	ARTICLE 6 – API	POINTMENT & PROMOTION
6.1	General Principles.	1
6.2	Employment Contract	2
6.3	Faculty Appointment and Re	eappointment Contract Duration3
6.4	Reappointment and Promoti	ion Review Eligibility and Requirements5
6.5	Promotion Categories and E	ligibility Criteria for Faculty7
6.6 Profe		notion Materials for Assistant Professors, Associate (Instructors see Section 6.9)
6.7 Mem		notion Process: Types, Notices, and Committee sors, Associate Professors, and Professors
6.8 Profe		ion Procedure for Assistant Professors, Associate
6.9	Reappointment and Promoti	ion for Instructors and Senior Instructors 19
6.10 Assis		nent and Promotion Criteria for Instructors, ofessors, and Professors
6.11	Non-Reappointment of Facu	lty27
6.12	Assistant Librarians and We	ellness Counselors
6.13	Grievability	
6.1 <u>Gener</u>	al Principles.	
(a)	institution, and as such, bot an excellent faculty throu University and UFF share areas, but particularly in t faculty. This article balan	recognize that Florida Polytechnic University is a new th parties accept that flexibility is required as we develop agh the process of appointment and promotion. The the desire to improve the quality of the University in all he execution of teaching, research, and service by the aces a recognition of the University's unique history, tion with a shared desire for continuous improvement.
(b)	Faculty are defined as indi-	viduals whose primary responsibilities include teaching,
For the Un	niversity	For the UFF
Alex Land	lback	Myles Kim
Chief Neg	otiator	Chief Negotiator
		Date

Florida Poly/UFF

p.2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

b. Reappointment Contract duration: two (2) years.

For the University	For the UFF	
Alex Landback	Myles Kim	
Chief Negotiator	Chief Negotiator	
Date	Date	

Florida Poly/UFF FPU-BOT v.3 2021-2024 CBA

p.4

1 2				b.	Reappointment Contract Duration: six (6) years when based upon a Full Review (see Section 6.7(a)(2).
3	6.4	Reap	pointm	ent an	l Promotion Review Eligibility and Requirements.
4 5		(a)		ty are ving pe	eligible for Reappointment and Promotion Reviews during the riods:
6			(1)	Instru	<u>ictor</u>
7 8 9 10				a.	Reappointment Cycle: two (2) years. Instructors receive an Instructor's Review during the spring semester of the final year of their initial appointment and a biennial instructor's review (occurring every two years) thereafter.
11 12 13 14				b.	Individuals whose employment did not start at the beginning of the fall semester will have their Instructor's Review delayed to the first spring semester following the completion of a minimum of four (4) full, contiguous <u>fall and spring</u> semesters following their initial appointment.
16			(2)	Senio	or Instructors
17 18 19 20				a.	<u>Reappointment Cycle</u> : three (3) years after Promotion or initial contract – Instructor's Review during the spring semester of the third (3 rd) year after their first promotion period (6 th full semester as a Senior Instructor).
21			(3)	Assis	tant Professor
22				a.	<u>Preliminary Review</u> (see 6.7(a)(1)).
23 24 25 26					1. Preliminary Review for reappointment begins at the start of the first spring semester following the completion of a minimum five (5) full, contiguous semesters at the current appointed rank.
27 28 29 30					2. Individuals whose employment did not start at the beginning of the fall semester will have their Preliminary Review delayed to the first spring semester following the completion of a minimum of five (5) full, contiguous semesters following their initial appointment.
	For th	ne Unive	ersity		For the UFF
		Landba			Myles Kim
	Chief	Negoti	ator		Chief Negotiator
	Date				Date

p.6

Florida Poly/UFF

FPU-BOT v.3 2021-2024 CBA p.7

Florida Poly/UFF

FPU-BOT v.3 2021-2024 CBA

p.8

Date

Florida Poly/UFF

FPU-BOT v.3

		("FR	ared Dossier and send them to the Faculty Representative Council C") for review and comment by February 15 of the spring semester in numbered calendar years.
		a.	The FRC shall review, within fourteen (14) days of receipt, the format and guidelines and shall recommend approval or changes to the Provost.
		b.	Should the FRC recommend changes, the Provost shall, within ter (10) days, either accept the changes or give a written justification for not accepting the changes.
	(2)	by th produ dossi	Candidate Prepared Dossier must follow the final format as finalized e Provost and faculty are solely responsible for the content within, and action of, the dossier. Review committees may choose to not considerers that doa dossier which does not follow substantially track the red format in substantial ways.
(f)	Refer	ence L	etters.
	(1)	Refe	rence letters are required for promotion reviews and full reviews.
	(2)		rence letters are added to the faculty dossier by the provost's office and me a part of the reappointment or promotion "packet.".
	(3)	Lette	rs are not required for promotion to Senior Instructor.
	(4)	instru speci expe	rence letters are confidential and will be requested using a template that acts the external reviewer regarding the materials supplied, and any all considerations, including the University's history, teaching ctations, and research infrastructure. This template will be developed using to and as part of the process laid out in Section 6.6(f)(5)
	(5)	Requ	esting Reference Letters.
		a.	A minimum of four (4) reference letters must be requested.
		b.	The Candidate "nominates" up to four (4) individuals to provide reference letters.
		c.	The Division Director, or if the academic unit does not belong to a division, Department Chair, in conjunction with the The Department
For the Univ	versity		For the UFF
-1. 7. 11			
Alex Landba			Myles Kim
Chief Negot	iator		Chief Negotiator
Date			Date

Florida Poly/UFF FPU-BOT v.3

p.10

b.

p.11

28

29

30

Florida Poly/UFF

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback

Chief Negotiator

Date

Date

If the Division Director or Department Chair does not hold the

appropriate rank, the Provost will appoint a chair for the DEP.

	a.	For reviews of Assistant and Associate Professors, the DEP shall consist of faculty of a higher rank than the Candidate within the Candidate's division, or if no division is present, within the Candidate's department. When there are an insufficient number of faculty to meet the minimum requirements for a DEP, the process below will be used to form a DEP.
	b.	There must be a minimum of five (5) qualified (appropriately ranked) individuals serving on the DEP.
		1. If the number of individuals available to serve on the DEP is less than five (5), the Provost and DEP Chair will each select a faculty member or, if necessary, two (2) faculty members of appropriate rank from another unit at the University to serve on the DEP.
	с.	Individuals that are in the terminal year of their employment contract (as a result of non-reappointment, resignation, or layoff) or those who received "Needs Improvement" or "Deficient" (or "Unsatisfactory") in their most recent performance evaluation are not eligible to serve on the DEP, regardless of any pending grievance to challenge such evaluation (See Section 11.11(a)) [FPU-BOTI].
	d.	A faculty member who is a Candidate for Reappointment may serve on the DEP only with the approval of the Provost and DEP Chair.
	-	valuation Committee ("UEC") membership.
<u>(1)</u>	UEC	Membership.
	<u>a.</u>	The <u>UEC</u> is appointed by the <u>Provost or designee will request from those holding the rank "Professor" from a nomination pool provided by the Faculty Representative Council; however, if there are fewer than eight (8) qualified individuals at the University, all such qualified individuals shall be deemed in the nomination pool. 1. Individuals that members chosen for the DEP identify any</u>
		conflicts of interest that might arise from are in their
For the University		For the UFF
Alex Landback		Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator		Chief Negotiator
Date		Date

Chief Negotiator

Date

Florida Poly/UFF FPU-BOT v.3

p.13

Chief Negotiator

6.8		Reappointment and Promotion Procedure for Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, and Professors.			
	(a)	Gene	eral Rules.		
		(1)	the p	iously Promoted. If the University previously promoted the Candidate promotion assessment shall be based on the Candidate's performance the Candidate's last promotion.	
		(2)	Not 1	Previously Promoted.	
			a.	If the University has not previously promoted the Candidate, the promotion assessment is cumulative and must include consideration of the Candidate's achievements prior to employment at the University.	
			b.	However, the Candidate's promotion assessment must also establish that the Candidate has continued to progress and achieve in the categories and criteria used for reappointment and/or promotion while employed at the University.	
		(3)	Refe	rence Letters for Promotion and Full Reviews.	
			a.	A single negative reference may not be the sole basis for not reappointing a candidate or for not promoting a Candidate, unless such reference shows that a Candidate's dossier contains a misrepresentation as provided in Section 6.6(d)(2).	
			b.	Promotion and reappointment decisions shall not be based solely or the reference letters received.	
		(4)	Depa	artment Inputs.	
			a.	If the DEP includes no members from the candidate's department, the DEP will request input from the department chair before finalizing its recommendation. This input must, if practical, include an in-person meeting with the chair.	
			b.	If the Department chair is the candidate and no member from the chair's department is a member of the DEP, the Provost or designed shall select a member of the chair's department to provide input to	
For th	ne Univ	ersity		For the UFF	
Alex	Landba	ack		Myles Kim	
Chief	Negot	iator		Chief Negotiator	
Date				Date	

			he DEP finalizes their recommendation. This input, include an in-person meeting with the chair.
(b)	DEP I	Review and Recommendat	
	(1)	The University shall pro DEP for review.	vide the Packet (as described in Section_6.6) to the
	(2)	select individuals that v	motionReviewFull Review, the DEP will meet to will receive requests for reference letters for the should be early in the process to allow time for the
	(3)	The DEP may acquire information as appropria	or review supplemental materials and/or other ate.
		the review, the information and j	naterials and/or other information is used as part of Candidate will be informed of the use of this provided a minimum of five (5) days, but no longer s, to respond to the information.
	(4)	is added to the candidate to the Provost directly. V	iews, the DEP will prepare and provide a report that e's packet. The DEP recommendation is presented Within this report, the DEP may choose to highlight mment on the candidates progression towards on-
	(4) (5)		EP will prepare and provide a report that is added et. The DEP recommendation is presented to the
		±	y review of an Assistant Professor, the DEP will ement of the faculty member's progression towards ir report.
	(5) (6)	The DEP must operate in	n executive session and in total confidentiality.
	(6) (7)	-	amendations, and work product constitute faculty and/or limited-access records, pursuant to Florida Rule 6C13-6.008.
<u>(c)</u>	UEC 1	Report and Recommendat	ion.
For the Univ	versity	_	For the UFF
Alex Landba	ack		Myles Kim
Chief Negot	tiator		Chief Negotiator
Date			Date

(1)	If conducted, the DEP will add its report to the Candidate's packet which includes the dossier, letters of recommendations, a table listing review requests, the DEP report and recommendation, and any supplemental materials and Candidate responses which were used as part of the decision in the review.
(2)	The UEC will use the candidate's packet to consider the reappointment of promotion request.
<u>(3)</u>	The UEC may acquire or review supplemental materials and/or other information as appropriate.
	a. If supplemental materials and/or other information is used as part of the review at any time during the process, the Candidate will be informed of the use of this information and provided a minimum of five (5) days, but no longer than ten (10) days, to respond to the information.
<u>(4)</u>	Reference letters are to be used as an input to the overall evaluation by the committee.
	A single negative reference may not be the sole basis for not reappointing a candidate or for not promoting a Candidate, unless such reference shows that a Candidate's dossier contains a misrepresentation as provided in Section 6.6(d)(2).
	b. Promotion and reappointment decisions shall not be based solely or the reference letters received.
<u>(5)</u>	The UEC will prepare a report and recommendation that is addressed to the Provost. The UEC report is added to the Candidate's packet.
	a. If the Provost chairs the UEC, the Provost will not make a recommendation, but will supply a candidate's Packet, and both the UEC and DEP reports to the President for a final decision.
<u>(6)</u>	All UEC reports, recommendations, and work product constitute faculty evaluative information and/or limited-access records, pursuant to Florida Polytechnic University Rule 6C13-6.008.
(e)(d) Prov	ost's Review and Recommendation.
For the University	For the UFF
Alex Landback	Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator	Chief Negotiator
Date	Date

(1)	comp	Provost shall review all procedural and substantive matters for pleteness in order to ensure that the DEP has and/or UEC have met their possibilities in this Article.
	a.	If procedural errors or inconsistencies are present in the process, the Provost will send materials back to the appropriate part of the process for correction before making a recommendation regarding the candidate.
	b.	In rare instances, the Provost may acquire <u>or review</u> supplemental materials and/or other information as appropriate for completeness and accuracy of the Candidate's packet.
		1. As with the procedures used by the DEP and the UEC, any supplemental materials and/or other information must be disclosed to the Candidate with the same response rights and times as provided in the DEP and UEC review.
		2. If applicable, the supplemental materials and/or other information and the Candidate's response(s) will be appended to the Candidate's packet.
(2)	<u>UEC</u> best j	a careful review of the reports and recommendations of the DEP, and (if applicable), and the Candidate's packet, the Provost, in his or her udgment, shall make a positive or negative recommendation in writing the Candidate's reappointment or promotion.
	a.	The Provost's recommendation, if positive, is provided to the President consistent with Section 6.8(e).
	b.	If the Provost's recommendation is negative, the decision and written justification are provided to the Candidate. Unless overturned on appeal by the President, negative recommendations from the Provost are terminal.
	c.	The process for appealing a negative recommendation from the Provost is as follows:
		Within ten (10) days of the Provost's written justification being provided the Candidate may submit a written appeal to the Office of the President
For the University		For the UFF
Alex Landback		Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator		Chief Negotiator
Date		Date

			(president@floridapoly.edu).(president@floridapoly.edu).
			(I) Failure to appeal a negative recommendation within the ten (10) day time frame renders the recommendation final and subject to no further review or grievance.
		2.	Upon receipt of the Candidate's appeal, the President will review the Provost's negative recommendation, the <u>UEC</u> and DEP committee reports, and the Candidate's packet.
		3.	The scope of the President's appellate review is limited to the correcting errors of judgment or process.
		4.	Within twenty (20) days of receipt of the Candidate's appeal, the President will render a decision either granting or denying the appeal on its merits.
	(3)	President's d evaluative in	s recommendation, Provost's written justification, and the lecision as a result of the appeal process constitute faculty formation and/or limited-access records, pursuant to Florida University Rule 6C13-6.008.
	grant a	Candidate's r	and Authority. The President shall have the sole authority to reappointment or promotion and will act upon the request for provided by the Provost.
	(1)	University's department, t documents re	g of reappointment or promotion shall be based on the criteria, clarifications produced by the Candidate's unit on the reports and recommendations of the DEP and UEC, any blied upon by the DEP and UEC in creating their reports and tions, the Candidate's packet, and the written recommendation t.
	(2)		t will consider the items listed above, noting that the committee provided a strong review of the candidate and, in his or her best her:
		_	reappointment or promotion, via written notice, within the of this contract, or;
For the Univer	rsity		For the UFF
A1 T 111			M1 IV
Alex Landback			Myles Kim Chief Negatiator
Chief Negotiat	lOI		Chief Negotiator
Date			Date

	b.	deny the request for reappointment or promotion.
(3)	the P	President's final decision on reappointment or promotion is negative, resident shall provide written justification to the Candidate within y (20) days of the decision.
(4)	(6.8(e) or prolimite	President's written notice of granting reappointment or promotion (2)(2)a) and written justification denying the request for reappointment omotion (6.8(e)(3)), constitute faculty evaluative information and/or ed-access records, pursuant to Florida Polytechnic University Rule -6.008.
review two (2	, the C 2) addi	e does not receive a promotion following formal consideration by full candidate may not reapply for promotion until after the completion of tional academic years. This clause shall not unreasonably deny a cir terminal promotion consideration to Associate Professor.
provid recome be wit	led tha menda thout p	te being considered for promotion may withdraw from consideration to the withdrawal is made before the <u>DEP providesUEC begins</u> its tion to consideration of the <u>ProvostCandidate</u> . Such withdrawal shall prejudice and will not render the Candidate ineligible for the next cycle.
Promo	tion D	ate.
(1)		otions for professors that are granted shall be effective on August 15 ving the decision date.
(2)		dividual may use their new title effective upon written notification of promotion.
ointme	ent and	l Promotion for Instructors and Senior Instructors.
Reapp	ointme	ent & Promotion Materials:
(1)		pointment Materials: Instructors must submit the following materials considered for reappointment:
	a.	Candidate personal statement
	b.	Updated, current curriculum vitae
	c.	Last two (2) faculty activity reports
rsity		For the UFF
		77.1 77.
k		Myles Kım
k tor		Myles Kim Chief Negotiator
	(4) If a Careview two (2 Candidate of the Candidate of the with promoder) Promoder (1) (2) Reapp (1)	(3) If the the P twent (4) The I (6.8(e) or prolimite 6C13 If a Candidat review, the C two (2) addidate the The Candidate provided that recommendate be without promotional Promotion D (1) Promotion D (1) Promotion D (2) An in their production of the Candidate the

		d.	Last two (2) reviews from department chair	
	(2)		otion (Instructor to Senior Instructor) Materials:— Instructors must the following materials to be considered for Promotion to Senior ctor:	
		a.	Candidate personal statement	
		b.	Updated, current curriculum vitae	
		c.	Teaching portfolio	
		d.	Last two (2) faculty activity reports	
		e.	Last two (2) reviews from department chair	
	(3)		pointment of Senior Instructors: Senior Instructors must submit the wing materials to be considered for reappointment:	
		a.	Candidate personal statement to include update of activity since promotion or last reappointment	
		b.	Updated Curriculum Vitae	
		c.	Last three (3) faculty activity reports	
		d.	Last three (3) reviews from department chair	
(b)	Reapp	eappointment and Promotion: Types, Notices, and Criteria		
	(1)	Types	<u>:</u>	
		a.	Faculty at the instructor level undergo reappointment reviews and promotion review (if eligible and by choice of the candidate).	
		b.	Instructors are not required to seek promotion, nor may they be penalized for not seeking promotion.	
		c.	Once promoted, Senior Instructors likewise undergo reappointment reviews.	
	(2)		e of Reappointment or Promotion eligibility or requirement will take consistent with the notification requirement for other faculty.	
	(3)		ia for Instructor and Senior Instructor reappointment and promotion e developed through the process outlined below in Section 6.10.	
For the Unive	ersity		For the UFF	
Alex Landba	ck		Myles Kim	
Chief Negotia	ator		Chief Negotiator	
Date			Date	

1 2		(c)	Review Promo	w Panel and Committees for Instructor-Level Reappointments and tion.
3 4 5			(1)	Instructor-DEP: Shall consist of the department DEP plus one (1) Assistant Professor chosen by the Department Chair and one (1) out-of-department Senior Instructor, Instructor, or Assistant Professor chosen by the Provost.
6 7 8 9			(2)	Instructor-UEC: Shall consist of the UEC plus one (1) Senior Instructor. If there is no individual with the rank Senior Instructor, the Provost will choose one (1) Associate Professor from outside the Instructor's department.
10		(d)	Reapp	ointment and/or Promotion Process, the Instructor Review.
11 12 13			(1)	The Instructor-DEP <u>and Instructor-UEC</u> follow the same process as they do for other faculty, except there is no outside letter requirement for Instructor promotion or Senior Instructor reappointment.
14 15			(2)	For reappointment reviews of Instructors and Senior Instructors, the Instructor-DEP provides its report directly to the Provost.
16 17			<u>(3)</u>	Every third (3 rd) reappointment review for Instructors and Senior Instructors requires an evaluation by the UEC.
18 19			<u>(4)</u>	Promotion reviews for Instructors require an evaluation by the Instructor- <u>UEC.</u>
20			(3) (5)	Provost Review and Recommendation.
21 22 23				a. Both Instructor-DEP and Instructor-UEC reviews for Instructors and Senior Instructors culminate in either a positive or negative recommendation by the Provost as described in Section 6.8(d)(2).
24 25				b. These processes shall be consistent with that outlined for other faculty in Section 6.8(d)6.8(d).
26 27 28			(4) (6)	<u>President's Review and Authority</u> . Actions on reappointments and promotions by the President and Provost will be acted upon in the same manner as described in Section 6.8(e).
29 30	6.10			of Reappointment and Promotion Criteria for Instructors, Assistant ssociate Professors, and Professors.
	For th	e Unive	ersity	For the UFF
	Alex	Landba	ck	Myles Kim
	Chief	Negotia	ator	Chief Negotiator
	Date			Date

1 2 3	,	The awarding of reappointment or promotion shall be based on written criteria which are established by the University and developed by each department accordance to this Article.				
4 5 6 7 8 9	· · ·	<u>University Criteria</u> . No later than January 15 of every even-numbered year, the University shall establish the general criteria for the granting of proor reappointment, and provide that criteria to each department's Division I or Chair if no Division Director is present. If a category of reappoint promotion criteria is not in place for an upcoming review cycle where a camay request reappointment or promotion, the criteria will be developed to that cycle.				
11 12 13 14 15		wo cri Ha	omotion and reappointment criteria shall consider the performance of the ork that the professor has been assigned (as reflected in FARE forms) teria for each rank as set forth in the most recent, BOT-approved Faculty and the faculty member's responsibilities as a member of the diversity and department community.			
16		(2) Th	e University criteria shall broadly recognize and consist of the following			
17 18 19 20		a.	Instruction, including regular classroom and laboratory teaching classroom development, effective development/application of new instructional methods, directing thesis or dissertation committees and other instructional activities;			
21 22 23		b.	Research or other creative activities relevant to the departmen mission, including scholarly publications, support and advising o graduate students; and			
24 25		c.	Service to professional societies and contributions to the University and department.			
26		(3) Th	e criteria shall also include, but are not limited to:			
27 28 29		a.	a demonstrated record of scholarly activity, teaching, and as appropriate, course and/or curriculum development commensurate with the University's mission and relevant academic discipline(s);			
30 31		b.	evidence of a positive and growing reputation in his/her chosen sub- field within the department's mission, and;			
	For the Univer	sity	For the UFF			
	Alex Landback		Myles Kim			
	Chief Negotiat	or	Chief Negotiator			
	Date		Date			

			c.	pron	nise of continued successful performance.
		(4)	criter	ia bef	will formally request input from the FRC as to the University or providing the finalized University criteria to each s Division Director, or Chair if no Division Director is present.
((c)	_			cations of University Criteria. The department clarifications, ction shall provide context for the broader University criteria
		(1)	be co	nsisten	t with university requirements and faculty work assignments;
		(2)	expec earn	ctations reappoi	enough that a reasonable professor should be informed about the s for performance or accomplishments which are necessary to intment or promotion, assuming that the accomplishments are quality, quantity, and consistency, and;
		(3)	chara	cteristi	ne representative examples of the achievements or performance cs which, if the requirement or distinction were met, are comparisons for reappointment or promotion.
•	(d)	depar	tment-s	pecific	rtment-Specific Clarification Review Process. Criteria and clarifications shall be developed and approved on a bi-annual he following procedures:
		(1)	Depa	rtment	Committee Formation and Membership.
			a.	The	Committee shall consist of:
				1.	the Vice Provost of Academic Affairs (or Provost-designee);
				2.	Department Chair (or if Division/Department Director is present, Division director, or designee) of each respective department, and;
				3.	two (2) faculty members from the department, at least one (1) holding the most senior rank in the department, subject to the limitations below in 6.10(d)(1)c).
			b.	facul	ears during which the clarifications are reviewed, department ty shall select their two (2) representative faculty members ag the first week of the fall semester
For the	Unive	ersity			For the UFF
Alex La					Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator					Chief Negotiator
Date					Date

	C.	Exceptions to Department Committee Membership.
		1. The Department Committee requires senior-ranking membership, followed by overall membership. If a department has three (3) or more senior-ranking faculty members, a senior-ranking faculty member that will be reviewed in the next academic year's review cycle may not
		serve on the Department Committee.
		2. Similarly, if a department has more than ten (10) faculty members, a junior-ranking faculty member that will be
		reviewed for reappointment in the next academic year's review cycle may not serve on the departmental committee.
		3. Faculty members that have resigned, received a notice of non-reappointment or notice of layoff, instructors, and visiting/adjunct faculty are not eligible to serve on the Department Committee or participate in the Department Committee selection process.
		3.(I) However, Instructors who have served in a full-time capacity for at least the most recent five (5) consecutive years are eligible to serve on or participate in the Department Committee selection
		process as it relates to the development of department-specific clarifications for instructors.
(2)	Deve	elopment of Department-Specific Clarifications.
	a.	The Provost shall provide a framework for department-specific clarifications and formally charge the Department Committee to develop and maintain written clarifications of the University's reappointment and promotion criteria in terms aligned with the department's discipline(s) and assigned duties, and consistent with University standards as established in the most recent BOT-approved version of the Faculty Handbook.
	b.	Upon receiving the University criteria and clarification framework the Department Committee shall convene to develop clarifications
For the University		For the UFF
Alex Landback		Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator		Chief Negotiator
Date		Date

		the University criteria consistent with the department disciplines accordance with the procedures outlined herein.
	the	e Department Committee shall complete its review and finalize e department clarifications within fifteen (15) days of receiving e University's criteria.
(3)	the depar	nt Faculty Vote. Within ten (10) days of the Committee finalizing tment clarifications, the department faculty shall conduct a al and anonymous vote on said clarifications.
	nor fac <u>Ins</u>	culty that are in their terminal year of employment (as a result of in-reappointment, resignation, or layoff), and visiting/adjunct culty, and instructors are not eligible to vote on the clarifications structors and Senior Instructors, however, are eligible to vote on the department-specific clarifications for instructors.
	dej	a majority of a department's faculty vote in favor of the proposed partment clarifications, the department clarifications are warded to the Provost for review and approval.
	the sha	the majority of a department's professors do not vote in favor of e proposed department clarifications, the Department Committee all reconsider the proposed clarifications prior to conducting a cond vote.
	1.	The Department Committee shall have five (5) days to reconsider the proposed clarifications and conduct a second vote within five (5) days of finalizing the second round of department clarifications.
	2.	If the second vote is also unsuccessful, the proposed clarifications shall be forwarded to the Provost for approval noting the lack of department faculty support.
	3.	If a vote does not occur within five (5) days of the Committee finalizing the department clarifications, the department clarifications shall be forwarded to the Provost for review, noting that no vote occurred.
(4)	Provost R	eview. Within ten (10) days of receipt, the Provost shall review
For the University		For the UFF
Alex Landback		Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator		Chief Negotiator
Date		Date

		the proposed department clarifications to ensure compliance with this Agreement, the mission and goals of the University, and University standards as established in the most recent, BOT-approved version of the Faculty Handbook.
		a. The Provost will either approve the proposed department clarifications, or return them to the Committee for reconsideration.
		b. In the event the Provost returns the proposed department clarifications to the Committee for reconsideration, he/she shall provide objections to any such provision in writing.
	(5)	Committee Reconsideration. The Department Committee shall reconsider the Provost's written objections and within ten (10) days after receiving them, shall resubmit the proposed written clarifications to the Provost, incorporating all, some, or none of the objections, along with a written explanation and justification for the resubmitted language.
	(6)	<u>Provost Reconsideration</u> , <u>Revision and Adoption</u> . The Provost shall reconsider the department clarifications and issue final revisions or approvals <u>with a final adoption of the criteria</u> within seven (7) days after receiving the revised department clarifications.
(e)	Chan	ges in Criteria for Reappointment and Promotion for Professors.
	(1)	Following the Provost's approval <u>adoption</u> of the <u>final</u> criteria, the University may modify the approved University criteria for reappointment and promotion so long as the UFF has been notified of the proposed changes and been offered an opportunity to discuss such changes in consultation with the President or designee.
	(2)	Changes to discipline-specific departmental clarifications of the may only be considered if changes to the University criteria have been made as described in (e)(1). Such changes to discipline-specific criteria shall be developed and approved according to the process outlined above.in 6.10(d)(2).
(f)	on th	ss to Criteria. The Reappointment and Promotion criteria shall be available e Provost's webpage (https://floridapoly.edu/provost/) and/or at the written st of any faculty member.
For the Univ	ersity	For the UFF
Alex Landba	ıck	Myles Kim
Chief Negoti	iator	Chief Negotiator
Date		Date

1	6.11	Non-Reappointment of Faculty							
2 3 4		(a)	contr	Faculty members on multi-year appointments cannot be terminated during the contract period except for just cause, layoff, or termination of the funding source in the case of soft money appointments.					
5 6 7		(b)	reapp	pointment or Promotion Review. If a faculty member has participated in a pointment or promotion review and is not provided with an offer of pointment or promotion,					
8 9 10 11			(1)	a faculty member with a remaining contract term of more than one (1) year will be provided written notice of non-reappointment, advising that the existing contract will not be renewed with no right to continued employment, or;					
12 13 14 15 16			(2)	a faculty member with a remaining contract term of less than one (1) year will be provided a notice of non-reappointment that the existing contract will not be renewed, and the University shall offer a terminal contract which supersedes the existing contract and expires one (1) year from the date of the written notice, with no right to continued employment.					
17 18 19 20		(c)	an A profe	professor chooses not to participate in a reappointment review, or in the case of assistant Professor, chooses not to participate in the promotion process, the essor will be administratively non-reappointed and the professor's employment end on the last date of the professor's existing contract.					
21 22		(d)	Non-reappointed employees are not eligible to receive any salary increas bonuses during the notice period.						
23 24		(e)	Non-reappointed employees are not eligible to serve on departmental or unicommittees without prior approval of the Provost.						
25		(f)	<u>Payo</u>	out option.					
26 27 28 29			(1)	At the time of or following issuance of a notice of non-reappointment to any employee, the University may elect in its discretion to pay the employee for all or a portion of the remaining term of the contract, as may be allowed under Florida law.					
30 31			(2)	If the University elects this option, it will pay the employee an amount, less withholding, equal to the salary for that portion of the remaining term of the					
	For th	ne Univ	ersity	For the UFF					
	Alex	Landba	ack	Myles Kim					
	Chief	Negot	iator	Chief Negotiator					
	Date			Date					

1 2					ract which the University is paying out, and the employee's loyment will terminate immediately.			
3	6.12	Assis	tant Li	braria:	ns and Wellness Counselors.			
4		(a)	Cont	ract du	ract duration for appointments and reappointments are as follows:			
5			(1)	Assistant Librarian I & Wellness Counselor I.				
6				a.	Initial Contract Duration: two (2) years			
7				b.	Reappointment Contract Duration: two (2) years			
8				c.	Reappointment Cycle: two (2) years.			
9 10 11 12 13					1. The University will review the Assistant Librarian I and Wellness Counselor I during the second full spring term of employment (or the first spring after four complete terms depending on hire date) and thereafter in the fourth (4 th) semester of each contract cycle.			
14			(2)	Assis	stant Librarian II and Wellness Counselor II.			
15				a.	Initial Contract Duration: two (2) years			
16				b.	Reappointment Contract Duration: three (3) years			
17 18 19 20					1. The University will review the Assistant Librarian II and Wellness Counselor II during the second full spring term after initial promotion and thereafter in the spring of the third year of the reappointment contract.			
21		(b)	Reap	pointm	ent Review Requirements & Process			
22 23			(1)		didate Required Materials: Candidates for reappointment must provide following materials in their reappointment "packet."			
24 25				a.	The Employee Self-Review Worksheet provided by Human Resources;			
26				b.	The candidate's previous performance reviews;			
27				c.	An updated curriculum vitae;			
	For th	ne Univ	ersity		For the UFF			
	A 1 1	r 11	-1-		M.1., V			
		Landba			Myles Kim Chief Nagatistan			
	Cniei	Negoti	ator		Chief Negotiator			
	Date				Date			

		d.	be in	ner materials are used as part of the review, the candidate will formed of this information, and provided a minimum of five (5) to respond to the information.
	(2)	Reap	pointme	ent Process.
		a.		s for reappointment notification and submission of materials to rvisor will coincide with those for Faculty.
		b.	Cons	iderations for reappointment include:
			1.	A review of goals, objectives, and accomplishments achieved over the total review period.
			2.	Specific goals, tasks, or assignments derived from annual or periodic evaluations.
			3.	Candidate's likelihood for continued success.
			4.	Any additional criteria established via the process for University Criteria for Reappointment and Promotion established for faculty positions.
		c.		sions must be considered by the supervisor, the Provost, and the Provost of Student Affairs.
		d.	-	request, the Provost will provide a written justification for the pointment decision.
(c) <u>Pro</u>	omotion P	rocedur	e for Assistant Librarians & Wellness Counselors:
	(1)	-	•	designated as Assistant Librarian I and Wellness Counselor I ated to seek promotion, nor will they be penalized for not doing
	(2)	<u>Avai</u>	lable Ra	anks for Promotion
		a.	Assis	stant Librarian I to Assistant Librarian II
		b.	Well	ness Counselor I to Wellness Counselor II
	(3)	<u>Eligi</u>	bility fo	or Promotion.
For the U	University	y		For the UFF
Alex Lai	ndback			Myles Kim
Chief No	egotiator			Chief Negotiator
Date				Date

	a.	at lea time Expe	st 5 successful capacity, with ctation" for ea	of Employment: Enacademic years at an overall evalued of those year next highest rank.	their current luation ration	trank in a	full- Meets
	b.	of co	ntinued employ	ents: Employees myment and not have mination, and not in	e been given	notice of	non-
(4)	Pron	notion R	eview Requiren	nents & Process.			
	a.		•	Materials: Candida g materials in their			
		1.	the responsib	tatement addressing ilities of the position d the University.	•		
		2.		re's previous perfo ons) and other eval y.		`	_
		3.	An updated c	urriculum vitae.			
		4.	will be info	rials are used as part ormed of this infe five (5) days to resp	ormation, as	nd provid	led a
(5)	<u>Pron</u>	notion P	rocess.				
	a.			n notification and cide with those for		of materia	als to
	b.	Cons	iderations for P	romotion include:			
		1.		of goals, objective r the total review r		complishr	nents
		2.	Specific goal	s, tasks, or assignm uations.	ents derived	from annu	ıal or
		3.	Candidate's	likelihood for	continued	success	and
For the University	,			For the UFF			
Alex Landback				Myles Kim			
Chief Negotiator				Chief Negotiator			
6				6			
Date				Date			

			appropriate contribution on institutional initiatives.
		4.	Any additional criteria established via the process for University Criteria for Reappointment and Promotion established for faculty positions.
	c.	Prom	notion Decisions
		1.	May include inputs from relevant campus stakeholders, such as students, faculty, and other staff.
		2.	Must be considered by the supervisor, the Provost, and the Vice Provost of Student Affairs.
		3.	Upon request, the Provost will provide a written justification for the promotion decision.
(d) <u>1</u>	Non-Reap	pointment	<u>t</u> .
(co	ntract peri	on multi-year appointments cannot be terminated during the od except for just cause, layoff, or termination of the funding case of soft money appointments.
(en no sh	nployee hat provided all received	intment at Reappointment or Promotion Review: If are participated in a reappointment or promotion review and is a with an offer of reappointment or promotion, that employed a terminal, 6-month contract that supersedes any existing
(nted employees are not eligible to receive any salary increases turing the notice period.
(nted employees are not eligible to serve on departmental or ommittees without prior approval of the Provost.
(5) <u>Pa</u>	yout optio	<u>n</u> .
	a.	reapp discr	he time of or following issuance of a notice of non- pointment to any employee, the University may elect in its etion to pay the employee for all or a portion of the remaining of the terminal contract, as may be allowed under Florida law
For the Univers	ity		For the UFF
			Myles Kim
Chief Negotiato	or		Chief Negotiator
Date			Date
	For the Univers Alex Landback Chief Negotiato	(d) Non-Reage (1) En co so (2) No en no sha co (4) No un (5) Pa a. For the University	c. Prom 1. 2. 3. (d) Non-Reappointment (1) Employees of contract period source in the contract period employee has not provided shall received contracts. (3) Non-reappoint or bonuses of the contract of

1 2 3 4			b.	If the University elects this option, it will pay the employee an amount, less withholding, equal to the salary for that portion of the remaining term of the contract which the University is paying out, and the employee's employment will terminate immediately.
5	6.13	<u>Grie</u>	vability.	
6 7		(a)		sity's decision to not offer reappointment or promotion to an employee considered a disciplinary action.
8 9 10 11		(b)	Article 11 - decision sol	on to not offer reappointment or promotion is grievable according to Grievance and Arbitration Procedure, as an employee may contest the lely because of an alleged violation of a specific term of the Agreement of an alleged violation of the employee's constitutional rights.
12 13 14 15 16 17		(c)	decision, the reappointment and recommend that denial	rposes of a grievance about a faculty reappointment or promotion he scope of materials relied upon the denial of a candidate's ent or promotion is limited to the Candidate's packet, the DEP report mendation, the UEC report and recommendation, and the Provost's lation. The University may not subsequently introduce new grounds for during the grievance process nor may it assert that there are other, rounds for that denial.
19 20		(d)	-	y for any grievance filed under this provision, if successful, shall not award of reappointment or promotion.
21 22 23		(e)	the denial	ances must be filed within thirty (30) days of the Candidate's receipt of of promotion or reappointment from the President as described in the President's denial of an appeal as described in Section 6.8(d)(2)c.4.
24 25 26		(f)	for arbitrati	I recommendations from the DEP, <u>UEC</u> , and Provost shall be available on proceedings consistent with the requirements described in Florida University Rule 6C13-6.008.
	For th	ne Univ	ersity	For the UFF
	Alex	Landba	ick	Myles Kim
	Chief	Negoti	iator	Chief Negotiator