strong foundation for remote delivery.
- Curricular Innovation: New course development, innovation in pedagogy that is significant
- Active Participation in curriculum development for new concentration, or a new degree program
- For Exemplary the faculty has truly done something that is outstanding and that is not present in the majority of the faculty such as:
  - Successful Curricular innovation – has attracted interests from other universities, increasing enrollment
  - Demonstrable impact on student retention and facilitating progression to timely graduation
  - Extraordinary leadership of execution in remote instruction period as evidenced by documented contribution to departmental or institutional efforts that support multiple sections or faculty.

Research:

Research at Florida Poly is evolving as a core duty for the faculty that do not hold the title instructor. While research can be hard to measure, as a core duty, faculty need to demonstrate activity and success in this realm. If faculty do not have time to do research, this will be indicated on their FARE form in terms of the credit granted; where there is no time, the rating should be N/A. Where there is time, the rating expectation must be adjusted to reflect the amount of time available. For instance, if a person has only a small amount of credit available for research, achieving Meets Expectations performance requires demonstrated progress commensurate with the time allocated. If there is more time, the progress must be more significant. Regardless of time available, an above Meets Expectations score requires the presence of items from the evidence list identified below. Research must advance the mission of the university and support the program and concentration(s) in which the faculty member teaches.
The remote instruction period likely produced time availability constraints so that the time available for research may have been impacted. In addition, those with laboratory efforts likely will exhibit slower progress due to lower availability of laboratory time and the personnel to serve laboratory projects. Evaluators will use their judgement as they assess how the broader COVID-19 pandemic might lead to unforeseen consequences that may negatively affect research productivity (e.g. canceled conferences, inability to travel or access necessary resources, etc.).

Elements to consider:

Evidence:

- Refereed Publications
- Non-refereed publications
- Books, book contributions
- Presentations or invited talks
- Funded projects
- Works in Progress where there is work product as evidence
- Proposals submitted
- Editorial position in a national level journal
- Students supported/advised and/or student effort in research programs
- Research activity with students that has an outcome
- Other activity pre-approved by Department Chair and/or Division Director.

Elements that are core duties and typically must be present, as demonstrated by evidence, to achieve MEET EXPECTATIONS performance: Examples are not exhaustive, but all activity must demonstrate impact and relevance to university and field.

Core element that must be present:

- Adequate progress on clearly defined, multi-year research plan (ideally explicitly laid out, but may be evident in other ways)
- Executes research duties with honesty and integrity

Other core elements that are appropriate to consider

- Appropriate pursuit/success in developing a funded research program,
- Works/Proposals in progress reflect substantial progress toward completion and promise of likely success.
- Recognizable major publication(s) or significant grant funding with progress on grant commensurate with grant size
- Substantial industry project with significant impact on research, development, application (where the faculty member secured prior approval, followed appropriate procedures, and advances the research and/or educational mission of the University, department, and/or program.)
- Publication in high impact factor journals
- Research awards such as grants
- Honorific research societies
- Compliance with all rules, regulations, disclosures, and requirements associated with research, including following institutional protocols for engagement with external partners or potential partners.
- Research presentation or publication that receives public recognition and/or publicity, or impacts public policy or enhances the University’s economic impact
• Successful publication or presentation at a national conference or significant contribution to a state or regional conference.
• Any of the items in the “Facts” column that hold demonstrable national or international impact or advance the program, department, and university’s reputation.

Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating

This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list based, check box exercise. Evidence presented must support research excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements.

Examples might be:
• Significant research award from a competitive proposal process
• Publication activity that is of high quality and above the norm for the department
Service

Service comes in a variety of capacities. The basic levels are departmental, division-level (where applicable), and institutional. Institutional service includes University committees but also leadership and advising of student organizations, clubs, or professional societies. Service also includes externally-focused service in the form of community relationships or formal efforts to solicit industry engagement in either curricular or research capacities, or unfunded consulting relationship with local, state, or federal government, private entities, or industry. A third broad category is professional service, which may include affiliations with state or national organizations as an organizer, peer reviewer, society fellow, or other activity that advances the discipline or profession and demonstrates recognition of the faculty-member’s expertise and authority.

Elements to consider:

Evidence:

- Nature of service assignments
- Student organizations supervised
- Community-related service
- Industry or other agency service
- Professional service
- Demonstrated contribution and impact of contribution to service roles.

Elements that are core duties and typically must be present to achieve and provide evidence to MEET EXPECTATIONS performance:

- Service remains a core duty that during remote instruction is fulfilled with remote work.
- Executes service duties with honesty and integrity and demonstrates collegiality in performing service roles
- Regular, documented active participation in assigned service duties
- Participation in department meetings
- Demonstrable results or progress made on external service activity
- Significant, demonstrated contribution to internally assigned service roles that enable the unit or organization to fill a gap, solve a problem, or advance in some important way (e.g. contribution to curriculum advisory board relationship).
- Successfully develop or lead co-curricular project such as speaker-series or other events or competitions.
- Initiate meaningful service contribution to program, department, or University.
- Sponsor a new student organization or grow an existing one.
- Enable the organization to achieve positive impact on campus community or a professional society that advances the culture of learning among students in the discipline. All rules must be followed when leading student clubs or organizations.
- Foster significant one-time relationship or potential for extended relationship with external entity that benefits the program, department, or University through research, curriculum, in new or existing areas.
- Provide professional service that raises the profile of the program, department, and university.
- Member of grant review committee for governmental agency or foundation, editorial board, journal reviewer or co-editor
Elements that may be used by an evaluator to justify an Exceeds Expectations or Exemplary rating
- This must be very carefully considered in the context of the institution and is specifically not a list based, check box exercise. Evidence presented must support service excellence that is distinct from the Meets Expectations requirements.

An example might be:
- Significant, demonstrated contribution to internally assigned service roles that enable the unit or organization to fill a gap, solve a problem, or improve productivity.
Florida Poly Canvas Starter-Kit Overview

The starter kit is built from the homepage and is organized by modules that standard components such as overview to week (or content “chunk”), resources, and assignments. This slide shows relationships among pages in brief. Subsequent slides show detail.

The online course starter kit is visible even without logging into Canvas: [https://floridapolytechnic.instructure.com/courses/3982](https://floridapolytechnic.instructure.com/courses/3982)
Main Page

Recent Announcements

Summer C Blueprint Course

Welcome to Our Course!
This course is being delivered completely online.
Summer C Semester: May 11 - August 7

Course Information

- Start Here
  Click Here to begin completing the orientation module
- Modules
  Go here to access all your weekly course activities and assignments
- Syllabus
  View policies and other important information
- Instructor
  Contact Information about your professor
Orientation Module
Inside Orientation Module

Welcome to (Name of Course)

Create your own course orientation video and embed the video here if you choose. In this short video, you might:

- welcome students
- introduce yourself
- introduce the course
- give a tour of how to navigate the course
- and tell students what to do the first week.

An orientation module is essential to helping your students get off to the right start and succeed in your course. The orientation module here is largely a breakdown of the syllabus. The module consists of important components that students need for course success. To ensure that students read and understand each component of the orientation module, you may consider creating requirements for the orientation module and/or an orientation quiz (here are some example orientation and syllabus quizzes). There is an example orientation quiz in this template.

---

Appendix C
Weekly (or Topic-driven) Modules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Overview</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>May 11-17</td>
<td>Week 1 Overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>May 18-24</td>
<td>Week 2 Overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 25-31</td>
<td>Week 3 Overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inside Weekly Module

Week 1 Overview

Upload and embed an image or create a video if you choose.

Topic Overview

Introduce the weekly topic here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Pellentesque aliquam est sed luctus duis augue.


Learning Outcomes

After successful completion of these activities, you will be able to:

- EK Learning Outcome 1 (see this Learning Objective Builder tool for help creating outcomes)
- EK Learning Outcome 2
- EK Learning Outcome 3

Task List

In order to achieve these outcomes, please complete the following:

1. Discussion Activity
(1) Introduction
(a) Community Values. The Student Code of Conduct is designed to promote responsible behavior for all students consistent with the values and welfare of the Florida Polytechnic University (“University”) community. It exists to define the behavioral rights and responsibilities of University students and student organizations. The Student Code of Conduct fosters and enhances the academic mission of the University as well as protects the rights of all University students, faculty, and staff.
(b) Applicability. The Student Code of Conduct applies to the conduct of any student or student organization that occurs: on University property; at University or student-sponsored activities; and at locations where a University course or program is being conducted, including foreign locations such as study abroad and exchange programs. It also applies to off-campus conduct and online conduct that adversely affects the University community and/or the pursuit of its objectives.

(2) Authority
(a) The Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees is charged with the responsibility and authority for creating a Student Conduct Review Process. Authority for the Student Conduct Review Process rests with the University President or designee (“President”).
(b) Student organizations are also regulated under this authority.

(3) Definitions
(a) Responding Party. Any student or student organization that has been charged with violating the Student Code of Conduct.
(b) Advisor. The person chosen by the Responding party who may assist and/or accompany the Responding party throughout the Student Conduct Review Process.
(c) Business Day. Monday through Friday from 8 am to 5 pm, excluding University holidays.
(d) Reporting Party. A person that believes that he or she has been a victim of a student’s misconduct or any person who submits an allegation that a student violated the Student Code of Conduct.
(e) Sanction. Outcome(s) imposed on the Responsible.
(f) Faculty Member. Any person hired by the University to conduct classroom or teaching activities or who is otherwise considered by the University to be a member of its faculty.
(g) Good Standing. A conduct status describing a student who does not have pending charges under the Student Code of Conduct or incomplete misconduct Sanctions.
(h) Hearing Body. Any person or persons appointed by the Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee to conduct hearings to determine whether the Responding party has violated the Student Code of Conduct and impose Sanctions. This includes a Hearing Officer or Hearing Panel.
(i) May. The term “may” is used in the permissive sense.
(j) Policy. Any written policies, regulations, or rules of the University as found in, but not limited to, the Student Code of Conduct; University Policies, Regulation and
Rules webpage; the Student Handbook; Housing Policies and Rules, and the Undergraduate and the Graduate Catalogs.

(k) Preponderance of the Evidence. Information considered as a whole that indicates the facts sought to be proved are more likely than not. This is the burden of proof that must be met in a determination of responsible or not responsible.

(l) Representative. An Office of Student Development employee designated by the Vice Provost of Student Affairs to fulfill specified duties under the Student Conduct Review Process.

(m) Responsible. A student or student organization that has been found to have violated the Student Code of Conduct by a preponderance of the evidence.

(n) Student.
   (i) Persons taking courses at the University (full-time or part-time) in undergraduate, graduate, or professional studies;
   (ii) Persons who withdraw from the University after allegedly violating the Student Code of Conduct;
   (iii) Persons who were previously enrolled but are not officially enrolled for a particular term and have a continuing relationship with the University; or
   (iv) Persons who have been notified of their acceptance for admission to the University.

(o) Student Organization. A registered student organization as described in FPU- 3.002 Student Government and Student Organizations.

(p) University Community. Includes any University officer, employee, student, applicant, visitor, agent, vendor, or contractor.

(q) University Official. Includes any person employed by the University that is performing assigned administrative or professional responsibilities.

(r) University Property. Property owned or controlled by the University.

(s) Witness. A person who has relevant information to help a decision maker determine whether or not an alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct has taken place.

(4) Student Rights In the Student Conduct Review Process. The student has the right to:
   (a) Be free from self-incrimination. However, the rights and rules of evidence or procedure in a civil or criminal proceeding do not apply to the Student Conduct Review Process.
   (b) Be informed of and receive just and unbiased treatment under the Policies of the University, in its courses, in its residential life, and in its extracurricular activities;
   (c) Be informed of decisions impacting their status, advancement, or exercise of University benefits, and have the opportunity to appeal, through a defined process and framework, those decisions in accordance with the procedures prescribed in this Student Code of Conduct;
   (d) Have past behavior considered only when related to the charge(s);
   (e) Privacy, including the confidentiality of education records according to the Federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA);
   (f) Adequate notice of charges and a fair and impartial hearing under the Student Code of Conduct;
   (g) Be secure in their persons, living quarters, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures by the University; and
   (h) Ready access to established University Policies.
(5) **Student Responsibilities.** The student has the responsibility to:

(a) Observe and comply with all University Policies and local, state, and federal laws;
(b) Respect the rights and privacy of others;
(c) Accept the Sanctions imposed due to one’s actions;
(d) Maintain high standards of academic integrity and honor in all work submitted; and
(e) Conduct oneself in a manner that does not infringe upon the rights of other members of the University community.

(6) **Misconduct.** Any student or student organization found to have committed or to have attempted to commit the following misconduct is subject to Sanctions in accordance with this Student Code of Conduct.

(a) **Acts of Dishonesty**, including but not limited to the following:
   (i) **Cheating**, plagiarism, or other forms of academic dishonesty as defined in University Regulation FPU-5.005 Academic Integrity.
   (ii) **Furnishing false information** to any University official, faculty member, or office.
   (iii) **Forgery, alteration, or misuse** of any University document, record, or instrument of identification.

(b) **Disruption or obstruction** of teaching, research, administration, disciplinary proceedings, other University activities, including its public service functions, on or off campus, or of other authorized non-University activities when the conduct occurs on University property.

(c) **Physical abuse, verbal abuse, threats, intimidation, harassment, stalking, coercion**, and/or other conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person, group, or animal that is not of a sexual nature, including bullying. Bullying is repeated and/or severe aggressive behaviors that intimidate or intentionally harm or control another person physically or emotionally, and such conduct is not protected by freedom of expression.

(d) **Sexual misconduct** as defined in University Policies.

(e) **Attempted or actual theft** of and/or **damage to property**, including intellectual property, of the University or property of a member of the University community or other personal or public property, on or off campus.

(f) **Hazing**, means any action or situation, which occurs on or off University property, that recklessly or intentionally endangers the mental or physical health or safety of a student for purposes including, but not limited to, initiation, admission into, affiliation with, or the perpetuation or furtherance of a tradition or ritual of any University student organization or group whether or not officially recognized by the University. Hazing includes, but is not limited to, pressuring or coercing the student into violating state or federal law; any brutality of a physical nature, such as whipping, beating, branding, exposure to the elements, forced consumption of any food, liquor, drug, or other substance; or other forced physical activity that could adversely affect the physical health or safety of the student; or any activity that would subject the student to extreme mental stress, such as sleep deprivation, forced exclusion from social contact, forced conduct that could result in extreme embarrassment, or other forced activity that could adversely affect the mental health or dignity of the student. Hazing does not include customary athletic events or other similar contests or competitions or any activity or conduct that furthers a legal and legitimate objective.

(g) **Failure to comply with directions** of University officials or law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties and/or failure to identify oneself to such persons
(h) **Unauthorized possession, duplication or use of keys** to any University property or unauthorized entry into or use of University property.

(i) **Violation of any University Policy.**

(j) **Violation of any federal, state, or local law.**

(k) **Use, possession, manufacturing, selling or distribution of marijuana, heroin, narcotics, or other controlled substances**, except as expressly permitted by law. This includes the misuse of prescription drugs, paraphernalia used for drugs (e.g. bongs, glass pipes, etc.) and the un-prescribed use, inhalation, or ingestion of a substance (e.g. nitrous oxide, glue, paint, etc.) that could alter a person’s mental state.

(l) **Use, consumption, possession, manufacturing, selling or distribution of alcoholic beverages** (except as expressly permitted by University Policies), paraphernalia used for consumption of alcohol (e.g. kegs, bongs, etc.) or public intoxication. Alcoholic beverages may not, in any circumstance, be used by, possessed by or distributed to any person under twenty-one (21) years of age.

(m) Attending class, an Organizational meeting or other University event that is specific for an educational purpose while under the influence of the substances listed in sections (k) and (l)

(n) **Control or operation of any vehicle**, including non-motorized vehicles, while impaired by alcohol or another substance.

(o) **Illegal or unauthorized possession of firearms, explosives, weapons, or dangerous chemicals** on University property or use of any such item, even if legally possessed, in a manner that harms or threatens others.

(p) Soliciting, facilitating, or participating in any **illegal gambling**, bookmaking or illegal betting whether through a bookmaker, a parlay card, a pool or any other method of organized gambling.

(q) Causing or attempting to cause a **fire or explosion; falsely reporting a fire, explosion, or an explosive device; tampering with fire safety equipment; or failure to evacuate** University buildings during a fire alarm.

(r) **Unauthorized posting of commercial advertising** or engaging in **commercial activity** as described in University Policies.

(s) **Participation in an on-campus or off-campus demonstration, riot or activity that disrupts the normal operations** of the University and/or infringes on the rights of other members of the University community; or leading or inciting others to disrupt scheduled and/or normal activities within any campus building or area.

(t) **Obstruction of the free flow of pedestrian or vehicular traffic** on University property or at University sponsored or supervised functions.

(u) **Conduct that is disorderly, lewd, or indecent; breach of peace**; or aiding, abetting, or procuring another person to breach the peace on University property or at functions the University or members of the University community have sponsored or participated in.

   (i) **Disorderly Conduct** includes, but is not limited to: any unauthorized use of electronic or other devices to make an audio or video record of any person while on University property without his or her prior knowledge, or without his or her effective consent when such a recording is likely to cause injury or distress. This includes, but is not limited to, surreptitiously taking pictures of another person in a gym, locker room, or restroom.

(v) **Theft or other abuse of computer facilities and resources**, including but not limited
to:
(i) Unauthorized entry into a file to use, read, or change the contents, or for any other purpose.
(ii) Unauthorized transfer of a file.
(iii) Use of another individual’s identification and/or password.
(iv) Use of computing facilities and resources to interfere with the work of another student, faculty member or University Official.
(v) Use of computing facilities and resources to send obscene or abusive messages.
(vi) Use of computing facilities and resources to interfere with normal operation of the University computing system.
(vii) Use of computing facilities and resources in violation of copyright laws.
(w) Residence Hall Policy Violation, includes violations of any policy or regulation governing University Housing, as well as, the Resident Handbook.
(x) Abuse of the Student Conduct Review Process, including but not limited to:
(i) Failing to obey the notice from the Office of Student Development or a University official to appear for a meeting or hearing as part of the Student Conduct Review Process.
(ii) Falsifying, distorting, or misrepresenting of information before a Hearing.
(iii) Disrupting or interfering with the orderly conduct of a Student Conduct Review Process.
(iv) Reporting a violation of the Student Code of Conduct in bad faith.
(v) Attempting to improperly influence the impartiality of a Hearing Body prior to, and/or during the course of, the Student Conduct Review Process.
(vi) Harassing (verbal or physical) and/or intimidation of a Hearing Body prior to, during, and/or after a Student Conduct Review Proceeding.
(vii) Failing to comply with the Sanction(s) imposed under the Student Code of Conduct.
(viii) Influencing or attempting to influence another person to commit an abuse of the Student Conduct Review Process.
(ix) Retaliation against a person(s) alleging misconduct or participating in the student conduct review process.

(7) Sanctions. The Responsible is subject to Sanctions commensurate with the offense with consideration given to any aggravating and mitigating circumstances, including but not limited to the Responsible’s conduct record at the University. The Responsible’s efforts to get help or assist others may be taken into account in determining Sanctions. The Responsible’s failure to complete Sanctions may result in a registration, transcript, final grades, and/or diploma hold. Sanctions that may be imposed upon the Responsible include, but are not limited to:
(a) Deactivation. The loss of all privileges, including University recognition, for a specified period of time when the Responsible is an organization.
(b) Discretionary Educational Sanctions. Work assignments, essays, service to the University, or other related discretionary Sanctions.
(c) Fines. Previously established and published financial fines may be imposed.
(d) Loss of Privileges. Denial of specified privileges for a designated period of time.
(e) **Probation.** A designated period of time where more severe disciplinary Sanctions will be imposed if the Responsible is found to violate the Student Code of Conduct during the probation period.

(f) **Residence Hall Expulsion.** Permanent separation of the Responsible from the residence halls.

(g) **Residence Hall Suspension.** Separation of the Responsible from the residence halls for a definite period of time, after which the Responsible is eligible to return. Conditions for returning to the residence halls may be specified.

(h) **Restitution.** Requiring compensation for loss, damage, or injury. This may take the form of appropriate service and/or monetary or material replacement.

(i) **Revocation of Admission and/or Degree.** Admission to the University or a degree awarded from the University may be revoked for fraud, misrepresentation, or other violation of University standards in obtaining the degree, or for other violations that were committed by the student prior to graduation.

(j) **University Expulsion.** Permanent separation of the Responsible from the University.

(k) **Deferred Suspension.** Suspension that will be imposed at a defined future date or time unless sanctions are completed as described by the hearing officer and there are no further policy violations.

(k) **University Suspension.** Separation of the Responsible from the University for a definite period of time. Conditions for readmission to the University will be specified. The Vice Provost of Student Enrollment or designee will instruct the Registrar to place an overlay on the Responsible’s transcript during the period of suspension indicating the period of suspension. Further, while on University Suspension, a hold will be placed on the Responsible’s record to prevent registration. All assigned educational Sanctions must be completed prior to the restoration of student privileges; otherwise the suspension will remain in effect. A suspended student is not permitted on University property during the length of his/her suspension. A suspension may be deferred so that the Responsible can attend classes for the remainder of the semester.

(l) **Warning.** A notice in writing to the Responsible that the Responsible is violating or has violated the Student Code of Conduct.

(m) **Withholding Degree.** The University may withhold awarding a degree otherwise earned until the completion of the process set forth in this Student Code of Conduct, including the completion of any Sanctions imposed.

(n) One or more of the Sanctions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

(8) **Interim Suspension.** In certain situations, the Provost or designee may impose a University or residence hall interim suspension prior to the completion of the Student Conduct Review Process.

(a) An interim suspension may be imposed:

(i) To ensure the safety and well-being of members of the University community or preservation of University property; or

(ii) If the student poses an ongoing threat of disruption of, or interference with, the normal operations of the University.

(b) If requested in writing by the student, an interim suspension is subject to a review at a hearing within three (3) business days by the Provost or designee to determine the status of the interim suspension. The outcome of an interim suspension hearing...
remains in effect until the final disposition of the charges unless the Provost or designee decides otherwise.
(c) During the interim suspension, the student may be denied access to the residence halls and/or to the campus (including classes) and/or all other University activities or privileges for which the student might otherwise be eligible, as the Provost or designee determines to be appropriate.
(d) The interim suspension does not replace the regular Student Conduct Review Process, which proceeds on the normal schedule, up to and through a formal hearing, if required.
(e) If the student is subsequently found not responsible for the violation, the University will:
   i) Correct any record of the change in enrollment status in the student’s permanent records and reports in a manner compliant with state and federal laws; and
   ii) Refund to the student a pro rata portion of any charges for tuition and out-of-state fees, as appropriate, if the temporary suspension of the student’s ability to attend classes lasts for more than ten (10) business days.

(9) Student Conduct Review Process
(a) General Provisions.
   (i) Requests for reasonable accommodations. The Responding party, Reporting party, or other person participating in the Student Conduct Review Process may submit a request for reasonable accommodations for a documented disability for any part of the Student Conduct Review Process to the Office of Student Development representative (the “Representative”). The Representative must receive such requests at least three (3) business days prior to the part of the Student Conduct Review Process for which the person is requesting accommodations.
      (1) The Representative has the discretion to grant such requests. The Representative also has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.
   (ii) Requests for Postponement. The Responding party or Reporting party may request to postpone any part of the Student Conduct Review Process.
      (1) Requests to postpone any part of the Student Conduct Review Process must:
         (i) Be submitted in writing to the Representative at least three (3) business days prior to the part of the Student Conduct Review Process for which the person is requesting postponement, and
         (ii) State the reason(s) for the request.
      (2) The Representative has the discretion to grant such requests. The Representative also has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.
      (3) The University is not required to postpone a Student Conduct Review proceeding pending the outcome of a criminal prosecution.
   (iii) Notices. All notices to a student are sent to the student’s official University email account. Notices to a student organization are sent to the student organization’s highest-ranking officer’s official University email account.
   (iv) Remote Participation. The Representative has the discretion to allow the Responding party, Reporting party, and/or Witness to participate in the Student Conduct Review Process remotely via telephone or other electronic means.
      (1) Requests to participate remotely must be received by the
Representative at least three (3) business days prior to the part of Student Conduct Review Process for which the request is being made. 

(2) The Representative has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.

(v) Failure to Attend Scheduled Meeting or Hearing.

(1) After receiving notice, if the Responding party, Reporting party, or Witness does not timely request a postponement and does not attend a scheduled meeting or hearing, the meeting or hearing will take place as scheduled.

(2) Sanctions may be imposed against the Responding party even if the Responding party does not attend scheduled meetings and hearings. The Responding party will be sent written notice of any imposed Sanctions.

(3) The Representative may have a hold placed on the Responding party’s registration, transcript, final grades and/or diploma if the Responding party does not attend a scheduled meeting or hearing. This hold is removed once the Responding party attends the re-scheduled meeting or hearing, or the Student Conduct Review Process is concluded.

(vi) Advisor. The Responding party and the Reporting party may have, at their own expense and initiative, an Advisor present for any part of the Student Conduct Review Process.

(1) If the Responding party or Reporting party chooses to have an Advisor, it is his or her responsibility to make appropriate arrangements for the Advisor to attend the Student Conduct Review Process. No part of the Student Conduct Review Process will be delayed due to scheduling conflicts with an Advisor.

(2) The Advisor may be present to advise the Responding party or Reporting party but cannot speak for or present the case or otherwise participate directly in the Student Conduct Review Process.

(3) If the Responding party or Reporting party chooses an attorney as the Advisor, the Responding party or Reporting party must inform the Representative of such at least three (3) business days prior to the Initial Meeting.

(vii) University’s Right to Attorney. The University may be advised by an attorney at any time prior to, during, or after the Student Conduct Review Process.

(viii) Burden of Proof. The burden of proof for any portion of the Student Conduct Review Process is not on the Responding party.

(ix) Student’s Eligibility to Attend Classes and University Activities.

(1) A student remains eligible to attend classes and University activities pending the outcome of the Student Conduct Review Process and until any appeal is concluded except for in the following situations:

   (i) The student is currently subject to an Interim Suspension; or
   (ii) Where there is an appeal and the Sanction(s) imposed included University or Residence Hall Suspension or Expulsion.

(2) If the student is subsequently found not responsible, the University will:

   (i) Correct any record of the change in enrollment status in the student's permanent records and reports in a manner compliant with state and federal laws; and
   (ii) Refund to the student a pro rata portion of any charges for tuition and out-of-state fees, as appropriate, if the suspension of the student’s ability to attend classes lasted for more than ten (10)
school days.
(x) Alleged Violations of University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment may require additional procedural rights. In the event of a conflict between this regulation and University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment, University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment controls. Additionally, in the event of a conflict between this regulation and University Regulation FPU-1.005 Discrimination and Harassment Complaint and Investigation Procedures, University Regulation FPU-1.005 Discrimination and Harassment Complaint and Investigation Procedures controls.

(b) Student Conduct Report. Any person or entity may report an alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct to the Office of Student Development. The University may conduct an investigation regarding the circumstances of the report. An investigation is a neutral fact-finding process that determines whether there is sufficient information to move forward with formal student conduct charges or other action as appropriate. An investigation may include interviews with the Reporting Party, the Responding party, and any Witnesses.

(c) No Charges Filed. The Representative may choose to not file charges if:
   (i) It is found that there are not sufficient facts or information to substantiate a violation of the Student Code of Conduct;
   (ii) The person being accused of violating the Student Code of Conduct is not a student;
   (iii) The action claimed as misconduct is not a violation of the Student Code of Conduct.
   (iv) Or in other appropriate circumstances such as Medical Amnesty as referenced in University policy FPU-1.0003P Alcohol Policy.

(d) Filing Charges and Timeline. The Representative will review the relevant information to determine if a student or student organization will be charged with violating the Student Code of Conduct. Upon receipt of a report, the Representative has six (6) months to file a charge. The Representative may exercise discretion when applying the time provision to account for circumstances that warrant a waiver of the six (6) months time limit.

(e) Notice of Charges. The Representative will give the Responding party written notice of the charge(s) at least five (5) business days prior to the Initial Meeting, unless student has waived the five (5) business day requirement in writing. The Notice of Charges must include:
   (i) Specific charges including specific code sections alleged to have been violated;
   (ii) A description of the behavior that led to the charges; and
   (iii) An opportunity for the Responding party to attend an Initial Meeting.

(f) Notice of Reporting Party’s Rights. The Representative will give the Reporting party written notice of their rights. The Reporting party has the same rights as the Responding party, including the right to appeal and the rights described in Section (9)(j)(v) Reporting party’s Rights. The Reporting party also has the same responsibilities as the Responding party.

(g) Initial Meeting. The Responding party has the opportunity to attend an Initial Meeting with the Representative. The Responding party may choose an Advisor to accompany the Responding party to the Initial Meeting.
   (i) At the Initial Meeting, the Responding party will be given an overview of the
Student Conduct Review Process, information known at the time the charge(s) were filed, and an opportunity for the Responding party to accept or deny responsibility for the charge(s).

(ii) At the conclusion of the Initial Meeting, the Representative will select an option for resolution. The options are: 1) Dismissal of Charges; 2) Non-Formal Resolution; or 3) Formal Hearing.

(1) Responding Party Accepts Responsibility. If the Responding party accepts responsibility, the Representative may choose to resolve the violation through non-formal resolutions.

(2) Responding Party Denies Responsibility. If the Responding party denies responsibility or wishes to have a Formal Hearing, the charge(s) will be resolved by a Formal Hearing.

(3) Non-Formal Resolution Requirements. Non-formal resolutions may be used when the student accepts responsibility and possible Sanctions do not include suspension or expulsion. Non-formal resolutions may not be used for violations that the Representative deems to be serious, such as sexual misconduct, violence, or violations involving weapons.

(h) Non-Formal Resolution. Non-formal resolutions include:

(i) Mediation Agreement: Depending on the nature and severity of the charge, the Representative may recommend mediation. The Responding party and the Reporting party must both agree to mediation for mediation to be an option. Mediation is confidential.

(1) In mediation, the Responding party and the Reporting party voluntarily meet with an impartial mediator to communicate their concerns and needs to each other and to reach their own agreement on the resolution of the case (“Mediation Agreement”). The Responding party and Reporting party are responsible for honoring their Mediation Agreement or renegotiating it, if necessary.

(2) Breach of a Mediation Agreement may result in a follow up mediation session, or the Representative may refer the matter back through the Student Code Review Process.

(3) If the Responding party and Reporting party do not agree to mediate or mediate but do not reach a full and final resolution, the matter will be referred back through the Student Conduct Review Process for an Administrative Agreement or a Formal Hearing.

(ii) Administrative Agreement: An Administrative Agreement is negotiated by the Representative and the Responding party. The Administrative Agreement is between the Responding party and the Office of Student Development.

(1) The Administrative Agreement may include punitive Sanctions (disciplinary warning or disciplinary probation) as well as educational Sanctions (papers, seminars, community service, etc.).

(2) Breach of an Administrative Agreement may result in a new Administrative Agreement, or Representative may refer the matter to be resolved by a Formal Hearing or Mediation.

(iii) Deferred Determination: Deferred Determination is when the determination is delayed so the Responding Party can complete certain requirements in an allotted timeframe. The Representative determines the requirements and timeframe in which the requirements must be met. At the completion of all
requirements, the Responsible Party will be found “not responsible.” Deferred Determination only be used for specific non-violent first-time offenses.

(i) **Failure to Resolve Through Non-Formal Resolution.** If the charge is not resolved by a non-formal resolution, the matter will be resolved through a Formal Hearing.

(j) **Formal Hearing:** The Formal Hearing is not a criminal or judicial proceeding and is designed to address student or student organization behavior; therefore, alleged violations of the Student Code of Conduct will be addressed independently of any penalty imposed by the courts for a criminal offense. All Formal Hearings are recorded and confidential.

(i) **Notice of Formal Hearing.** The written Notice of Formal Hearing is sent to the Responding party and the Reporting party at least five (5) business days prior to the Formal Hearing. The notice must include:

1. The date, time, and location of the Formal Hearing;
2. The names of witnesses to be called and information to be used in the Responding party’s matter;
3. Whether the Hearing Body received any additional information after the Initial Meeting that will be used in the Formal Hearing, and, if so, will indicate when and where the additional information may be viewed; and
4. The names of the members of the Hearing Body.

(ii) **Responding Party’s Right to Hearing Panel and Waiver.** The Responding party has the right to a Formal Hearing conducted by a Hearing Panel. If the Responding party chooses to waive this right, a Hearing Officer conducts the Formal Hearing. The Responding party may waive their right to a Hearing Panel if:

1. The Responding party requests such a waiver in writing on forms provided by the University that include an explanation of the effect of the waiver; and
2. The Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee approves the Responding party’s request.

(iii) **Responding Party’s and Reporting Party’s Right to Inspect Information.** The Responding party and the Reporting party each have the right to inspect all of the information, including witnesses, that will be presented against the Responding party at least three (3) business days before the Formal Hearing.

(iv) **University’s Right to Inspect Information.** The University also has the right to review any information, including witnesses, the Responding party and Reporting party intend to use at least three (3) business days before the Formal Hearing.

(v) **Reporting Party’s Rights.** Reporting Party has the right:

1. To have unrelated past behavior excluded from the hearing.
2. To participate in and be present throughout the entire Formal Hearing or any portions thereof. If the Reporting party does not want to be present in the same room as the Responding party, the Hearing Body will make alternative arrangements, if possible.

3. To testify in limited privacy. In lieu of testifying in person or via telephone, the Reporting party may submit a written or recorded statement. The determination of whether the testimony will be given in limited privacy is made at the discretion of the Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee.
(4) To submit a “student impact statement” and offer to the Hearing Body a suggestion of what the Reporting party believes to be an appropriate Sanction for the Responding party. This information may be used only to determine Sanctions.

(5) To be excluded from direct examination in cases where sexual misconduct or abuse is alleged. The Responding party will not be permitted to directly question the Reporting party where the alleged violations are sexual misconduct or abuse. In such cases, the Responding party and the Reporting party must submit questions to the Hearing Body; however, the Hearing Body is not required to ask all of the questions submitted.

(vi) **Hearing Body.** The Hearing Body reviews all information presented during the Formal Hearing and determines whether the Responding party is responsible. The Representative that conducts the Initial Meeting cannot serve as a Hearing Body.

(1) Formal Hearing Conducted by Hearing Panel. The Representative facilitates a Formal Hearing conducted by a panel. The Representative does not participate in deliberations. The Representative selects a member of the Hearing Panel to chair the hearing and report the recommended finding(s) and sanctions, if any. The Hearing Panel must consist of at least 50% students. The Provost or designee appoints faculty, staff, and student representatives to the Hearing Panel.

(2) Formal Hearing Conducted by Hearing Officer. The Hearing Officer conducts the hearing and determines the findings and Sanctions.

(3) Hearing Body for Charges Involving Sexual Misconduct. The Hearing Body is comprised of staff and/or faculty for charges involving sexual misconduct. However, upon request by the Responding party, and provided there is no objection from the Reporting party, Representative may approve that the Hearing Body will be a Hearing Panel with at least one-half of the members being students.

(4) Hearing Body Member Unable to Serve. If a Hearing Body member is unable to serve due to an emergency or unforeseeable occurrence, the Provost may appoint a new Hearing Body member prior to the scheduled hearing.

(5) Challenging a Hearing Body Member’s Impartiality. The Responding party and/or Reporting party has the right to challenge any Hearing Body member’s impartiality at least three (3) business days prior to the scheduled hearing. The Responding party may challenge the substitution of a substituted Hearing Body member at the time of the Formal Hearing. The challenge must be in writing, and must show actual bias (such as a conflict of interest, animosity, pressure, or influence) that would preclude a fair and impartial hearing. The Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee determines whether to grant such a challenge and such decision is final.

(vii) **Witnesses and Information.** The Responding party and/or Reporting party may present or arrange for witnesses to voluntarily present relevant information during the Formal Hearing. Character witnesses cannot participate in the Formal Hearing. The Hearing Body may accept pertinent records, reports, exhibits, and written statements as information for
consideration.
(1) The Hearing Body facilitates the questioning of witnesses.
(2) The Responding party and/or Reporting party may submit a request in 
writing to the Representative to provide relevant information during 
the Formal Hearing in a manner that avoids direct contact with the 
Responding party and/or Reporting party.
(3) The Representative has the discretion to approve or deny the request.

(viii) Questions for Parties and Witnesses. Both parties are required to submit 
questions they would like the Hearing Body to ask of the other party or 
witnesses in writing and at least three (3) business days prior to the Formal 
Hearing. The Hearing Body will then review the questions to ensure they are 
relevant and appropriate. Both parties also have the opportunity to submit 
additional questions to the Hearing Body during the Formal Hearing.

(ix) Determination of Responsibility. The determination of “responsible” or “not 
responsible” will be based upon a preponderance of the information. The 
determination must be based solely upon the information presented at the 
Formal Hearing.

(k) Conduct of Formal Hearings.
(1) Reading of charge(s) by Hearing Body.
(2) Responding party’s response of “responsible” or “not responsible.”
(3) Hearing Body presents information regarding the charges.
(4) Responding party’s opening statement and presentation of information.
(5) Reporting party’s opening statement and presentation of information.
(6) Hearing Body’s questioning of the Responding party, Reporting Party and/or 
witnesses.
(7) Hearing Body’s asking of questions that were submitted by the parties in advance of 
the Formal Hearing.
(8) Hearing Body’s final questions of the Responding party.
(9) Parties may submit additional questions, if any, to the Hearing Body for 
consideration.
(10) Hearing Body’s asking of additional question, if any.
(11) Responding party’s closing remarks.
(12) Reporting party’s closing remarks.
(13) Hearing is brought to a close.

(l) Deliberations. Deliberations by the Hearing Body are not part of the hearing and are 
confidential. Deliberations occur after the close of the hearing and are not recorded.

(m) Findings, Recommendation, and Determination.
(i) Presentment of Proposed Findings and Sanctions to Vice Provost. The 
Hearing Body’s proposed findings and Sanctions must be presented to the 
Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee within a reasonable period of 
time after the conclusion of the Formal Hearing.
(ii) Vice Provost’s Determination. The Vice Provost of Student Affairs or 
designee may accept the proposed findings of responsible or not 
responsible or remand the matter for a rehearing.
(1) If the Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee accepts the proposed 
finding of responsible, then they may approve, mitigate, or increase 
the Sanctions proposed by the Hearing Body.
(2) If the Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee alters the proposed Sanctions or remands the matter for a rehearing, the Responding party must be given a concise and explicit written statement that explains the basis for the decision to alter the Sanctions or remand the matter for a rehearing.

(n) **Notice of Determination and Sanctions.** Following the Student Conduct Review Process, the Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee notifies the Representative of the determination. The Representative notifies the Responding party and the Reporting party in writing of the determination and, to the extent permitted by law, of any Sanctions imposed.

(o) **Official Record.** The recording of the Formal Hearing will serve as the official record of the Formal Hearing and is the property of the University. Retention of the record is subject to the General Records Schedule GS5 for Universities and Community Colleges.

(p) **Appeal Process.**

(i) **Responsibility.** The Provost is responsible for overseeing the appeal process. The Provost may designate a University employee as an appellate officer to review the appeal and render a determination.

(ii) **Appeal deadline.** The Responsible or the Reporting party may appeal a determination reached or an imposed Sanction to the Representative. Such appeals must be in writing and must be received by the Representative no later than five (5) business days after the date the determination was sent.

(iii) **Persons who may not hear or decide an appeal.** No person may hear or decide an appeal if he or she conducted or participated in the Student Conduct Review Process being reviewed on appeal.

(iv) **Basis of Appeal.** When submitting an appeal, the student must state the reason(s) for appeal, the supporting facts, and the recommended solution. This is not a re-hearing of the conduct case. An appeal cannot be filed simply because the student is dissatisfied with the decision. Failure to describe the nature of the information in full detail in the appeal letter will result in the denial of an appeal.

(1) **Formal Hearing was not Properly Conducted.** The purpose of the appeal will be to determine whether the Formal Hearing was conducted fairly in light of the charges and information presented, and in conformity with prescribed procedures. This includes evident bias in the decision of the Hearing Body. However, deviations from designated procedures will not be a basis for sustaining an appeal unless significant prejudice results.

(2) **Sanctions Imposed were Improper.** The purpose of the appeal will be to determine whether the Sanction(s) imposed were inconsistent or overly severe for the charge(s) for which Responsible was found responsible.

(3) **New Information not known at time of Formal Hearing.** The purpose of the appeal will be to consider new information, sufficient to alter a recommendation that was not known to the Responsible at the time of the Formal Hearing.

(v) **Information to be Reviewed on Appeal.** An appeal is limited to a review of the verbatim record of the Formal Hearing and supporting documents unless the basis of appeal is to consider new information.
(vi) **Appeals Decision.** The Provost or appellate officer determines whether to uphold the determination and sends written notification of such decision to the Representative within five (5) business days of receiving the appeal.

   (1) If the result of the appeal is to uphold the determination, the matter is final and binding on all involved.
   
   (2) If the earlier determination is not upheld on appeal, a new Formal Hearing will occur.

(vii) **Notice of Appeal Outcome.** The Representative provides written notice of the outcome of the appeal within three (3) business days of receiving the decision from the Provost or appellate officer.

(viii) **Final Decisions Resulting in University Suspension or Expulsion.** Final appellate decisions that result in a University Suspension or Expulsion of the Responsible must include notice of the right to appeal to an external judicial forum.

(10) **Disciplinary and Academic Records.** The Vice Provost of Student Affairs determines whether disciplinary Sanctions are noted on the Responsible student’s permanent academic record and disciplinary record. Upon graduation, the Responsible student may submit a request to the Office of Student Development to have his/her disciplinary record expunged of disciplinary actions other than Residence Hall Expulsion, University Suspension, University Expulsion, or revocation or withholding of a degree.

(11) **Student’s Education Record.** The records of the Student Conduct Review Process and of the Sanctions imposed, if any, are considered “education records” of both the Responsible and the Reporting party (if Reporting party is a student) pursuant to The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99).

(12) **Interpretation and Revision.**

   (a) Any questions of interpretation or application of the Student Code of Conduct are referred to the Provost or designee for final determination.
   
   (b) The Student Code of Conduct is reviewed periodically by a committee that includes student representation under the direction of the Provost or designee.

*Authority: FBOG regulations 1.001(4)(a)(10), 6.010, 6.0105
Student Code of Conduct: Mark-up to produced revision, May 2020
FPU-3.006 Student Code of Conduct

(1) Introduction
(a) Community Values. The Student Code of Conduct is designed to promote responsible behavior for all students consistent with the values and welfare of the Florida Polytechnic University (“University”) community. It exists to define the behavioral rights and responsibilities of University students and student organizations. The Student Code of Conduct fosters and enhances the academic mission of the University as well as protects the rights of all University students, faculty, and staff.

(b) Applicability. The Student Code of Conduct applies to the conduct of any student or student organization that occurs: on University property; at University or student-sponsored activities; and at locations where a University course or program is being conducted, including foreign locations such as study abroad and exchange programs. It also applies to off-campus conduct and online conduct that adversely affects the University community and/or the pursuit of its objectives.

(2) Authority
(a) The Florida Polytechnic University Board of Trustees is charged with the responsibility and authority for creating a Student Conduct Review Process. Authority for the Student Conduct Review Process rests with the University President or designee (“President”).

(b) Student organizations are also regulated under this authority.

(3) Definitions
(a) Responding Party. Any student or student organization that has been charged with violating the Student Code of Conduct.

(b) Advisor. The person chosen by the Responding party who may assist and/or accompany the Responding party throughout the Student Conduct Review Process.

(c) Business Day. Monday through Friday from 8 am to 5 pm, excluding University holidays.

(d) Reporting Party. A person that believes that he or she has been a victim of a student’s misconduct or any person who submits an allegation that a student violated the Student Code of Conduct.

(e) Sanction. Outcome(s) imposed on the Respondible.

(f) Faculty Member. Any person hired by the University to conduct classroom or teaching activities or who is otherwise considered by the University to be a member of its faculty.

(g) Good Standing. A conduct status describing a student who does not have pending charges under the Student Code of Conduct or incomplete misconduct Sanctions.

(h) Hearing Body. Any person or persons appointed by the Vice Provost of Enrollment Affairs or designee to conduct hearings to determine whether the Responding party has violated the Student Code of Conduct and impose Sanctions. This includes a Hearing Officer or Hearing Panel.

(i) May. The term “may” is used in the permissive sense.

(j) Policy. Any written policies, regulations, or rules of the University as found in, but not limited to, the Student Code of Conduct; University Policies, Regulation and
Rules webpage; the Student Handbook; Housing Policies and Rules, and the Undergraduate and the Graduate Catalogs.

(k) **Preponderance of the Evidence.** Information, considered as a whole that indicates the facts sought to be proved are more likely than not. This is the burden of proof that must be met in a determination of responsible or not responsible.

(l) **Representative.** An Office of Student Development employee designated by the Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs to fulfill specified duties under the Student Conduct Review Process.

(m) **Responsible.** A student or student organization that has been found to have violated the Student Code of Conduct by a preponderance of the evidence.

(n) **Student.**
   (i) Persons taking courses at the University (full-time or part-time) in undergraduate, graduate, or professional studies;
   (ii) Persons who withdraw from the University after allegedly violating the Student Code of Conduct;
   (iii) Persons -who were previously enrolled but are not officially enrolled for a particular term and have a continuing relationship with the University; or
   (iv) Persons who have been notified of their acceptance for admission to the University.

(o) **Student Organization.** A registered student organization as described in FPU- 3.002 Student Government and Student Organizations.

(p) **University Community.** Includes any University officer, employee, student, applicant, visitor, agent, vendor, or contractor.

(q) **University Official.** Includes any person employed by the University that is performing assigned administrative or professional responsibilities.

(r) **University Property.** Property owned or controlled by the University.

(s) **Witness.** A person who has relevant information to help a decision maker determine whether or not an alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct has taken place.

(4) **Student Rights In the Student Conduct Review Process.** The student has the right to:
   (a) Be free from self-incrimination. However, the rights and rules of evidence or procedure in a civil or criminal proceeding do not apply to the Student Conduct Review Process.
   (b) Be informed of and receive just and unbiased treatment under the Policies of the University, in its courses, in its residential life, and in its extracurricular activities;
   (c) Be informed of decisions impacting his or her status, advancement, or exercise of University benefits, and have the opportunity to appeal, through a defined process and framework, those decisions in accordance with the procedures prescribed in this Student Code of Conduct;
   (d) Have past behavior considered only when related to the charge(s);
   (e) Privacy, including the confidentiality of education records according to the Federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA);
   (f) Adequate notice of charges and a fair and impartial hearing under the Student Code of Conduct;
   (g) Be secure in their persons, living quarters, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures by the University; and
   (h) Ready access to established University Policies.
(5) **Student Responsibilities.** The student has the responsibility to:
(a) Observe and comply with all University Policies and local, state, and federal laws;
(b) Respect the rights and privacy of others;
(c) Accept the Sanctions imposed due to one’s actions;
(d) Maintain high standards of academic integrity and honor in all work submitted; and
(e) Conduct oneself in a manner that does not infringe upon the rights of other members of the University community.

(6) **Misconduct.** Any student or student organization found to have committed or to have attempted to commit the following misconduct is subject to Sanctions in accordance with this Student Code of Conduct.
(a) **Acts of Dishonesty**, including but not limited to the following:
   (i) **Cheating**, plagiarism, or other forms of academic dishonesty as defined in University Regulation FPU-5.005 Academic Integrity.
   (ii) **Furnishing false information** to any University official, faculty member, or office.
   (iii) ** Forgery, alteration, or misuse** of any University document, record, or instrument of identification.
(b) **Disruption or obstruction** of teaching, research, administration, disciplinary proceedings, other University activities, including its public service functions, on or off campus, or of other authorized non-University activities when the conduct occurs on University property.
(c) **Physical abuse, verbal abuse, threats, intimidation, harassment, stalking, coercion**, and/or other conduct that threatens or endangers the health or safety of any person, group, or animal that is not of a sexual nature, *including bullying*. Bullying is included in this violation and refers to repeated and/or severe aggressive behaviors that intimidate or intentionally harm or control another person physically or emotionally, and such conduct is not protected by freedom of expression.
(d) **Sexual misconduct** as defined in University Policies.
(e) **Attempted or actual theft of and/or damage to property**, *including intellectual property or services provided* of the University or property of a member of the University community or other personal or public property, on or off campus.
(f) **Hazing**, means any action or situation, which occurs on or off University property, that recklessly or intentionally endangers the mental or physical health or safety of a student for purposes including, but not limited to, initiation, admission into, affiliation with, or the perpetuation or furtherance of a tradition or ritual of any University student organization or group whether or not officially recognized by the University. Hazing includes, but is not limited to, pressuring or coercing the student into violating state or federal law; any brutality of a physical nature, such as whipping, beating, branding, exposure to the elements, forced consumption of any food, liquor, drug, or other substance; or other forced physical activity that could adversely affect the physical health or safety of the student; or any activity that would subject the student to extreme mental stress, such as sleep deprivation, forced exclusion from social contact, forced conduct that could result in extreme embarrassment, or other forced activity that could adversely affect the mental health or dignity of the student. Hazing does not include customary athletic events or other similar contests or competitions or any activity or conduct that furthers a legal and legitimate objective, defined as an act which endangers the mental or physical health or safety of a student, or which destroys or removes public or private property, for the purpose of initiation, admission into,
affiliation with, or as a condition for continued membership in, a group or organization. The express or implied consent of the victim will not be a defense. Apathy or acquiescence in the presence of hazing are not neutral acts; they are violations of this regulation.

(g) Failure to comply with directions of University officials or law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties and/or failure to identify oneself to such persons when requested to do so.

(h) Unauthorized possession, duplication or use of keys to any University property or unauthorized entry into or use of University property.

(i) Violation of any University Policy.

(j) Violation of any federal, state, or local law.

(k) Use, possession, manufacturing, selling or distribution of marijuana, heroin, narcotics, or other controlled substances, except as expressly permitted by law. This includes the misuse of prescription drugs, paraphernalia used for drugs (e.g. bongs, glass pipes, etc.) and the un-prescribed use, inhalation, or ingestion of a substance (e.g. nitrous oxide, glue, paint, etc.) that could alter a person’s mental state is also prohibited.

(l) Use, consumption, possession, manufacturing, selling or distribution of alcoholic beverages (except as expressly permitted by University Policies), paraphernalia used for consumption of alcohol (e.g. kegs, bongs, etc.) or public intoxication. Alcoholic beverages may not, in any circumstance, be used by, possessed by or distributed to any person under twenty-one (21) years of age.

(m) Attending class, an Organizational meeting or other University event that is specific for an educational purpose while under the influence of the substances listed in sections (k) and (l).

(n) Control or operation of any vehicle, including non-motorized vehicles, while impaired by alcohol or another substance.

(o) Illegal or unauthorized possession of firearms, explosives, weapons, or dangerous chemicals on University property or use of any such item, even if legally possessed, in a manner that harms or threatens others.

(p) Soliciting, facilitating, or participating in any illegal gambling, bookmaking or illegal betting whether through a bookmaker, a parlay card, a pool or any other method of organized gambling.

(q) Causing or attempting to cause a fire or explosion; falsely reporting a fire, explosion, or an explosive device; tampering with fire safety equipment; or failure to evacuate University buildings during a fire alarm.

(r) Unauthorized posting of commercial advertising or engaging in commercial activity as described in University Policies.

(s) Participation in an on-campus or off-campus demonstration, riot or activity that disrupts the normal operations of the University and/or infringes on the rights of other members of the University community; or leading or inciting others to disrupt scheduled and/or normal activities within any campus building or area.

(t) Obstruction of the free flow of pedestrian or vehicular traffic on University property or at University sponsored or supervised functions.

(u) Conduct that is disorderly, lewd, or indecent; breach of peace; or aiding, abetting, or procuring another person to breach the peace on University property or at functions the University or members of the University community have sponsored or participated
Disorderly Conduct includes, but is not limited to: any unauthorized use of electronic or other devices to make an audio or video record of any person while on University property without his or her prior knowledge, or without his or her effective consent when such a recording is likely to cause injury or distress. This includes, but is not limited to, surreptitiously taking pictures of another person in a gym, locker room, or restroom.

Theft or other abuse of computer facilities and resources, including but not limited to:

(i) Unauthorized entry into a file to use, read, or change the contents, or for any other purpose.
(ii) Unauthorized transfer of a file.
(iii) Use of another individual’s identification and/or password.
(iv) Use of computing facilities and resources to interfere with the work of another student, faculty member or University official.
(v) Use of computing facilities and resources to send obscene or abusive messages.
(vi) Use of computing facilities and resources to interfere with normal operation of the University computing system.
(vii) Use of computing facilities and resources in violation of copyright laws.

Residence Hall Policy Violation, includes violations of any policy or regulation governing University Housing, as well as, the Resident Handbook.

Abuse of the Student Conduct Review Process, including but not limited to:

(i) Failing to obey the notice from the Office of Student Development or a University official to appear for a meeting or hearing as part of the Student Conduct Review Process.
(ii) Falsifying, distorting, or misrepresenting of information before a Hearing.
(iii) Disrupting or interfering with the orderly conduct of a Student Conduct Review Process.
(iv) Reporting a violation of the Student Code of Conduct in bad faith.
(v) Attempting to discourage an individual’s proper participation in, or use of, the Student Conduct Review Process.
(vi) Attempting to improperly influence the impartiality of a Hearing Body prior to, and/or during the course of, the Student Conduct Review Process.
(vii) Harassing (verbal or physical) and/or intimidation of a Hearing Body prior to, during, and/or after a Student Conduct Review Proceeding.
(viii) Failing to comply with the Sanction(s) imposed under the Student Code of Conduct.
(ix) Influencing or attempting to influence another person to commit an abuse of the Student Conduct Review Process.
(x) Retaliation against a person(s) alleging misconduct or participating in the student conduct review process.

Sanctions. The Responsible is subject to Sanctions commensurate with the offense with consideration given to any aggravating and mitigating circumstances, including but not limited to the Responsible’s conduct record at the University. The Responsible’s efforts to get help or assist others may be taken into account in determining Sanctions. The Responsible’s failure to complete Sanctions may result in a registration, transcript, final
grades, and/or diploma hold. Sanctions that may be imposed upon the Responsible include, but are not limited to:

(a) **Deactivation.** The loss of all privileges, including University recognition, for a specified period of time when the Responsible is an organization.

(b) **Discretionary Educational Sanctions.** Work assignments, essays, service to the University, or other related discretionary Sanctions.

(c) **Fines.** Previously established and published financial fines may be imposed.

(d) **Loss of Privileges.** Denial of specified privileges for a designated period of time.

(e) **Probation.** A designated period of time where more severe disciplinary Sanctions will be imposed if the Responsible is found to violate the Student Code of Conduct during the probation period.

(f) **Residence Hall Expulsion.** Permanent separation of the Responsible from the residence halls.

(g) **Residence Hall Suspension.** Separation of the Responsible from the residence halls for a definite period of time, after which the Responsible is eligible to return. Conditions for returning to the residence halls may be specified.

(h) **Restitution.** Requiring compensation for loss, damage, or injury. This may take the form of appropriate service and/or monetary or material replacement.

(i) **Revocation of Admission and/or Degree.** Admission to the University or a degree awarded from the University may be revoked for fraud, misrepresentation, or other violation of University standards in obtaining the degree, or for other violations that were committed by the student prior to graduation.

(j) **University Suspension.** Separation of the Responsible from the University for a definite period of time. Conditions for readmission to the University will be specified. The Vice Provost of Student Enrollment or designee will instruct the Registrar to place an overlay on the Responsible’s transcript during the period of suspension indicating the period of suspension. Further, while on University Suspension, a hold will be placed on the Responsible’s record to prevent registration. All assigned educational Sanctions must be completed prior to the restoration of student privileges; otherwise the suspension will remain in effect. A suspended student is not permitted on University property during the length of his/her suspension. A suspension may be deferred so that the Responsible can attend classes for the remainder of the semester.

(l) **Warning.** A notice in writing to the Responsible that the Responsible is violating or has violated the Student Code of Conduct.

(m) **Withholding Degree.** The University may withhold awarding a degree otherwise earned until the completion of the process set forth in this Student Code of Conduct, including the completion of any Sanctions imposed.

(n) **More than one.** One or more of the Sanctions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

(8) **Interim Suspension.** In certain situations, the Provost or designee may impose a University or residence hall interim suspension prior to the completion of the Student Conduct Review Process.
(a) An interim suspension may be imposed:
   (i) To ensure the safety and well-being of members of the University community or preservation of University property; or
   (ii) To ensure the student’s own physical or emotional safety and well-being; or
   If the student poses an ongoing threat of disruption of, or interference with, the normal operations of the University.

(b) If requested in writing by the student, an interim suspension is subject to a review at a hearing within three (3) business days by the Provost or designee to determine the status of the interim suspension. The outcome of an interim suspension hearing remains in effect until the final disposition of the charges unless the Provost or designee decides otherwise.

(c) During the interim suspension, the student may be denied access to the residence halls and/or to the campus (including classes) and/or all other University activities or privileges for which the student might otherwise be eligible, as the Provost or designee determines to be appropriate.

(d) The interim suspension does not replace the regular Student Conduct Review Process, which proceeds on the normal schedule, up to and through a formal hearing, if required.

(e) If the student is subsequently found not responsible for the violation, the University will:
   i) Correct any record of the change in enrollment status in the student’s permanent records and reports in a manner compliant with state and federal laws; and
   ii) Refund to the student a pro rata portion of any charges for tuition and out-of-state fees, as appropriate, if the temporary suspension of the student’s ability to attend classes lasts for more than ten (10) business days.

(9) Student Conduct Review Process
   (a) General Provisions.
      (i) Requests for reasonable accommodations. The Responding party, Reporting party, or other person participating in the Student Conduct Review Process may submit a request for reasonable accommodations for a documented disability for any part of the Student Conduct Review Process to the Office of Student Development representative (the “Representative”). The Representative must receive such requests at least three (3) business days prior to the part of the Student Conduct Review Process for which the person is requesting accommodations.
         (1) The Representative has the discretion to grant such requests. The Representative also has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.
      (ii) Requests for Postponement. The Responding party or Reporting party may request to postpone any part of the Student Conduct Review Process.
         (1) Requests to postpone any part of the Student Conduct Review Process must:
            (i) Be submitted in writing to the Representative at least three (3) business days prior to the part of the Student Conduct Review Process for which the person is requesting postponement, and
            (ii) State the reason(s) for the request.
         (2) The Representative has the discretion to grant such requests. The Representative also has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.
(3) The University is not required to postpone a Student Conduct Review proceeding pending the outcome of a criminal prosecution.

(iii) Notices. All notices to a student are sent to the student’s official University email account. Notices to a student organization are sent to the student organization’s highest-ranking officer’s official University email account.

(iv) Remote Participation. The Representative has the discretion to allow the Responding party, Reporting party, and/or Witness to participate in the Student Conduct Review Process remotely via telephone or other electronic means.
   (1) Requests to participate remotely must be received by the Representative at least three (3) business days prior to the part of Student Conduct Review Process for which the request is being made.
   (2) The Representative has the discretion to waive the three (3) business day requirement.

(v) Failure to Attend Scheduled Meeting or Hearing.
   (1) After receiving notice, if the Responding party, Reporting party, or Witness does not timely request a postponement and does not attend a scheduled meeting or hearing, the meeting or hearing will take place as scheduled.
   (2) Sanctions may be imposed against the Responding party even if the Responding party does not attend scheduled meetings and hearings. The Responding party will be sent written notice of any imposed Sanctions.
   (3) The Representative may have a hold placed on the Responding party’s registration, transcript, final grades and/or diploma if the Responding party does not attend a scheduled meeting or hearing. This hold is removed once the Responding party attends the re-scheduled meeting or hearing, or the Student Conduct Review Process is concluded.

(vi) Advisor. The Responding party and the Reporting party may have, at their own expense and initiative, an Advisor present for any part of the Student Conduct Review Process.
   (1) If the Responding party or Reporting party chooses to have an Advisor, it is his or her responsibility to make appropriate arrangements for the Advisor to attend the Student Conduct Review Process. No part of the Student Conduct Review Process will be delayed due to scheduling conflicts with an Advisor.
   (2) The Advisor may be present to advise the Responding party or Reporting party but cannot speak for or present the case or otherwise participate directly in the Student Conduct Review Process.
   (3) If the Responding party or Reporting party chooses an attorney as the Advisor, the Responding party or Reporting party must inform the Representative of such at least three (3) business days prior to the Initial Meeting.

(vii) University’s Right to Attorney. The University may be advised by an attorney at any time prior to, during, or after the Student Conduct Review Process.

(viii) Burden of Proof. The burden of proof for any portion of the Student Conduct Review Process is not on the Responding party.

(ix) Student’s Eligibility to Attend Classes and University Activities.
   (1) A student remains eligible to attend classes and University activities pending the outcome of the Student Conduct Review Process and until any appeal is concluded except for in the following situations:
      (i) The student is currently subject to an Interim Suspension; or
      (ii) Where there is an appeal and the Sanction(s) imposed
included University or Residence Hall Suspension or Expulsion.

(2) If the student is subsequently found not responsible, the University will:
   (i) Correct any record of the change in enrollment status in the student's permanent records and reports in a manner compliant with state and federal laws; and
   (ii) Refund to the student a pro rata portion of any charges for tuition and out-of-state fees, as appropriate, if the suspension of the student’s ability to attend classes lasted for more than ten (10) school days.

(x) Alleged Violations of University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment may require additional procedural rights. In the event of a conflict between this regulation and University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment, University policy FPU-1.005P Sexual Harassment controls. Additionally, in the event of a conflict between this regulation and University Regulation FPU-1.005 Discrimination and Harassment Complaint and Investigation Procedures, University Regulation FPU-1.005 Discrimination and Harassment Complaint and Investigation Procedures controls.

(b) Student Conduct Report. Any person or entity may report an alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct to the Office of Student Development. The University may conduct an investigation regarding the circumstances of the report. An investigation is a neutral fact finding process that determines whether there is sufficient information to move forward with formal student conduct charges or other action as appropriate. An investigation may include interviews with the Reporting Party, the Reporting Party, the Responding party, and any Witnesses.

(c) No Charges Filed. The Representative may choose to not file charges if:
   (i) It is found that there are not sufficient facts or information to substantiate a violation of the Student Code of Conduct;
   (ii) The person being accused of violating the Student Code of Conduct is not a student;
   (iii) The action claimed as misconduct is not a violation of the Student Code of Conduct.
   (iv) Or in other appropriate circumstances such as Medical Amnesty as referenced in University policy FPU-1.0003P Alcohol Policy.

(d) Filing Charges and Timeline. The Representative will review the relevant information to determine if a student or student organization will be charged with violating the Student Code of Conduct. Upon receipt of a report, the Representative has six (6) months to file a charge. The Representative may exercise discretion when applying the time provision to account for circumstances that warrant a waiver of the six (6) month time limit.

(e) Notice of Charges. The Representative will give the Responding party written notice of the charge(s) at least five (5) business days prior to the Initial Meeting, unless student has waived the five (5) business day requirement in writing. The Notice of Charges must include:
   (i) Specific charges including specific code sections alleged to have been violated;
   (ii) A description of the behavior that led to the charges; and
(iii) An opportunity for the Responding party to attend an Initial Meeting.

(f) **Notice of Reporting Party’s Rights.** The Representative will give the Reporting party written notice of their rights at least five (5) business days prior to the Initial Meeting. The Reporting party has the same rights as the Responding party, including the right to appeal and the rights described in Section (9)(j)(v) Reporting party’s Rights. The Reporting party also has the same responsibilities as the Responding party.

(g) **Initial Meeting.** The Responding party has the opportunity to attend an Initial Meeting with the Representative. The Responding party may choose an Advisor to accompany the Responding party to the Initial Meeting.

(i) At the Initial Meeting, the Responding party will be given an overview of the Student Conduct Review Process, information known at the time the charge(s) were filed, and an opportunity for the Responding party to accept or deny responsibility for the charge(s).

(ii) At the conclusion of the Initial Meeting, the Representative will select an option for resolution. The options are: 1) Dismissal of Charges; 2) Non-Formal Resolution; or 3) Formal Hearing.

(1) Responding Party Accepts Responsibility. If the Responding party accepts responsibility, the Representative may choose to resolve the violation through non-formal resolutions.

(2) Responding Party Denies Responsibility. If the Responding party denies responsibility or wishes to have a Formal Hearing, the charge(s) will be resolved by a Formal Hearing.

(3) Non-Formal Resolution Requirements. Non-formal resolutions may be used when the student accepts responsibility and possible Sanctions do not include suspension or expulsion. Non-formal resolutions may not be used for violations that the Representative deems to be serious, such as sexual misconduct, violence, or violations involving weapons.

(h) **Non-Formal Resolution.** Non-formal resolutions include:

(i) **Mediation Agreement:** Depending on the nature and severity of the charge, the Representative may recommend mediation. The Responding party and the Reporting party must both agree to mediation for mediation to be an option. Mediation is confidential.

(1) In mediation, the Responding party and the Reporting party voluntarily meet with an impartial mediator to communicate their concerns and needs to each other and to reach their own agreement on the resolution of the case (“Mediation Agreement”). The Responding party and Reporting party are responsible for honoring their Mediation Agreement or renegotiating it, if necessary.

(2) Breach of a Mediation Agreement may result in a follow up mediation session, or the Representative may refer the matter back through the Student Code Review Process.

(3) **In the event that** the Responding party and Reporting party do not agree to mediate or mediate but do not reach a full and final resolution, the matter will be referred back through the Student Conduct Review Process for an Administrative Agreement or a Formal Hearing.

(ii) **Administrative Agreement:** An Administrative Agreement is negotiated by the Representative and the Responding party. The Administrative Agreement is
between the Responding party and the Office of Student Development.

(1) The Administrative Agreement may include punitive Sanctions (disciplinary warning or disciplinary probation) as well as educational Sanctions (papers, seminars, community service, etc.).

(2) Breach of an Administrative Agreement may result in a new Administrative Agreement, or Representative may refer the matter to be resolved by a Formal Hearing or Mediation.

(iii) **Deferred Determination:** Deferred Determination is when a Student is responsible for a violation but when the determination finding is delayed so the Responding Party Student can complete certain requirements in an allotted timeframe. The Representative determines the requirements and timeframe in which the requirements must be met. In order to receive Deferred Determination, the Student must begin by accepting responsibility. At the completion of all requirements, the Responsible Party Student will be found “not responsible.” Deferred Determination may only be used for specific non-violent first-time offenses.

(i) **Failure to Resolve Through Non-Formal Resolution.** If the charge is not resolved by a non-formal resolution, the matter will be resolved through a Formal Hearing.

(j) **Formal Hearing:** The Formal Hearing is not a criminal or judicial proceeding and is designed to address student or student organization behavior; therefore, alleged violations of the Student Code of Conduct will be addressed independently of any penalty imposed by the courts for a criminal offense. All Formal Hearings are recorded and confidential.

(i) **Notice of Formal Hearing.** The written Notice of Formal Hearing is sent to the Responding party and the Reporting party at least five (5) business days prior to the Formal Hearing. The notice must include:
   1. The date, time, and location of the Formal Hearing;
   2. The names of witnesses to be called and information to be used in the Responding party’s matter;
   3. Whether the Hearing Body received any additional information after the Initial Meeting that will be used in the Formal Hearing, and, if so, will indicate when and where the additional information may be viewed; and
   4. The names of the members of the Hearing Body.

(ii) **Responding Party’s Right to Hearing Panel and Waiver.** The Responding party has the right to a Formal Hearing conducted by a Hearing Panel. If the Responding party chooses to waive this right, a Hearing Officer conducts the Formal Hearing. The Responding party may waive their right to a Hearing Panel if:
   1. The Responding party requests such a waiver in writing on forms provided by the University that include an explanation of the effect of the waiver; and
   2. The Vice Provost of Enrollment Affairs or designee approves the Responding party’s request.

(iii) **Responding Party’s and Reporting Party’s Right to Inspect Information.** The Responding party and the Reporting party each have the right to inspect all of the information, including witnesses, that will be presented against the Responding party at least three (3) business days before the Formal Hearing.

(iv) **University’s Right to Inspect Information.** The University also has the right to
review any information, including witnesses, the Responding party and Reporting party Complain intend to use at least three (3) business days before the Formal Hearing.

(v) Reporting Party’s Rights. Reporting Party has the right:

(1) To have unrelated past behavior excluded from the hearing.

(2) To participate in and be present throughout the entire Formal Hearing or any portions thereof. If the Reporting party does not want to be present in the same room as the Responding party Student, the Hearing Body will make alternative arrangements, if possible.

(3) To testify in limited privacy. In lieu of testifying in person or via telephone, the Reporting party may submit a written or recorded statement. The determination of whether the testimony will be given in limited privacy is made at the discretion of the Vice Provost of Enrollment or designee.

(4) To submit a “student impact statement” and offer to the Hearing Body a suggestion of what the Reporting party believes to be an appropriate Sanction for the Responding party. This information may be used only to determine Sanctions.

(5) To be excluded from direct examination in cases where sexual misconduct or abuse is alleged. The Responding party will not be permitted to directly question the Reporting party where the alleged violations are sexual misconduct or abuse. In such cases, the Responding party and the Reporting party must submit questions to the Hearing Body; however, the Hearing Body is not required to ask all of the questions submitted.

(vi) Hearing Body. The Hearing Body reviews all information presented during the Formal Hearing and determines whether the Responding party is responsible. The Representative that conducts the Initial Meeting cannot serve as a Hearing Body.

(1) Formal Hearing Conducted by Hearing Panel. The Representative facilitates a Formal Hearing conducted by a panel. The Representative does not participate in deliberations. The Representative selects a member of the Hearing Panel to chair the hearing and report the recommended finding(s) and sanctions, if any. The Hearing Panel must consist of at least 50% students. The Provost or designee appoints faculty, staff, and student representatives to the Hearing Panel.

(2) Formal Hearing Conducted by Hearing Officer. The Hearing Officer conducts the hearing and determines the findings and Sanctions.

(3) Hearing Body for Charges Involving Sexual Misconduct. The Hearing Body is comprised of staff and/or faculty for charges involving sexual misconduct. However, upon request by the Responding party, and provided there is no objection from the Reporting party, Representative may approve that the Hearing Body will be a Hearing Panel with at least one-half of the members being students.

(4) Hearing Body Member Unable to Serve. If a Hearing Body member is unable to serve due to an emergency or unforeseeable occurrence, the Provost may appoint a new Hearing Body member prior to the scheduled hearing.

(5) Challenging a Hearing Body Member’s Impartiality. The Responding
party and/or Reporting party has the right to challenge any Hearing Body member’s impartiality at least three (3) business days prior to the scheduled hearing. The Responding party may challenge the substitution of a substituted Hearing Body member at the time of the Formal Hearing. The challenge must be in writing, and must show actual bias (such as a conflict of interest, animosity, pressure, or influence) that would preclude a fair and impartial hearing. The Vice Provost of Enrollment or designee determines whether to grant such a challenge and such decision is final.

(vii) Witnesses and Information. The Responding party and/or Reporting party may present or arrange for witnesses to voluntarily present relevant information during the Formal Hearing. Character witnesses cannot participate in the Formal Hearing. The Hearing Body may accept pertinent records, reports, exhibits, and written statements as information for consideration. Questions for the parties are requested in advance to be providing to the Hearing Body prior to the start of the Formal Hearing for review; this does not take away from additional opportunities to ask questions during the Formal Hearing.

1) The Hearing Body facilitates the questioning of witnesses.
2) The Responding party and/or Reporting party may submit a request in writing to the Representative to provide relevant information during the Formal Hearing in a manner that avoids direct contact with the Responding party and/or Reporting party.
3) The Representative has the discretion to approve or deny the request.

(viii) Questions for Parties and Witnesses. Both parties are required to submit questions they would like the Hearing Body to ask of the other party or witnesses in writing and at least three (3) business days prior to the Formal Hearing. The Hearing Body will then review the questions to ensure they are relevant and appropriate. Both parties also have the opportunity to submit additional questions to the Hearing Body during the Formal Hearing.

(ix) Determination of Responsibility. The determination of “responsible” or “not responsible” will be based upon a preponderance of the information. The determination must be based solely upon the information presented at the Formal Hearing.

(k) Conduct of Formal Hearings.
1) Reading of charge(s) by Hearing Body.
2) Responding party’s response of “responsible” or “not responsible.”
3) Hearing Body presents information regarding the charges.
4) Responding party’s opening statement and presentation of information.
5) Reporting party’s opening statement and presentation of information.
6) Hearing Body’s questioning of the Responding party, Reporting Party and/or witnesses.
7) Hearing Body’s asking of questions that were submitted by the parties in advance of the Formal Hearing to the Hearing Body, Reporting party, witnesses, and/or Reporting Party. (This may include questions submitted to the Hearing Body for charges of sexual misconduct and abuse. See Section (j)(v)(5).
8) Hearing Body’s final questions of the Responding party.
9) Parties may submit additional questions, if any, to the Hearing Body for
consideration.
(9)(10) Hearing Body’s asking of additional question, if any.
(9)(11) Responding party’s closing remarks.
(10)(12) Reporting party’s closing remarks.
(11)(13) Hearing is brought to a close.

(l) Deliberations. Deliberations by the Hearing Body are not part of the hearing and are confidential. Deliberations occur after the close of the hearing and are not recorded.

(m) Findings, Recommendation, and Determination.
(ix) Announcement of Proposed Findings and Sanctions. Following deliberations, the Hearing Officer or the Representative will announce to the Responding party the proposed findings and any Sanctions. The announcement of the proposed findings and any Sanctions are recorded as part of the official hearing record.

(i) Presentment of Proposed Findings and Sanctions to Vice Provost. The Hearing Body’s proposed findings and Sanctions must be presented to the Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee within a reasonable period of time after the conclusion of the Formal Hearing.

(ii) Vice Provost’s Determination. The Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee may accept the proposed findings of responsible or not responsible or remand the matter for a rehearing.

(1) If the Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee accepts the proposed finding of responsible, then they/he/she may approve, mitigate, or increase the Sanctions proposed by the Hearing Body.

(2) If the Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee alters the proposed Sanctions or remands the matter for a rehearing, the Responding party must be given a concise and explicit written statement that explains the basis for the decision to alter the Sanctions or remand the matter for a rehearing.

(n) Notice of Determination and Sanctions. Following the Student Conduct Review Process, the Vice Provost of Enrollment/Vice Provost of Student Affairs or designee notifies the Representative of the determination. The Representative notifies the Responding party and the Reporting party in writing of the determination and, to the extent permitted by law, of any Sanctions imposed.

(o) Official Record. The recording of the Formal Hearing will serve as the official record of the Formal Hearing and is the property of the University. Retention of the record is subject to the General Records Schedule GS5 for Universities and Community Colleges.

(p) Appeal Process.

(i) Responsibility. The President/Provost is responsible for overseeing the appeal process. The President/Provost may designate a University employee as an appellate officer to review the appeal and render a determination.

(ii) Appeal deadline. The Responsible or the Reporting party may appeal a determination reached or an imposed Sanction to the President/Representative. Such appeals must be in writing and must be received by the President/Representative no later than five (5) business
days after the date the determination was sent.

(iii) Persons who may not hear or decide an appeal. No person may hear or decide an appeal if he or she conducted or participated in the Student Conduct Review Process being reviewed on appeal.

(ix) When submitting an appeal, the student must state the reason(s) for appeal, the supporting facts, and the recommended solution. This is not a re-hearing of the conduct case. An appeal cannot be filed simply because the student is dissatisfied with the decision. Failure to describe the nature of the information in full detail in the appeal letter will result in the denial of an appeal.

(iii)(iv) Basis of Appeal. When submitting an appeal, the student must state the reason(s) for appeal, the supporting facts, and the recommended solution. This is not a re-hearing of the conduct case. An appeal cannot be filed simply because the student is dissatisfied with the decision. Failure to describe the nature of the information in full detail in the appeal letter will result in the denial of an appeal.

(1) Formal Hearing was not Properly Conducted. The purpose of the appeal will be to determine whether the Formal Hearing was conducted fairly in light of the charges and information presented, and in conformity with prescribed procedures. This includes evident bias in the decision of the Hearing Body. However, deviations from designated procedures will not be a basis for sustaining an appeal unless significant prejudice results.

(2) No Substantial Information to Support Recommendation. The purpose of the appeal will be to determine whether the Hearing Body’s recommendation regarding the Responsible was based on substantial information. A recommendation is based on substantial information when the Hearing Body adequately considered all relevant facts presented during the Formal Hearing.

(3)(2) Sanctions Imposed were Improper. The purpose of the appeal will be to determine whether the Sanction(s) imposed were inconsistent or overly severe for the charge(s) for which Responsible was found responsible.

(4)(3) New Information not known at time of Formal Hearing. The purpose of the appeal will be to consider new information, sufficient to alter a recommendation that was not known to the Responsible at the time of the Formal Hearing.

(iv)(v) Information to be Reviewed on Appeal. An appeal is limited to a review of the verbatim record of the Formal Hearing and supporting documents unless the basis of appeal is to consider new information.

(i) President’s Appeals Decision. The President or appellate officer designee determines whether to uphold the determination and sends written notification of such decision to the Representative within five (5) business days of receiving the appeal.

(1) If the result of the appeal is to uphold the determination, the matter is final and binding on all involved.

(2) If the earlier determination is not upheld on appeal, a new Formal Hearing will occur.

(vi)(vii) Notice of Appeal Outcome. The Representative provides written notice of the outcome of the appeal within three (3) business days of receiving the Provost’s decision from the Provost or appellate officer.
(vii)(viii) Final Decisions Resulting in University Suspension or Expulsion.
Final appellate decisions that result in a University Suspension or Expulsion of the Responsible must include notice of the right to appeal to an external judicial forum.

(10) Disciplinary and Academic Records. The Vice Provost of Enrollment-Vice Provost of Student Affairs determines whether disciplinary Sanctions are noted on the Responsible student’s permanent academic record and disciplinary record. Upon graduation, the Responsible student may submit a request to the Office of Student Development to have his/her disciplinary record expunged of disciplinary actions other than Residence Hall Expulsion, University Suspension, University Expulsion, or revocation or withholding of a degree.

(11) Student’s Education Record. The records of the Student Conduct Review Process and of the Sanctions imposed, if any, are considered “education records” of both the Responsible and the Reporting party (if Reporting party is a student) pursuant to The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99).

(12) Interpretation and Revision.
(a) Any questions of interpretation or application of the Student Code of Conduct are referred to the Provost or designee for final determination.
(b) The Student Code of Conduct is reviewed periodically by a committee that includes student representation under the direction of the Provost or designee.

Authority: FBOG regulations 1.001(4)(a)(10), 6.010, 6.0105